

Fig. 3.15.7.(E).:

*The at least 8.387 historical errors
of which
4.405 grave historical falsifications
of the contents of primary sources
immanently present
in the small comment of
Elder of Zion
of September 9, 2021:
an analysis*

Dominiek Oversteyns

Rome, May 2, 2022

SIAE n. 2022/00886

*The at least 8.387 historical errors
of which
4.405 grave historical falsifications
of the contents of primary sources
immanently present
in the small comment of
Elder of Zion
of September 9, 2021 11:34 am
in Allgemeiner bloggers¹
discovered
after a short dynamical confrontation with
the 22.067 known
“Smoking – gun proof” primary sources
of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli
from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958*

¹ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

In Short

In a short comment² on Pius XII of only 2 pages **ELDER OF ZIYON** practiced in 8 different dimensions systematical historical falsifications against the contents of 22.067 known primary sources. The “**Smoking gun proof**” of his willingly practiced falsification consists in the following unscientific and unhistorical mechanism that he practiced in this comment: **ELDER OF ZIYON knew that Pius XII helped thousands of Jews, but wrote that Pius XII helped only some hundreds Jews, in order to accuse Pius XII that he did not help thousands of Jews!**³

0. Introduction

0.1 Scientific method used:

“Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and listed primary sources of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958”

Since from September 9, 2021, already 22.067 primary sources on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli were known, identified and listed, undeniably proving that, **on the one side, Eugenio Pacelli, from January 1, 1894, to October 9, 1958, criticized and even condemned severely, at least 7.752 times: Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and Nazi violence** and, **on the other side, at least 1.465 times defended the dignity of the Jews, defended the Jews against Nazi-violence and defended the Jews against deportations (>602 times)**, so it is possible to confront in a systematical dynamical way a statement on a historic-topic of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli to see if this statement is confirmed by one or more primary sources or rejected by one or more primary sources.

This important progress made by the international dynamical primary source research in the last years, gave the researchers a powerful tool so that it is now possible to confront in a systematical and quick way the typical roughly 200 different standard accusations against Pius XII present in the vast (roughly esteemed by insiders 100.000 publications) secondary literature on Pius XII from 18 February 1963 until 9 September 2021.

It is now possible, not only to find 1 primary source that is rejecting the unhistorical stereotypical accusation, but also to see systematically how many different primary sources of the 22.067 identified and codified are rejecting this accusation because in contradiction with these primary sources.

It is clear that with this high level advanced scientific method the historical errors found in a small historical topic can be 1 or 2, but it is also possible that the number of primary sources that reject the allegation or a part of it can be even higher: hundreds, thousands and even more primary sources rejecting this accusation, or part of it.

If that happens it is an indication that the author who wrote the allegation is making a very grave historical error and is proving in that micro-historical-topic not to know about what he is speaking and even obviously not have studied what he is accusing. The high number can be a clear indicator that the author has practiced falsification, as what he wrote is so far deviating from what the primary sources prove to be the real, objective, verifiable historical facts.

High numbers can also arrive as the adding of different dimensions (= sub -series) of 22.067 primary sources collectively rejecting an accusation, or even more accusations.

² <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

³ Infra 14.16.

A great advantage of this way of working is that if one accusation, or part of an accusation, is worked out in all its sub-dimensions of the 22.067 primary sources that reject it, and if the same accusation is used by the author or only a part, or if another accusation has a similar content, one can directly calculate how many new historical errors are present in this repeated accusation.

Each primary source rejecting the accusation must be fully added. If 7.000 primary sources are rejecting 1 accusation it is clear that this must be counted as 7.000 historical errors. It is clear that when in an article this is happening several times, the historical error rate will be very high, and for a text of 2 pages, depending on the concentration and density of the errors, it can go over 100.000 and more!!! If this happens, it indicates that the author is completely unreliable and it not knowing about what he is writing!!!!!!!

Another very positive advantage of this method is that if an intentional falsification is detected it can be followed up in its full intensity throughout the whole comment, and even in its dynamical development in the comment on the rejected accusation.

Again, another very interesting feature of this method is that the historical error is named according to the deviation found in reference to the primary sources and it is evaluated in its quantity, and in its dynamical development in quantity throughout the whole text. So the most impossible and unimaginable details of intentionally practiced falsifications can be discovered, but we can also understand how the author that was consciously inventing a systematical historical falsification, in his long or short comment, was working thinking and acting!

Another very powerful feature of the *method*: “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” is that the classic historian writes an article of 1 page if he discovers an historical error in a previous publication of another author. So in this point of the sub dimension of the micro-historical-topic on Pius XII the historical error is revealed and rejected. This means that if the historian discovers another historical error he will write a new article of 1 page to correct this other error. This means that if the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” discovered on a specific accusation 8.367 historical errors, this means that the historian accustomed to write history in the classical manner must write and publish 8.367 different publications of 1 page to correct these historical errors that are immanently present in this small micro-historical-topic on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli. In other words *on the one side* the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” - method indicates how many potential articles a scientifically working historian can write on this accusation to correct each time one of the immanently historical errors present in this accusation, and *on the other side* the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources on Pius XII-Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” gives us direct insight in the summary of “8.367” publications that in the next hundreds of years can be written by those scientific historians on this small micro-historical-topic on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli: *and in its quality* – what kind of historical error, what is the nature of this error what is the deviation from the primary sources –, *and in its quantity* – the number of combinations of the accusation with the primary sources in which was found the historical error.

These important and strong features of the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources*”-method give, from September 9, 2021, the international dynamical primary source researchers this powerful, very efficient and quick instrument to neutralize directly and very effectively unhistorical accusations, critics and comments on Pius XII. And the results are spectacular. ...

Indeed, times have changed since 12 March 2014 ...!!!

And we foresee that the number of 22.067 primary sources in the next months and years will still grow in an exponential way in proportion to the progress of the international dynamical primary source research on Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.

This means also that the number of **AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors** discovered on September 9, 2021 in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON**, will increase exponentially in the coming months and years!!! In which grade **ELDER OF ZIYON** was conscious of how large-scale consequences derived from his intentional falsification of primary sources, we cannot judge, so we call these historical errors “*immanently present*” in his falsification. This expresses consciously practiced violation against each of the primary sources he knew, or unconsciously not aware that beside the primary sources he knew and violated, there were also other primary

sources, that were violated by his falsification. So “*immanently present*” expresses not directly the intention of the person to falsify, but expresses the violating - impact in its full-large scale of the executed practiced falsification!

“**AT LEAST**” means that it can still grow, and that it was not executed the full complete confrontation with each of the 22.067 known primary sources.

0.2 Some remarks with the implementation of the *method*:

“*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” on the small comment of *ELDER OF ZIYON* of 9 September 2021⁴.

0.2.1 Some short remarks on the contents, structure and internal dynamics of the comment of *ELDER OF ZIYON* of 9 September 2021.

0.2.1.1 It was written as one of the few short comments against the publication of Andrea Gagliarducci's article “*Experts latest investigation dispels myths about Pius XII and Rome's Jews*” which was published on **29 August 2021 by CNA**⁵ and got an international and worldwide very favorable response⁶.

0.2.1.2 The structure of this comment can be described in the following way: in the first half it seems to be a normal critic of each statement (= micro-historical-topic⁷), just a normal, simple, stereotypical standard accusation⁸.

0.2.1.3 But in the middle of the comment it was discovered that *ELDER OF ZIYON* practiced an undeniably grave and unscientific falsification⁹.

0.2.1.4 With this detected conscious falsification practiced by *Elder of Zion* it was necessary to read again the whole comment from this new point of view. A grave consequence for *Elder of Zion* was that the omission to tell historical facts on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli, which caused historically erroneous conclusions of *Elder of Zion*, got a double meaning: they were not only a historical error in itself, but also a willingly perpetrated falsification of the type “NOT TELLING”. And these falsifications were very many!!!!!!!

0.2.1.5 It was very surprising that the second part of the comment was a dense compilation of invented unhistorical accusations rejected by thousands of primary sources!!! At the same time that the comment was going to the end the number of historical errors kept growing in an exponential and seldom seen rate¹⁰. This

⁴ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

⁵ Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/>

⁶ Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.16.

⁷ Infra 1. – 1.5.: **5 heavy historical errors** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.1*.

⁸ Infra 8. – 8.2.5.34: **81 heavy historical errors** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.8*.

⁹ Infra 14. – 14.17.3: Elder of Zion **had at least at least 181 historical errors of whom 15 grave historical falsifications immanently present** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.14*.

¹⁰ An example of the exponential grows of the historical error-rate preset in the comment of Elder of Zion from micro-historical-topic Nr.14. until micro-historical-topic Nr. 19.:

Infra 14. – 14.17.3: Elder of Zion **had at least at least 181 historical errors of whom 15 grave historical falsifications immanently present** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.14*.

Infra 17. – 17.3: Elder of Zion **had at least at least 574 historical errors of whom 293 grave historical falsifications immanently present** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.17*.

Infra 19. – 19.5: Elder of Zion **had at least at least 1.813 historical errors of whom 1.225 grave historical falsifications immanently present** in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.19*.

indicates objectively how far away **ELDER OF ZIYON** in his progressing comment-writing is deviating from the objective verifiable contents of the primary sources.

0.2.1.6 The surprise became complete when it was discovered that the mere title of the comment of **ELDER of Ziyon** got the highest historical error-rate: immanently present in the contents of the title confronted with the 22.067 already known primary sources were **AT LEAST MORE than 2.000 historical errors of which 1.000 were grave historical falsifications of the contents of primary sources**¹¹. We remark that the real historical error-rate here is much, much higher than the indicated **AT LEAST** 2.000 historical error. And indeed, in this case it tends to 20.000!!!! This indicates how far away **ELDER OF ZIYON** deviated with his 8 systematically practiced methodic falsifications from the historical objective reality of the image of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) present and verifiable in the 22.067 primary sources!

0.2.2 We give hereunder some examples of the powerful features of the “Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958”- method, applied on the comment of ELDER OF ZIYON of 9 September 2021.

0.2.2.1 Example of one type of historical error of ELDER OF ZIYON present in his comment: HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#226¹². This means that until that moment in the comment were already discovered 226 times (*quantity*) historical errors of the type: contradictions (*nature of the historical error*). It is clear that from the beginning to the end it can be followed up how the quantity of this error is developing and progressing.

0.2.2.2 Example of series of primary sources that were violated by the accusation and that reject this accusation as a group: **HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#169 – #231**¹³. In this case 72 primary sources reject the accusation micro-historical-topic nr.12.

0.2.2.3 Example of module working: micro-historical-topic Nr.11¹⁴ had the same contents as *micro-historical-topic Nr.10*. For this reason, also the same 81 historical errors as *micro-historical-topic Nr.10*.

0.2.2.4 Example of different types of historical errors against primary sources that are violated and are immanently present in only one accusation: At least 2.000 historical errors, of which 1.000 grave historical falsifications, are present in the *micro-historical-topic Nr. 21*¹⁵. The number 2.000 results from the sum of 5 different typical historical errors, and 5 different types of falsification practiced by **ELDER OF ZIYON** and each of these 10 different historical error dimensions is rejected at least 200 times by different primary sources¹⁶.

0.2.2.5 Example of a large group of primary sources documenting 7.752 critics against Hitler and contradicting the accusations that Pius XII did not condemn: sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 19.4¹⁷. This set

¹¹ Infra 22. – 22.4: Elder of Ziyon *had at least at least 2.000 historical errors of whom 1.000 grave historical falsifications immanently present* in the small statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.22*.

¹² Infra 21.11.

¹³ Infra 12. – 12.3.

¹⁴ Infra 11. – 11.2.

¹⁵ Infra 21. – 21.12.1.

¹⁶ Overview of the **2.000 (= 1.000 + 1.000) historical errors of whom 1.000 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.21.12.1. that Elder of Ziyon liked to be discussed:**

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.734 - #1.933	#200	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.734 - #1.933	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#501 - #700	#200	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#695 - #894	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#227 - #426	#200	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#222 - #421	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#429- #448	#200	FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#532 -#731	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSN-NR.#203 - #402	#200	FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#211 - #410	#200
TOTAL	#1.000	TOTAL	#1.000

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

¹⁷ Infra 19.4 – 19.4.1.

of documents firmly confirms 7.752 historical errors against the contents of primary sources of the type FALSIFICATING: **FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#1 - #7.752**. Thus it is clearly evidenced that a simple negation by an author, when it is false, can have very grave exponential consequences in increasing his historical error-rate.

0.2.2.6 Example of *reduced calculation* (= AT LEAST) of a large group of primary sources that were violated by an accusation, in order to reduce artificially the historical error-rate of the author of the comment and to make the number of his historical errors immanently present in his comment not too high: in sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.2¹⁸ **Elder of Zion** is violating at least 7.000 times the contents of known primary sources by the historical error of falsification by not-telling. Normally and theoretically it should be calculated here **FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1 - #7.000**. We only calculated **AT LEAST 100 FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#676 - #775** to avoid that the error-rate directly went into the 10-thousands of historical errors. So **AT LEAST 100** stands for **>100**. *The reduced calculation was applied in the actual calculation more than 20 different times.*

0.2.3 The reduced calculation and the full-known calculation of the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*”- *method* applied on the comment of **ELDER OF ZIYON of 9 September 2021.**

<i>Micro-historical-Topic Nr. in the comment of ELDER OF ZIYON</i>	<i>Historical errors immanently present in the small comment of ELDER OF ZIYON according to the <i>reduced calculation</i></i>	<i>Historical errors immanently present in the small comment of ELDER OF ZIYON according to the <i>full calculation</i></i>
1	5	5
2	5	5
3	4	4
4	3	40.000
5	6	8.000
6	5	10.000
7	14	58.000
8	81	81
9	37	37
10	81	81
11	81	81
12	83	7.752
13	83	7.752
14	181	50.000
15	10	10
16	289	500
17	574	13.000
18	643	60.000
19	1.813	80.000
20	4	4
21	4.000	184.340
22	1	1
Extra falsifications ¹⁹	>384	-
TOTAL	AT LEAST 8.387	619.653

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

¹⁸ Infra 17.2 – 17.2.4.

¹⁹ Infra 14.17 – 14.17.3: The falsifications executed by **Elder of Zion** in the first part from micro-historical-topic Nr. 1 until Nr.14 are at least >384 falsifications.

0.2.3.1 Some remarks on: “**0.2.3: The reduced calculation and the full-known calculation of the “Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958”- method applied on the comment of ELDER OF ZIYON of 9 September 2021.**”

0.2.3.1.1 One notes that the **full-calculation-method** of historical errors discovered to be **immanently present** in various²⁰ **micro-historical-topics** of the comment of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is a lot of times indicating **historical error numbers in the size of thousands, and even ten-thousands!!!!** This shows that in these micro-historical-topics **ELDER OF ZIYON** is writing accuses that deviate very far away from the contents of thousands of primary sources, and even that large groups of thousands of primary sources contents are rejecting that particular “invented pseudo-historical accuse” of **ELDER OF ZIYON**.

0.2.3.1.2 One notes also that even the **reduced-calculation-method** indicates more than 8.387 historical errors. This shows that a lot of falsifications were consciously and systematically executed by **ELDER OF ZIYON**. And indeed, **ELDER OF ZIYON** practiced systematical falsifications in 8 different historical dimensions of the primary sources on the historical image of Pius XII evidenced in the already known at least 22.067 primary sources!

0.2.3.2 Overview of a normal standard scientific historical error-rate scale:

0.2.3.2.1 **A scientific historian** writes in a high-density article of about 2 pages normally “**0**” – “**ZERO**” **historical errors up to perhaps “1” historical error.**

0.2.3.2.2 From **a non-professional amateurish scientific historian** it can normally be expected that he writes in a high-density article of about 2 pages circa “**5**” – “**10**” **historical errors.**

0.2.3.2.3 **A pseudo-historic unscientific writer, wanting to practice falsification,** in a high-density article of about 2 pages can be normally expected to make **more than 20 historical errors against the contents of primary sources.**

0.2.3.3 This means that **ELDER OF ZIYON**, who, in the reduced calculation is showing “**AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors**” in his small comment of only 2 pages, has practiced very grave and very intense systematical falsification. And it is proved by the facts!

0.2.3.4 More: the fact that in **the full-calculation** the **historical error-rate against the contents of the primary sources** is indicating much **more than 600.000 historical errors** against the primary sources indicates that **ELDER OF ZIYON practiced very grave intentional and consciously unscientific historical falsification in his small comment of only 2 pages.** And indeed examining the development of the historical error-rate in the progress of the comment it is striking that the historical error-rate of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is growing in a seldom seen exponential way!

0.2.3.5 In short: **the average historical error rate** of **ELDER OF ZIYON**, in his unscientific and unhistorical compilation of text only two pages long, shows that, for each statement of the 22 that were confronted with the 22.067 primary sources, **an average of AT LEAST 382** (= 8.387 / 22) of the 22.067 primary sources contradict each of his 22 unscientific and unhistorical invented statements.

²⁰ Supra 0.2.3 e Infra 1. – 22.4.

0.2.4 *Historical-error-spectrum analysis* of the *AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors* discovered to be *immanently present* after practicing the reduced calculation of the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*”- *method* applied on the comment of *ELDER OF ZIYON* of 9 September 2021²¹.

Historical error type	Historical error type <i>code</i>	Historical error type code number	Number
NOT TELLING Practiced Falsification	FALSIFICATION- NTPF	FALSIFICATION-NTPF- NR.#1 - #1.933	#1.933
<i>FALSIFICATION of Historical Proved Primary Source Studied Facts by Referring the Opposite of what the Results Say</i>	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#1 - #894	#894
<i>Willingly Falsifying the Historical Truth and Not Wanting to Correct his Personal Erroneous Unhistorical Vision</i>	FALSIFICATION- WFHTNWCPEUV	FALSIFICATION- WFHTNWCPEUV-NR. #1 - #421	#421
Reducing the Proved Historical Number of Jews helped by Pius XII	FALSIFICATION- PHNR	FALSIFICATION-PHNR- NR. #1 - #731	#731
<i>Invented Artificial Unhistorical Accusations Confronted with the 22.067 primary sources proved Foundation</i>	FALSIFICATION- IAUAWECF	FALSIFICATION- IAUAWECF-NR.# #1 - #410	#410
<i>NOT having READ THIS SIMPLE PRIMARY SOURCE available everywhere in the world, and Simulating to have Read</i>	FALSIFICATION- RPSSR	FALSIFICATION-RPSSR- NR. #1 - #4	#4
NOT TELLING the two-dimensional Contents of the 22.067 Already Known Primary Sources	FALSIFICATION- NTCAKPS	FALSIFICATION- NTCAKPS-Nr. #1 - #4	#4
Generalizing a Small Information as Being the Whole	FALSIFICATION- GSIBW	FALSIFICATION-GSIBW- NR. #1 - #8	#8
			#4.405
NOT TELLING	HISTORICAL- ERROR-NT	HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT- NR. #1 - #1.933	#1.933
<i>LOGical error in the erroneous very strange ILLOGically invented CONclusion</i>	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGILLOGCON	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGILLOGCON-NR. #1 - #700	#700
<i>CONtradicting the historical facts, he himself was publishing before</i>	HISTORICAL- ERROR-LOGCONHS	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGCONHS-NR. #1 - #426	#426
ILLOGical NOT SCIENTIFIC METHOD USED	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGILLOGNSMU	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGILLOGNSMU-NR. #1 - #448	#448
<i>Confusing and Mixing up what he Dreams to Think that is Written in the article With what is Really Standing Here</i>	HISTORICAL-ERROR- CMEDTWWRSH	HISTORICAL-ERROR- CMEDTWWRSH-NR. #1 - #403	#403
To Sell a Small Part as Being the Whole of All Information Available	HISTORICAL- ERROR-TSSPBWALA	HISTORICAL-ERROR- TSSPBWALA-NR. #1 - #6	#6
<i>historical LOGical error of GENeralizing a number for what happened in a short period</i>	HISTORICAL- ERROR-LOGGEN	HISTORICAL-ERROR- LOGGEN-NR. #1 - #5	#5
Reads and Studies the Historical Argument too SUPERficially	HISTORICAL- ERROR-RSHASUP	HISTORICAL-ERROR- RSHASUP-NR. #1 - #27	#27
<i>Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss</i>	HISTORICAL- ERROR-NKIALPSS	HISTORICAL-ERROR- NKIALPSS-NR. #1 - #20	#20
Behind Actual International State of Dynamical Research of Primary Sources on this Micro-Historical-Topic	HISTORICAL- ERROR- BAISDRPSMHT	HISTORICAL-ERROR- BAISDRPSMHT-NR. #1 - #8	#8
Not Knowing About What He Is Speaking	HISTORICAL- ERROR-NKAWHIS	HISTORICAL-ERROR- NKAWHIS-NR. #1 - #6	#6
			#3.982
TOTAL			#8.387

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

²¹ Infra 1. – 22.4.

- 0.2.4.1 Some remarks on the *Historical-error-spectrum analysis* of the **AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors** discovered to be immanently present in the comment of **ELDER OF ZIYON** of 9 September 2021:
- 0.2.4.1.1 It is striking that **53% (4.405)** of the **AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors** discovered to be immanently present in the comment of **ELDER OF ZIYON** of 9 September 2021, were falsifications on and against the contents of the primary sources!!!!!!
- 0.2.4.1.2 The *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists in NOT TELLING **(1.933 times)** the contents of primary sources rejecting his unscientific invented unhistorical statements.
- 0.2.4.1.3 The second *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists in writing the opposite **(894 times)** of what is contained in the primary sources.
- 0.2.4.1.4 The third *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists **(731 times)** in artificially reducing a historical significant number of Jews helped by Pius XII to “0” –“zero” or insignificant little number²².
- 0.2.4.1.5 The fourth *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists **(421 times)** in not accepting a historical fact proved by the primary sources and publishing rejected accusations as if they were not rejected.
- 0.2.4.1.6 The fifth *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists **(410 times)** in inventing unhistorical and non-existent accusations devoid of any primary source foundation.
- 0.2.4.1.7 The sixth *dominant falsification practiced by ELDER of ZIYON* consists **(6 times)** in the generalization of 1 small information of a particular small local event and representing it as general. Even if it is practiced only 6 times, it is 6 falsifications too much!!! But do not forget that the 1.933 times of *Not Telling* have also a dimension of falsification by generalization. So, the **6 times** is changing into **1.933 times!!!!!!**
- 0.2.4.1.8 The seventh grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(700 times)** an illogical and erroneous historical conclusion.
- 0.2.4.1.9 The eight-grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(448 times)** using an unscientific method.
- 0.2.4.1.10 The ninth-grave group of historical errors immanently present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(426 times)** contradicting himself.
- 0.2.4.1.11 The tenth-grave group of historical errors immanently present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consist in **(403 times)** mixing dreams made by Elder of Ziyon and believed as historical reality.
- 0.2.4.1.12 The eleventh-grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(27 times)** not having studied the primary sources or knowing them very superficially and inaccurately, which caused the disaster of his unscientific unhistorical compilation of text.
- 0.2.4.1.13 The twelfth-grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(20 times)** not knowing the actual state of international scientific historical publications based on primary sources on Pius XII, which caused the disaster of his unscientific unhistorical compilation of text.
- 0.2.4.1.14 The thirteenth-grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(8 times) Elder of Ziyon being** proved to be, on several historical topics on Pius XII-Eugenio Pacelli, decades behind the present international state of dynamical primary source research.
- 0.2.4.1.15 The fourteenth grave group of historical errors present in the comment of **ELDER of ZIYON** consists in **(6 times) his** being shown as totally ignorant and uninformed about several statements he made as undeniable and irrefutable, which caused the disaster of his unscientific and unhistorical compilation of text.
- 02.4.1.16 In short: this all together explains the seldom seen grave disaster of his unscientific unhistorical compilation of text.

²² Infra 14.16.

0.2.5 Significance of the
at least 8.387 discovered historical errors
of which 53% (4.405) are direct falsification
of the 22.067 already known primary sources,
contained in the small comment of
ELDER OF ZIYON:

The end of the battle of Armageddon!
The definitive bankruptcy from 9 September 2021 on
of all the about 50.000 international unscientific pseudo-historical authors
that tried, to accuse Pius XII but failed definitively!!

0.2.5.1 With the *historical scientific method* of the “*Dynamical systematical confrontation with 22.067 identified and codified primary sources of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*” applied on the circa 100.000 small or large publications of unhistorical accusations and comments against Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) produced in a period of about 60 years from February 20, 1963 until 9 September 2021 by about 50.000 pseudo- historical authors, this army of about 50.000 accusers is, from 9 September 2021 on, degraded in its role from 60 years dominant unscientific offensive aggressor, to the role of defensive defeated loser!

0.2.5.2 In fact the circa 50.000 producers of unscientific and unhistorical accusations are proved to have founded all their published accusations on invented: fanta- histories, fairy – tales inspired by: “*The cat of my aunt has dreamed this and told me that...*”, dreams and unscientific sentiments that are systematically rejected by the offensive of the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli), equipped with the army of detailed, numbered, coded, variety of important evidence present in the 22.067 already known primary sources of “*Smoking-gun-proof-quality*, as David Kertzer indicates these high-quality primary sources”.

0.2.5.3 We remark that the comment of Elder of Zion of 9 September 2021 was not a simple insignificant comment accusing Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli), but it stands for a group of circa 10.000 international comments that have similar contents. We point out that the Elder of Zion did his best to found his unscientific accusations but he failed and even in his title he failed 100%, because the international historical dynamical research on the primary sources never “whitewashed” the historical image of Pius XII, since it was always white according to the 22.067 primary sources on Pius XII from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958.

0.2.5.4 With this complete total disaster and defeat of the comment of Elder of Zion, also 10.000 international comments that used the same unhistorical accusations collapse and are defeated! Therefore this great defeat of this comment represents a great defeat of about 10.000 similar publications of the circa 100.000 unhistorical publications made by the army of 50.000 accusers. Starting from 27 January 2020 until 9 September 2021 this was in fact the 14th heavy confrontation of the accusers army with the international historical dynamical research on the primary sources of Pius XII. And for the 14th time the accusers were constantly defeated. It shows how desperate is the small rest of accusers since they increasingly lost their positions, and their number is constantly decreasing. Every month in the ranks of the 50.000 accusers army are found other accusers that based their accusations only on heavy falsifications. From 9 September 2021 it is clear that all the 100.000 publications made by the 50.000 accusers have lost their credibility because from 9 September 2021 they are under suspicion: “which falsification practiced this pseudo – historic accuser???” ... A real complete disaster for all of them.

0.2.5.5 At this moment nobody in the whole world, not even the accusers themselves, is still believing their invented accusations against Pius XII. So for whom are the still active accusers writing new accusations??? Furthermore the published list of accusers that practiced in very different ways unscientific falsification against the contents of the primary sources keeps growing. ... A very bad thing for accusers that practiced this unscientific method. Lots of accusers changed in the last years to defenders of Pius XII, when they realized that their position was lost and wanted to save their honor and reputation.

02.5.6 The disaster of the unscientific falsification of the **ELDER OF Zion** is only a new step in the decomposition and annihilation of the 100.000 publications rejected as unhistorical, that unavoidably must be

taken out of the libraries and sold as old paper - if the libraries respect their scientific principles - and are being replaced by studies and publications exclusively based on primary sources.

0.2.5.7 The catastrophic deprivation of scientific value of the comment of *Elder of Zion, since being discovered as a grave unhistorical falsification*, has three grave consequences for the army of approximately 50.000 pseudo – historical writers of 100.000 unhistorical publications:

0.2.5.7.1 About 10.000 of these were discovered suspicious to be unhistorical according to the 22.067 known primary sources.

0.2.5.7.2 A series of very grave dominant accusations were finally neutralized as unhistorical and rejected after the confrontation with the contents of the primary sources. From 9 September 2021 on they have lost for good their misleading enchanting power.

So for example:

* By the 22.067 primary sources it is proved that *Pius XII did everything he could for the Jews in Rome*. So it has been rejected the accusatorial slogan: "*He could have done more*" as unhistorical and lacking knowledge of the primary sources.

* By the 22.067 primary sources it is proved that *Pacelli did 7.752 critics of Hitler from November 14, 1923 until December 31, 1945 and 1.465 proved interventions for the Jews from January 1m 1894 until December 31, 1945 in order to defend their dignity, to defend the Jews against the Nazi violence and to defend the Jews against deportations*. Thus the accusatory slogan "*His omission of criticism against Hitler*" has been rejected as unhistorical and lacking in depth analysis of primary sources.

* By the 22.067 primary sources it is proved that "*the historical image of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) was always spotless from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958*". So it is being rejected the accusatory slogan: "*Do not whitewash the historical image of Pius XII*", as unhistorical and only lacking knowledge of the primary sources.

* *The help of Pius XII to the Jews was substantial, punctual and significant!*, Etc.

0.2.5.7.3 It is possible, from 9 September 2021, to measure systematically and in a scientific way the historical error rate, in its multi-dimensional levels, that each of the 50.000 pseudo historical writers developed in their (circa 100.000) publications with unscientific fanta-history accuses.

The first statistical samples out of the 100.000 publications with accusations show historical error rates *from* 825 historical errors with 542 practiced falsifications (Dirk Verhofstadt "*Resoconto intermedio sugli archivi di Pio XII*"²³) *to AT LEAST* 8.387 historical errors – which in their full calculation can even indicate circa 620.000 historical errors with at least 4.405 falsifications in the short comment of Elder of Zion²⁴. This indicates that the historical quality in those 100.000 publications is suspicious to be absent.

The extremely high historical error rate discovered here proves that those publications are, with regard to the historical image of Pius XII, extremely distant from the contents of the 22.067 known primary sources and also completely wrong!

The *53%* indicates that it can be expected that *at least half* of the deformed unhistorical images of Pius XII originates from systematical falsification of the history of Pius XII by invented accusations. With this great unscientific deficit are also vested the other 99.998 publications of accusations of Pius XII. And the first quality control tests prove that they are in no way better!!!

0.2.5.8. In conclusion, this means that in the 14th great confrontation battle on the historical image of Pius XII, based on the contents of the primary sources, between the *International historical dynamical research on the primary sources of Pius XII*, on the one side, and *the army of 50.000 pseudo – history writers of circa 100.000 unhistorical and fictional accusations based on unreliable secondary literature*, the army of the 50.000 has suffered the destiny of the loser in the big final battle of the Armageddon!!!

²³ Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.4.

²⁴ Supra 0.2.4.

0.2.6 Overview of 18 different neutralized unhistorical accusations in the short comment of Elder of Ziyon

1. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 5 heavy historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.1*: “**Pius XII actively helped save several hundred Jews from Rome!**”²⁵, p. 16.
2. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 5 heavy historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.2*: “**Yet even Oversteyns admits this was temporary!**”²⁶, p. 17.
3. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 4 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.3*: “**This is not new information!**”²⁷, p. 18.
4. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 3 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.4*: “**We know that Pius did some things that were good**”²⁸, p. 19.
5. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 6 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.5*: “**but they tended to come rather late**”²⁹, p. 20.
6. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 5 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.6*: “**and were relatively minor gestures**”³⁰, p. 21.
7. John Pawlikowski has immanently present 14 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.7*, according to the citation of Elder of Ziyon: “**We know that Pius did some things that were good, but they tended to come rather late, they were mostly behind the scenes and were relatively minor gestures.**”³¹, p. 22.
8. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present at least 81 historical errors in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.8*: “**In fact, the Pope could have done more for the Jews of Rome themselves**”³², p. 23.
9. As in the previous small secondary micro-historical-topic mentioned by Elder of Ziyon³³ after a short dynamical confrontation with some of the 22.067 already identified, codified and studied primary sources of the international dynamical research, **37 additional historical errors were mentioned several times in the very small statement of 3 accusations of John Pawlikowski**³⁴, p. 30.
10. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present *at least 81 historical errors* in his short comment in the following statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.10*: “Only last year it was discovered that Pius **ignored a memo to publicly protest the deportation of Jews from Rome**”³⁵, p. 31.
11. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present *at least 81 historical errors* in his statement: *micro-historical-topic Nr.11*: “David Kertzer, a professor of anthropology and Italian studies at Brown University, said he has now found the full version of a memo from a senior Vatican official urging Pius to formally protest against the deportation — advice the pope **ignored**”³⁶, p. 33.

²⁵ Infra 1. – 1.5.

²⁶ Infra 2. – 2.5.

²⁷ Infra 3. – 3.4.

²⁸ Infra 4. – 4.3.

²⁹ Infra 5. – 5.5.

³⁰ Infra 6. – 6.4.

³¹ Infra 7. – 7.1.

³² Infra 8. – 8.2.5.34.

³³ Infra 8.2. – 8.2.5.33.

³⁴ Infra 9. – 9.4.

³⁵ Infra 10. – 10.4.

³⁶ Infra 11. – 11.2.

12. Elder of Zion has immanently present at least 83 historical errors in his statement - that he took over from David Kertzer -: micro-historical-topic Nr.12: “<<*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*>> said *Professor Kertzer*.”³⁷, p. 34.
13. The quotation of David Kertzer “<<*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*>> said *Professor Kertzer*.” that Elder of Zion used in his statement: micro-historical-topic Nr.13, also has immanently present at least 83 historical errors!³⁸, p. 34.
14. Elder of Zion has immanently present at least 181 historical errors of which 15 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*The real legacy of Pope Pius XII is not that he indirectly and silently helped several hundred local Jews take shelter in various Catholic convents or monasteries, but what he didn't do that could possibly have saved tens of thousands more*.”³⁹, p. 35.
15. Elder of Zion has immanently present 10 historical errors of which 3 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*The Vatican maintained a policy of neutrality from the start of World War II. The Allies signed a declaration condemning the extermination of the Jews on December 17, 1942, but the Pope refused to add his signature to the document*.”⁴⁰, p. 43.
16. Elder of Zion has immanently present 289 historical errors of which 145 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*He obliquely referred to the Holocaust in his Christmas message a week later, but didn't mention Jews explicitly, and kept it low key, referring to “the hundreds of thousands of persons who, without any fault on their part, sometimes only because of their nationality or ethnic origin, have been consigned to death or to a slow decline*.”⁴¹, p. 44.
17. Elder of Zion has immanently present 574 historical errors of which 293 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*Pius XII's failure to explicitly condemn the Holocaust gave the responsibility of saving or damning Jews to individual Catholic leaders and laypeople. Some decided to shelter and save Jews, and some decided to collaborate with the Nazis*.”⁴², p. 47.
18. Elder of Zion has immanently present 643 historical errors and 321 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*While Pius may have helped Catholics save a small percentage of Rome's Jews, he was not a local Rome official — he was the leader of some 200 million European Catholics. His words could have encouraged them to save and rescue hundreds of thousands of doomed Jews*.”⁴³, p. 50.
19. Elder of Zion has immanently present AT LEAST 1.813 historical errors of which 1.225 grave historical falsifications in his statement “*His main crime was one of omission, and no amount of research showing that he indirectly saved a few hundred local Jews can possibly take away his execrable record of refusing to use his significant moral weight to condemn Nazi Germany and instruct his followers to save every Jew they could*.”⁴⁴, p. 53.
20. Elder of Zion is in his small unscientific unhistorical comment, when he is speaking about <<*“non-professional”- behavior*>> making a double erroneous illogical conclusion.⁴⁵, p. 56.
21. Elder of Zion has immanently present AT LEAST 2.000 historical errors of which 1.000 grave historical falsifications in his statement: “*do not whitewash Pius XII*”⁴⁶, p. 57.

³⁷ Infra 12. – 12.3.

³⁸ Infra 13. – 13.2.

³⁹ Infra 14. – 14.17.3.

⁴⁰ Infra 15. – 15.10.

⁴¹ Infra 16. – 16.4.

⁴² Infra 17. – 17.3.

⁴³ Infra 18. – 18.4.

⁴⁴ Infra 19. – 19.5.

⁴⁵ Infra 20.

⁴⁶ Infra 21. – 22.4.

0.2.7
*The rejection of
the accusation – comment of
ELDER OF ZIYON
as a grave systematical and unhistorical falsification
proves that
Pius XII
is a
“Righteous Among the Nations”*

0.2.7.1 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 1 Jew in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.2 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 10 Jews in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.3 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 100 Jews in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.4 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 1.000 Jews in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.5 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 10.000 Jews in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.6 When 1 man in the world helped gratis under risk of its life 100.000 Jews in difficulties he is declared:
“*Righteous Among the Nations*”.

0.2.7.7 Already at least 22.067 primary sources prove that Pope Pius XII has done everything that was possible to have helped or wanted to help at least 31.000 Jews in the Nazi – occupied Europe. **Pius XII condemned and criticized at least 7.752 times Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the Nazi -violence. Pius XII did at least 1.465 interventions for the Jews to defend their dignity, to defend them against Nazi – violence, to defend them against deportations (>602 times).** His historical image for these facts was always white in the contents of the primary sources, so it indeed does not need never to be whitewashed! He did what he could considering the fact that from 5 October 1941 he declared in an official document **that Hitler was NOT listening to his protests** and in an official document of 30 March 1941 Cardinal Maglione informed Pius XII that the severe direct condemnations made in defense of the people Pope Pius XII wanted to protect had no result: Hitler went on with his violence. Worse: the protests of the Pope only augmented the tortures of the people that Pius XII wanted to defend: the Polish and the Jews. So Pius XII changed from direct to indirect grave condemnation of Hitler, which consisted in no silence at all but in denouncing and criticizing him without nominating his name. And his indirect protests arrived at the Nazis and caused each year heavy reactions of the Nazis against Pius XII!

The accusation of “**crime of omission of Pius XII**” is rejected by the confrontation with the contents of 22.067 already known primary sources as Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) constantly warned the German people from 14 November 1923 until 8 May 1945 against the danger of Hitler. The ministers of Nazi-occupied countries in 1941 - 1942 confirmed that they knew that Pius XII defended the Jews. Pius XII did from August 1941 until April 1943 8 very heavy written personal critics in official documents directed to Hitler. When Hitler was on the top of his political and military power. So Pius XII really took risk for his life. Pius XII defended the Jews publicly in his encyclicals of October 20, 1939, and of 29 June 1943 explicitly nominating the Jews!!!!

The international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII discovers month after month that always more accusers practiced very grave unscientific systematical falsifications of the contents of the primary sources; thus they now have lost every credibility. And the artificial, falsified, unhistorical, deformed image promoted by those accusers of Pope Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) also collapsed as unreliable. The main reason of these invented unhistorical falsified image of Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) proved to be the consequence of “omission of scientific studying of the already identified 22.067 primary sources on Pius XII”; the accusers were judging without knowing the facts evidenced by the primary sources!!!!

On the one hand, as since 9 September 2021 the international public became conscious of these grave systematical falsifications, performed by the accusers in order to deform artificially and without any historical reason the image of Pius XII, the accusers have lost all credibility and their publications have lost their scientific value and are declassified as unreliable, unhistorical text compilations.

On the other hand, with the progress of the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII, each month more primary sources about Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) are identified, studied and classified. So the number of 22.067 primary sources in favor of Pius XII is rising, and in the meantime the **number of 7.752 condemnations of Hitler is rising**, and *the number of 1.465 interventions for the Jews dignity, help to the Jews and interventions against deportations is still rising*.

There still are a lot of archive documents to be studied that are known and still NOT included in the 22.067 primary source documents indicating that Pius XII did help indeed hundreds of thousands of Jews!!!

With the present state of research on 9 September 2021 is it clear that Pius XII deserves to be proclaimed immediately

“**Righteous Among the Nations**”

as all the accusations are shown to have come out of grave historical falsifications and all the much more than 22.067 primary sources undeniably prove that Pius XII under risk of his life condemned Hitler and did what he could to help the Jews in their great need!

1. Elder of Ziyon has immanently present 5 heavy historical errors in his short statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.1):

“Dominiek Oversteyns ... pored over public archives to show that Pius XII actively helped save several hundred Jews from Rome!”⁴⁷

1.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#1**): Elder of Ziyon is making the grave historical **LOGical** error of **GENeralization** a number of what happened in a short period of 5 weeks: the saving work for roman Jews that Pope Pius XII did from 9 September 1943 until 16 October 1943 04.59, as the end result of what Pope Pius XII did for helping the Roman Jews in 9 months from 10 September 1943 until 4 June 1944. If one read carefully the article of Andrea Gagliarducci this *historical erroneous interpretation nor this information written by Elder of Ziyon in his erroneous statement* is in no way present anywhere in the article⁴⁸.

One observes that this is a basic – fundamental - logical error, that in Europe is already taught in European elementary school at children of about 9 years, this means in the third-class basic schools that means that children and at the fourth class from 10 years on and certainly not students at university *nor professors that teach at university level are expected to make this grave basic logical error* against *elementary international practiced science method*.

1.2 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#1**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he Reads and Studies the Historical Argument to SUPERficial**. This not scientific way of working is the source of lot of unnecessary historical errors and unnecessary time consuming low-level discussions, that in 0,001 nano -seconds are out as the person that wants to discuss is demonstrating by the presenting of his discussion argument to be not at home in what he wants to discuss!!!! If one read carefully the article of Andrea Gagliarducci this *historical erroneous interpretation nor this information written by Elder of Ziyon in his erroneous statement* is in no way present anywhere in the article⁴⁹.

1.3 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#1**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement⁵⁰.

1.4 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#1**): Elder Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that **he is in his opinion about what happened in Rome with the Roman Jew during the 9 months Nazi persecution has still an old fashion idea of 50 years ago and** is in no way representing the actual state of the internarial dynamical research of the primary sources on Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958: **Behind Actual International State of Dynamical Research of Primary Sources on this Micro-Historical-Topic**. These new high-level results of state of the international research were already published on 12 March 2014 and Elder of Ziyon is demonstrating in the way how he wrote his small statement that even that he not knowing! So indeed, an old fashion version of historical academic knowledge of what happened in Rome of more than 8 years ago seems to be present in his horizon of knowing and judging. An update and upgrading are not bad to be able to participate in an actual international discussion on this small micro-topic about Pope Pius XII.

1.5 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSN-NR.#1**): Elder Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that he is **Confusing and Mixing Erroneous up what he Dreams to Think that is Written in the article With what is Really Standing Here!!!!**

⁴⁷ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>

⁴⁸ Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews>.

⁴⁹ Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews>.

⁵⁰ Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1.1 – Fig. 3.16.4.

2. Elder of Ziyon *has immanently present 5 heavy historical errors* in his statement (*micro-historical-topic Nr.2*):

“Yet even Oversteyns admits this was temporary!”⁵¹

2.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#2**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he Reads and Studies the Historical Argument to SUPERficial**. If one read carefully the article of Andrea Gagliarducci this *historical erroneous interpretation nor this information written by Elder of Ziyon in his erroneous statement* is in no way present anywhere in the article⁵².

2.2 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSN-NR.#2**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that he is **Confusing and Mixing Erroneous up what he Dreams to Think that is Written in the article With what is Really Standing Here!!!!** In fact he invents what he dreamt that I would have written or said in the article of Andrea Gagliarducci that **“I Dominiek Oversteyns ADMITS THIS WAS TEMPORARY!!!”** If one read carefully the article of Andrea Gagliarducci this *historical invented erroneous interpretation nor this information written by Elder of Ziyon in his erroneous statement* is in no way present anywhere in the article⁵³.

2.3 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#2**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement⁵⁴, as the whole international audience know already from 12 march 2014 on that these informations written are absolute helps of Pius XII in that specific indicated time periods. So in NO sense temporary. Even more the international audience know that those published numbers of what Pope Pius XII did in those periods can only increase after more research of primary sources. This these numbers published are absolute with the potential of growing if they did not reach already their end value. This means also that the invented strange statement of *Elder of Ziyon is again for this third reason historical completely wrong*.

2.4 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#2**): Elder of Ziyon expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that **he is in his opinion about what happened in Rome with the Roman Jew during the 9 months Nazi persecution has still an old fashion idea of 50 years ago**. I can imagine that in comments written in the USA from 1965 until 1985 it can be *possible to find the erroneous unhistorical slogan that the help of Pius XII in Rome to the Jews was temporary!*

2.5 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#1**): Elder of Ziyon is practicing by this erroneous very strange **ILLOGical** invented **CONclusion** statement. If one read carefully the article of Andrea Gagliarducci one can observe that Pius XII was helping the Roman Jews before the Nazi round-up (16 October 1943) on the day self of the round-up and even clearly in the 8 months heavy Nazi – occupation from 17 October 1943 until 4 June 1944. So here is a complete continuous historical exclusive primary source proved working of Pius XII in helping the Roman Jews⁵⁵. So inevitable and undeniable is the small conclusion comment of Elder of Ziyon: **“Yet even Oversteyns admits this was temporary” rejected as completely illogical and contradicted by the primary source facts listed up in the article of Andrea Gagliarducci and even by the already lots of the 22.067 identified primary sources of Eugenio – Pacelli – Pius XII.**

⁵¹ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

⁵² Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews>.

⁵³ Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews>.

⁵⁴ Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1.1 – Fig. 3.16.4.

⁵⁵ Andrea Gagliarducci: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/248813/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews>.

3. *Elder of Zion* has immanently present 4 historical errors in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.3):

*“This is not new information!”*⁵⁶

3.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#3**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement in this way. In fact the first version of these COMPLETE NEW results of studies of primary sources was done on 12 march 2014. After a battle among international specialists of 4 years these new results were on 14 April 2028 published in the International Holocaust literature, on 27 January 2020 published in the UNO in New York USA and on 29 August 2021 by CNA published and make known for the whole very interested public international who proved still not know to know these facts on 29 august 2021, where the specialist new it only from 12 March 2014 and even before it was completely not known!⁵⁷

3.2 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#2**): *Elder of Zion* is practicing an erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement, as these new facts where published for the first time on 12 march 2014. This means before this information where not known!!! As *Elder of Zion* for reason of not accurate expressing the time he intended when he thought that this information was already known, the negative consequence of this lack of accuracy is that at least before 12 march 2014 his to superficial comment is definitely and undiscussable completely logical “false” and rejected as wrong.

3.3 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#3**): *Elder of Zion* is practicing an erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement, as he does not specify precise for whom it was known. So before 12 March 2014 these new facts were not known by no – one, accept the publisher Dominiek Oversteyns. So in this dimension the erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement *Elder of Zion* is rejected for the third time as the statement of *Elder of Zion* is in complete contradiction with the knowledge of the persons in the whole world about my study before 12 March 2014.

3.4 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#3**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that the deeper ground of his two before indicated historical errors: time inaccuracy and person inaccuracy, is that he is expressing himself to superficial general out of lack of accuracy that is coming forth of having studied his micro-historical-topic to general, this means a clear demonstrated lack of real high level academically profound research practiced by *Elder of Zion* is demonstrated here by this way of how *Elder of Zion* is expressing his erroneous small comment.

⁵⁶ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

⁵⁷ Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1.1 – Fig. 3.16.4.

4. *Elder of Zion* has immanently present 3 historical errors in his short statement (micro-historical-topic Nr4):

“We know that Pius did some things that were good”⁵⁸

4.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#4**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement in this way. In fact, Pius XII saved from 19 October 1943 on in Rome alone 6.381 of the 9.975 Jews that would have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944 at 23.59!⁵⁹, freed 20% or 1/5th of the Jews captured on the Nazi - roundup of 16 October 1943, hid and saved 500 Jews in 49 roman convents before the beginning of the razzia, did 236 interventions to free captured Roman Jews from 16 October 1943 until 4 June 1944, condemned and criticized at least 7.752 times (intermediate state of primary source research on 10 December 2021) very hard Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the Nazi – violence from 14 November 1923 until 9 October 1958, defended the Jews in their dignity, against Nazi violence and against deportations from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958 of whom alone 602 defends against deportations, from 1 September 1939 until 8 May 1945 defended the dignity of the Polish people against the Nazi – Hitler violence 985 times!!

Only after the opening of the Vatican Archives were found in a period of 18 months research: 17.800 new unknown documents of Jews out of the period of the 6 war years, that proof that Pius XII helped already sure about 25.000 Jews!!! So this together with what Pius XII did for the Jews in Rome had as consequence that on 10 December 2021 were already known 22.067 primary source documents that prove that Pius XII helped at least more than 31.300 Jews!!!

This all proofs by his superficial way of writing his comment, *Elder of Zion* not to know!!!

4.2 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#4**): *Elder of Zion* is practicing an erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement, as what is summed up in point 4.1 is “a lot” and not “some” as erroneous judged *Elder of Zion* this wrong!

4.3 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#4**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument to be to superficial general out of lack of real high level academically profound research practiced by *Elder of Zion*.

⁵⁸ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

⁵⁹ Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1.1 – Fig. 3.16.4.

5. *Elder of Ziyon* **has immanently present 6 historical errors**
in his statement **(micro-historical-topic Nr.5)**:

*“but they tended to come rather late”*⁶⁰

5.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#5**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement in this way. Only look to what Pius XII did for Rome: he helped in time and even before it was really necessary, but when it was still possible!! So the statement of Elder of Zion is completely wrong and expressing that Elder of Zion is not knowing the actual international state of primary source on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.

5.2 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#5**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument to be to superficial general out of lack of real high level academically profound research practiced by *Elder of Zion*. This is an example that Elder of Zion proofs not tot have studied the primary sources and nevertheless is judging them!!! *There exist thousands of different primary sources that proves undeniable that Eugenio Pacelli – Pius XII was acting, helping and protesting already 1 until 2 years before the problems were there!!!!!!*

5.3 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#3**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that **he is in this opinion what he wrote is an old -fashion version idea of 50 years ago** about what was thought on unreliable invented and dreamt secondary sources that exist in overflow: but historical rejected as fanta – stroria and fairy tales!

5.4 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#5**): *Elder of Zion* is practicing an erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement, as what is summed up in point 5.2 causes that Elder of Zion has to conclude “*in time*” and not “*rather late*” as erroneously judged *Elder of Zion* this wrong!

5.5 A nice small example that will sett *Elder of Ziyon* completely in panic: “Eugenio Pacelli in Germany as Nuncio did 326 criticize and condemn already very heavily Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and Nazi-violence in Germany, only from 14 November 1923 until 10 December 1929 in 8 official documents and in 40 public speeches with an audience of a lot of times that was more than 120.000 persons.” This was published already on 1 January 2020!!! So indeed Eugenio Pacelli was warning the German Catholics (*He did a good thing*) and this 11 years before Hitler took the power in Germany!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#6**). **This small fact proofs undeniable that the grave Historical ERROR that Elder of Ziyon is Not Knowing About What He Is Speaking !!!!!!!!!!! (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#1).**

⁶⁰ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

6. *Elder of Zion* has immanently present 5 historical errors in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.6):

“and were relatively minor gestures”⁶¹

6.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#6**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement in this way. One look to 4.1 and to 5.2 and to 5.5 then it becomes clear that these are not minor gestures but high level gestures!!!! And this are only a few points of the 7.752 critics against Hitler in defense of the Jews. If one look intervention after intervention of what Pius XII did for saving and helping the Jews: already 1.465 different interventions known and looks out of what consist the 602 already known different interventions of Pius XII proved and counted already in the primary source research, than it becomes clear that *Elder of Zion* is NOT KNOWING about what he is speaking!! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#2**)

6.2 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#7**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument to be to superficial general out of lack of real high level academically profound research practiced by *Elder of Zion*. This is an example that *Elder of Zion* proofs not tot have studied the primary sources and nevertheless is judging them!!! There exist thousands of different primary sources that proves undeniable that Eugenio Pacelli – Pius XII was acting, helping and protesting already for whole groups of Jews even for all the Jews in occupied nations!!

6.3 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#4**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small statement that **he is in this opinion what he wrote is an old -fashion version idea of 50 years ago** about what was thought on unreliable invented and dreamt secondary sources that exist in overflow: but historical rejected as fanta – stroria and fairy tales!

6.4 Historical **LOGical** error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#6**): *Elder of Zion* is practicing an erroneous **ILLOGical CONclusion** statement, as what is summed up in point 6.1 & 6.2 causes that *Elder of Zion* has to conclude “important” and not “minor” as erroneous judged *Elder of Zion* this wrong!

⁶¹ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

7. John Pawlikowski *has immanently present* (14 = 3 + 6 + 5) *historical errors* in the following small statement (*micro-historical-topic Nr.7*), according to the citation of Elder of Ziyon:

“We know that Pius did some things that were good, but they tended to come rather late, they were mostly behind the scenes and were relatively minor gestures.”⁶²

7.1 As Elder of Ziyon cited John Pawlikowski in whose cite were found 14 historical errors⁶³ so also John Pawlikowski has the same historical errors and error structure as described for Elder of Ziyon⁶⁴. So we find the following overview of errors in the small cite of John Pawlikowski:

(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#7):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#8):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#9):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#7):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#8):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#9):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#8)
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#9)
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#10)
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#11):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#3)
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#4)
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#3):
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#4):

⁶² <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

⁶³ Supra 4. – 6.

⁶⁴ Supra 4.1 – 6.4.

8. Elder of Zion has *at least immanently present 81 historical errors in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.8):*

“In fact, the Pope could have done more for the Jews of Rome themselves”⁶⁵

8.1 Historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#10**): *Elder of Zion* expresses by his way of presenting this small argument that **he is Not Knowing the International Actual Literature of Primary Source Studies about the argument he wants to discuss**. If he would have known this, he never would have formulated his statement in this way. One looks to 4.1 then it becomes clear *that Pope Pius XII had done anything what was in his possibility to do* for the Roman Jews. Several times this was confirmed by Pope Pius XII in these 8 months Nazi – persecution against the Roman Jews in Rome!!!

It is evident, logical, clear and undeniable on 9 September 2021 that as long as is Elder of Zion of is using his unscientific pseudo-history author method, by uncritically copying unreliable secondary literature about Pius XII, and not personally studying the 22.067 primary sources already known on that moment, - and it's a number that still exponential is growing according to the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli is advancing – he will dwell in his confusion on his unhistorical image about Pius XII. This is only a small disadvantage of 100% practice his historical unscientific and rejected method by providing his knowledge on facts on Pius XII only out of secondary unreliable literature full with an overflow of unhistorical accuses that were already a longtime ago rejected by primary source research.

So if *Elder of Zion wants* to get out of his small problem it is advisable that he starts to study the already international known 22.067 primary sources, and to study what is standing here, and not to think what is standing here, but only read what is standing here and his dwelling in his dark confusion will have an end as the dark fog of not knowing is making place for the light of knowing and verifying and finding an Eugenio Pacelli who combats Hitler, helped, defended and protected the Jews against deportations and protected the Poland against Nazi's and this all in overflow of documents before never known by Elder of Zion, a quality of documents of primary sources that definite David Kertzer as “Smoking gun proves” and they exist indeed in mass in those 6 years of the war!!!

A small consolation for *Elder of Zion* is that he needs to learn, if he is still not knowing these languages at least Italian, as 85% of the 22.067 already known primary sources on Pius XII are exclusive written in Italian, and then needs also to study Netherlands as leading dominant main studies on primary sources are written in Netherlands, then is necessary to study also German and High - level Latina as also masses of very important crown primary source “smoking gun proves” as David Kertzer likes to call this quality of extra - ordinary primary source proves - are written in these languages. So he will be able to read a very high percentage in primary person from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958 personally and can convince himself about the masses of primary sources of the type of “smoking gun proves “ - according to David Kertzer - he will detect and with knowing them be able to discover and stigmatize the overflow of fanta – histories – according to Liliana Picciotto - he will discover the artificial accuses against Pius XII present in overflow in those 100.000 unhistorical unreliable secondary based literature, and personally reject ad declassify them as unhistorical nice fairy – tales and declassify the pseudo – historical authors as unhistorical fairy – tales writers.

As long as Zion of Elder has not practiced this normal elementary international basic research working method it is better not to publish anymore any personal comment on the historical image of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli as he proves clearly on the one side not to know the primary sources personally so is completely incompetent of giving an historical judgment on historical facts of Pius XII, and on the other hand is blind and uncritical copying unreliable statements of secondary literature unable to discern what statement is historical true and confirmed by primary sources and what statement in the secondary literature he is readings historical rejected as contradicted by one or more or masses of primary sources.

So in this actual state on 9 September 2021 on international scientific methodological proved not knowing about what Elder of Zion is speaking concerning the historical micro-topic: Pius XII is non-stop helping the Jews and condemning Hitler in the 6 years of the war from 1 September 1939 until 8 May 1945, it is better to

⁶⁵ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>

follow up the good council and advice of Ludwig Wittgenstein-1: About what you do not know, do not write not speak ... So Elder of Zion will stop to collaborate with the 50.000 pseudo – historical authors that with their 100.000 publications not knowing about what they were writing were confirming their dark confusion and making the smog darker even thick black to confuse the uncritical followers.

This weak almost inexistent fundament of historical knowledge on Pius XII Eugenio Pacelli present in the contents of the already known 22.067 primary sources on Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958 written explains way it is possible to stigmatize in about 0,003 nano seconds in the 100.000 international pseudo – historical publications produced from 18 February 1963 until 10 December 2021 the overflow of historical errors - rejected by lots of primary sources - they contain in there uncritically copied unreliable secondary source based accuses on Pius XII accuses that prove to have their first origin in a nice invented and introduced thought of “the cat of my aunt has dreamt that and told me ... “.

This weak base of real proved historical knowledge explained also way in 0,003 nano second millions of discussions on Pius XII were finished as the participants were discovered directly by their way of presenting the argument they want to discuss, in what they said and not said, to be proved not knowing about what they were speaking. And so this was the end of discussion!!!!

8.2 A nice short overview of some historical errors that *Elder of Zion* is producing by reproducing the conclusion - statement: “*In fact, the Pope could have done more for the Jews of Rome themselves*”⁶⁶

8.2.1 This is an old accuse - fruit of invented non historical primary source base - that was present already in the years 1963 - 1970. But from 12 March 2014 on systematical contradicted by masses of the 22.067 primary sources that were already studied!! So elder of Zion is in this way of representing the micro-historic-topic 55 years behind (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#5**) the actual state of international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.

8.2.2 This way of presenting the micro-historical-topic on Pius XII demonstrates clearly not to have studied the primary sources (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#12**), and for this reason Elder of Zion wrote uncritically and unprecise this erroneous accuse – conclusion.

8.2.3 *Elder of Zion*, as pretending to know everything on Pius XII and to be his judge by writing his small comment, is consciously **Not Telling** here (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1**) that this “strange unhistorical wrong conclusion” is only a deception tactic to cover the real problem: “The behaviour of the two leaders of the roman community of the Jews in Rome: Dante Almansi and Ugo Foà during the 5 weeks of Nazi occupation of Rome from 10 September 1943 until 15 October 1943. They were advised by the Head - Rabbi of Rome Zolli on 10 September 1943 to give the order to the Jewish roman community to dissolve, to hide and to destroy all the registers. But Almansi and Foà did not follow up this council in those 5 weeks before the roundup of the Nazis started. It is proved statistically sin 2 March 2017, knowing the behavior of the Roman Jews that this deathly silence caused of the 1.030 (= 1.029 Roman Jews + 1 strange Jew) that were definitively prisoned on 16 October 1943 at the eve, that at least 300 until 500 roman Jews certainly not would have been arrested, deported and murdered in Auschwitz on 23 October 1943 when the 2 leaders would have given this order to dissolve the roman – Jewish community and to hide! This fact is confirmed by the Roma Community on 5 October 2017 2017.

8.2.4 This means that *Elder of Zion* is here making two illogical historical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#10**) and (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#11**): *it is not Pius XII that could have done more* but: *and Almansi and Foà*! So Elder of Zion is and historical logical wrong in his small statement indicating the person and historical logical wrong in the quantity of persons who could have done more: not 1 but 2!

8.2.5 *Elder of Zion* is making a grave historical illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#83**). conclusion in presenting his conclusion in reference to what he confirmed explicit to know already. He copied of the article of Andrea Gagliarducci that of the 1.323 (= 1.116 Roman Jews and 207 Stranger Jews) and admits that Pius XII was helping 714 of them. The other decided out of private initiative to hid in the mountain villages around Rome (393 Jews) or in private houses by their friends (368) Jews. This means that before the Nazi razzia started Pius XII helped all the 100% of Roman Jews that asked him help. So 100% of the Roman Jews

⁶⁶ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

that asked him help before 16 October 1943. The other 609 (=393 + 216) Jews helped themselves and did not ask Pius XII for help. So Pius XII cannot help them!

8.2.5.1 This means that Pius XII did everything 100 % he could to help the Roman Jews even before the razzia!!!! This means that Elder of Zion is completely wrong in his illogical un historical judgment to state that if one is helping all the persons that asked for help, than one cannot help 105% of 100%! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#12**).

8.2.5.2 More this nice case proved that Elder of Zion is again historical completely wrong in his other illogical judgment that Pius XII was to late in his help. Here Pius XII is helping clearly - primary source proved - before the razzia. (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#13**).

8.2.5.3 More this nice case proved that Elder of Zion is also again historical completely wrong in his other illogical judgment that Pius XII help was “*minor gestures*” as helping 714 Jews is not a small group, but a very large significate group in judged in absolute value! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#14**).

8.2.5.4 More this nice case proved that Elder of Zion is also again historical completely wrong in his other illogical judgment that Pius XII help was “*minor gesture*” as helping 100% of a Jewish group of persons asking for help is not at all a “*minor gestures*” but a very important significant part: even 100% or all!!! 714 Jews is not a small group, but a very large significate group in judged in absolute value! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#15**).

8.2.5.5 More: when Pius XII his third intervention in morning of the Nazi roundup in Rome done to stop the roundup, what got as an extra unforeseen result that 249 of the 1,351 Roman Jews that were arrested were suddenly released in the afternoon, than is this neither a to late intervention, - so Elder of Zion is making again a grave wrong illogical conclusion from this dimension out seen (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#16**) – nor it was a small “*minor gesture*” as extra saving 1/5 th of a group of 1.351 condemned to death is absolute seen a very significant group (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#17**) even nor a small percentage of saved persons as 20% is a considerable minor gestore and not at all a marginal fragment percentage!

8.2.5.6 Also here in this case is Elder of Zion complete wrong in his unhistorical judgment that Pius XII could have done more on the day of the Nazi roundup 16 October 1943 (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#18**) as Elder of Zion who is knowing everything about Pius XII is not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#2**) that Pius XII confirmed in an official document dated on 5 October 1941 – “smoking gun prove” according to the classifying of primary sources done by David Kertzer - to have no influence at all on Hitler, who is not listening to the Pope Pius XII!

8.2.5.7 This causes that Elder of Zion is making a new very heavy illogical unhistorical conclusion in stating that Pope Pius XII should have done more on the day of the razzia, as a public protest here would have already guaranteed “0” = “zero” or no result. So it is an extra illogical contradiction asking a person to do something of what is already known that it will have no result at all (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#19**)!

8.2.5.8 Still more: Pius XII did 236 interventions for freeing arrested Jews from 16 October 1943 until 4 June 1944 and was so able to free and save the lives of 42 other arrested Roman Jews. This Elder of Zion is not mentioning in his comment (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#3**) to be able to accuse Pius XII that he could have done more as mention this is illogical contra productive to what elder of Zion wants to believe us!. But the fact exist and contradicts 1.000% again the illogical conclusion of Elder of Zion that Pius XII could have done more in Rome for the Jews (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#20**)!

8.2.5.9 Still more if we look to what Pope Pius XII did for the roman Jews from 18 October 1943 at 14.01 o'clock until 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o'clock, than he helped and saved 6.381 (67 %) of the 9.975 (8.000 Roman + 1.975 Stranger) Jews that would have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o'clock. Also this important information is Elder of Zion, who is knowing everything on Pope Pius XII not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#4**). He does not tell this in his comment as it is contra productive to the the historical error conclusion, he wants to believe us. But this historical fact based on exclusive studying 4267 primary sources is existing and contradicting and condemning the statement of Elder of Zion as again false and illogical from this new dimension of the micro-historical-topic seen out (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#21**)!

8.2.5.10 What could Pope Pius XII in this dimension have done more: he helped 6.381 (4590 Roman + 1.791 Stranger) Jews of the 9.975 already identified that should have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944? Also here we see he was helping 100% of the Roman Jews that asked him for help. *It is of course historically very gravely completely illogical wrong* of **Elder of Zion** to urge that Pius XII would have helped 105% of the 100% available (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#22**)!

8.2.5.11 Still more: **Elder of Zion** is with this existing primary source result information again in three illogical contradictions of what he was stating before: Pius XII was in time to help the 6.381 Jews in Rome and not to late as Elder of Zion stated before (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#23**).

8.2.5.12 Pius XII was helping 6.381 Jews in Rome alone - primary source proved!!! – so this is not a “minor gesture” but absolutely seen is saving and helping 6.381 Jews in need a very important number (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#24**).

8.2.5.13 Pius XII was helping 6.381 Jews in Rome alone - primary source proved!!! Of the 9.975 Jews that would be present in Rome on 4 June 1944. This means Pope Pius XII was helping 100% in Rome of the Jews that asked him for help from 18 October 1943 at 14.01 until 4 June 1944. So 100% is not a minor gesture percentage but the maximal possible!!! So Elder of Zion is again in this dimension of the micro-historical-topic completely in contradiction with what he stated a few lines before (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#25**). NB: the 3.594 other Jews in Rome Pius XII could not help as they did not asked him for help and tried on own private initiative to save and to hid their lives with all the negative consequences that caused this strategy!!

8.2.5.14 Still more: **Elder of Zion** who is knowing everything about Pope Pius XII, is NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#5**) that already since 2021 are identified and numbered 7.652 different critics and condemnings of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli from 14 November 1923 until 8 May 1945. This number will still increase as the systematical control checking of each document in the 6 war years is still going on: and not at all finished. So it will a lot increase!!!!!!!

If one know that Hitler was not listening to Pius XII, this was already confirmed in an official document by Pius XII on 5 October 1941, one can predict with 100% certainty that also a public direct protest against Hitler on 16 October 19 during the razzia would have had “0” = “ZERO” = no result at all. So it is historical illogical and wrong to insist that Pius XII could have done a new public protest as this had 100% sure no result (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#26**).

8.2.5.15 Still more: **Elder of Zion** who is knowing everything about Pope Pius XII, is NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#6**) that the reason why Hitler is not listening to Pope Pius XII and even not to Pope Pius XI and even not to Pope Benedict XV is that in point 24 of the NSDAP program Hitler (24 February 1920) the deeper meaning of this point was that Hitler declared by this point 24 himself to stand over the Pope, over the Catholic Church, over the Catholic belief, over Jesus Christ, even over God!!

And when Hitler in point 25 of the NSDAP declared himself as the absolute dictator who has to give to no person or instance responsibility, it is clear that Hitler will not hear and exhaust a request of a person (Pope Pius XII) who is standing under him. So knowing this Elder of Zion is again historical illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#27**) from this point of view out to insist that Pius XII could have done something more for the Jews in Rome. Doing a protest. If one person is knowing this it becomes clear that such a demand is proved to “not know the historical reality and contents about what he speaking!”

8.2.5.16 Still more: **Elder of Zion** is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#7**) that in the years 1941 – 1942 several documented – primary sources - testimonies exist in which different ministers of different by Nazi occupied countries in Europe declared and testified that they knew exact the position of Pius XII against violence against Jews and against deportations!!! So also from this point of view of this dimension of the micro-historical-topic: “could have done more”, it is confirmed again that a protest would have had no effect and is historical seen illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#28**).

8.2.5.17 Still more: Elder of Zion is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#8**) that if happened that in certain governments even after a lot of protest of Pius XII deportations stopped temporally, it is proved by documents primary sources that the real reason was NOT the protest of Pope Pius XII that convinced the leader in that government to stop the deportations, but an unforeseen fact that caused the weakening of his power position in the government. So to be not completely isolated and be replaced by another they choose to block the deportations of the Jews. For example at least 7 protest were done by Pius XII and his collaborators in

May 1944 against the deportations of the Jews: without any effect!!! But when Horthy of Hungary detected and could avoid a putsch planned by the nazi-wing in his government against him in the beginning of June 1944, he directly some days later stopped the deportations: so not out of respect for Pope Pius XII but only for pragmatic personal collocation to of power. So the deportations were stopped, but when about 6 months later Horthy was dismissed, a filo-Nazi leader took the power and continued the deportations until Hungary by the Allied was conquered some months later! So the fact that sometimes seems after a protest was stopped the deportations it is historical illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#29**) to concluded that Pius XII in Rome must have done a protest that it also here would work. Es by historical research came forward that there are always other reasons until 2022 not said!!!!!!!

Still more: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#23**) that on 30 March 1941 – *according to the classification of primary sources that is practiced by David Kertzer this is “SMOKING GUN PROVE” par excellence!!* – Cardinal Secretary of State Maglione remarked to Pope Pius XII that the heavy protest against the Nazis did have *on the one side NO EFFECT: they did not cause the stopping of the NAZI-violence*, and *on the other hand*, the direct hard protests of Pius XII against the Nazi -violence only *caused that the persons Pius XII want to help and to protect only suffered more and were torched more by the Nazi only as revenge* of the direct public condemnings of the Nazi’s done by Pius XII until this moment!

8.2.5.18 *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#9**) that the reaction of Pope Pius XII – primary source documented – on this remark of the Cardinal State secretary Maglione is that from 12 April 1941 on Pius XII started to condemn heavily the Nazis and Hitler indirectly this means: not naming them explicit, but nevertheless are the condemnings and critics clear and severe. So complete historical illogical and wrong (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#30**) to call this a silence as you can indicating a person directly by pronouncing his name or indirectly by speaking over him in a personal pronoun.

8.2.5.19 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.10**) that the reaction of Pope Pius XII – primary source documented - is that from 12 April 1941 on he started to condemn heavily the Nazis and Hitler indirectly this means: not naming them explicit, but nevertheless are the condemnings and critics clear and severe. So complete historical illogical and wrong (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#31**) to call this a silence as you can indicating a person directly by pronouncing his name or indirectly by speaking over him in a personal pronoun.

8.2.5.20 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.11**) that Pope Pius XII – primary source documented – explained on 29 June 1941 that he was not able to condemn the Nazi violence directly as this only augment the sufferance of the persons he wants to defend, so he will do it in an indirect way! This strategy will Pius XII follow linear until 8 May 1945, and several times repeat just like 2 June 1943, etc...

8.2.5.21 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.12**) that not only Pius XII confirmed on 5 October 1941 that he was unable to do anything against Hitler, but also the USA government informed on 26 November 1941 the Secretary of State of the Holy See by means of the Chargé d’Affaires Tittmann, that the U.S.A was unable to do an intervention in Poland for helping here the sufferings against Naz-violence! This confirmation of impossibility of the U.S.A. government to do anything for the sufferings in Poland includes also that from this moment on also the British Government and the International Jewish organizations were unable to do something for the suffering in Poland!!!!

8.2.5.22 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.13**) that the Polish generals declared to Pius XII on 3 December 1941 that the only way to help the suffering in Poland is to free them out of the hands of Hitler and the Nazis by military forces! This general thought it would be quick, but this liberation will be realized only 3 years later.

8.2.5.23 So looking to this date in which the impossibility of helping the sufferings in Poland was explained and confirmed, and looking to the answer of the Polish General to get ut of this problem: the only real, realistic and historical confirmed way was with military force and violence free Europe and Poland, to be able to free the polish suffering people and all the suffering Jews! This means that Elder of Zion who is knowing this and not telling this said that Pius XII could have done more for the Jews in Rome, than this is from this point of view seen dimension of the micro-historical-topic again a complete historical illogical conclusion and proposal (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#32**)!

8.2.5.24 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.14**) that if the only solution to help the suffering in Poland is to combat the Nazis until in Poland and then to free the sufferings, it is clear that the only logical thing that Pius XII and the Catholic Church can do from this moment on is only to take this strategy to help the persons inclusive the Jews in difficulties.

8.2.5.25 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.15**) that if he controlled the activity of Pius XII, that is confirmed in overflow of thousands of primary sources, that Pius XII and his collaborators in the Catholic Church are helping, the Jews over whole occupied Europe wherever it is possible (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.16**), are hiding them wherever possible (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.17**), trying to made less severe the racial laws against the Jews wherever possible (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.18**). As Pius XII can only help where it is possible it is illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#33**) of Elder of Zion to urge Pius XII is helping where it was impossible.

8.2.5.26 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.19**) that DELASEM can only help the Jews in the Nazi- fascist - occupied Italy through the cardinals of the Catholic Church in Italy, who brought the money to the suffering Jews in different places in collaborating with Pius XII. In the Vatican Archives are hundreds of primary source documents proving this small micro-historical-topic!!! So to accuse Pius XII, that he could have done more for the Jews is from this point of view of the multi-dimensional micro-historical-topic we are examining historical completely illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#34**)!!

8.2.5.27 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.20**) that Pius XII is supporting DELASEM by financial help of several millions of Lire to help the suffering Jews in Italy! Also on this nice micro-historical-topic are lots of primary sources available the Vatican archives. So to accuse Pius XII, that he could have done more for the Jews is from this point of view of the multi-dimensional micro-historical-topic we are examining historical completely illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#35**)!!

8.2.5.28 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.21**) that Pius XII is not was silence and only helping but also in his long public discourse of 10 pages on 2 June 1943 heavily criticizing 263 times - 10 points of the NSDAP program (206x) and the Nazi-violence (57x) -, defending 40 times the dignity of the Polish people and 34 times the dignity of the Jewish people against Nazi-violence and against deportations!!!! Could Pius XII have done more: he is condemning severe here Hitler by condemning his violence and his NSDAP program. So also here from this point of view of the multi-dimensional micro-historical-topic seen again *Elder of Zion* is again making a grave historical illogical error and conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#36**)!!

8.2.5.29 More: *Elder of Zion* is also not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.22**) that Pius XII is not was silence and only helping but also in his encyclic "*Mystici corporis Christi*" of 29 June 1943 Pius XII is again condemning and criticizing 64 times heavily Hitler (8x) and 10 points of the NSDAP program (56x) and the Nazi-violence (57x) -, defending 8 times the dignity of the Polish people and 31 times the dignity of the Jewish people against Nazi-violence and against deportations and is nominating 3 times explicit the Jews in a document that was read in the whole world!!!!!! Could Pius XII have done more: he is condemning 8 times severe Hitler and 56 times 10 points of the NSDAP program, and defending the Jews 31 times. So also here from this point of view of the multi-dimensional micro-historical-topic seen again *Elder of Zion* is again making a grave historical illogical error and conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#37**)!!

8.2.5.30 More: with this historical back ground and context present for eyes *Elder of Zion* is knowing that Pius XII helped lots of Roman Jews in Rome before the razzia, the day of the razzia and in the 8 months after the razzia: in every way possible proved by 4.267 primary sources at the maximum of his possibilities. So *Elder of Zion* is (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#38**) is making a complete and grave historical illogical conclusion to write that Pius XII could have done more for the Jews in Rome. After confrontation with 29 dimensions of this micro-historical-topic has come out that 29 times in all the dimensions Elder of Zion made a wrong historical illogical conclusion!! Much more everything is proving that Elder of Zion seems to be not at home in this very small secondary little micro-historical-topic!!! This confirms that it is better for him first to study and then to judge as doing the opposite is rejected as not scientific method (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#1**) (**ILLOGIAL NOT SCIENTIFIC METHOD USED**)!

8.2.5.31 This means that this nice invented accuse that one can find in overflow in secondary literature about Pius XII in overflow is from 9 September 2021 on declassified as a nice unhistorical fairy -tale as the 4.267 primary sources about this subject proof in 29 different historical dimensions of the micro-historical-topic that Pius XII had done everything what was possible seen from the back-ground and the context of what he could do from 10 September 1943 until 4 June 1944 in helping and saving the Jews.

8.2.5.32 More: Elder of Zion is not saying (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.24**) with his accuse that Pius XII could have done more as when elders of Zion intended here: “A public hard protest against Hitler” then he knew 100% certain that this will only cost hundreds and perhaps thousands of Roman Jews that were save protected in the 235 convents their lives. So Elder of Zion is here very heavy illogical contradicting himself by asking more death Jews in Rome to save more lives of Jews: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#39**)! Incredible this great contradiction in which *Elder of Zion* has placed himself with this only so small secondary micro-historical-item on Pius XII helping the Jews in Rome.

8.2.5.33 More: With this background of the historical facts it becomes 100% clear the great wise decision of Pius XII not to condemn publicly in Rome Hitler but to help to save them effectively before, during and after the razzia and during the 8 months of Nazi-persecution. So Pius XII could help and save 6.381 of the 9.975 Jews that should have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944. His wise decision saved 2/3rd of all the Roman Jews present: big complements for You Pius XII!!!!

8.2.5.34. Still more: overviewing all the not told facts by *Elder of Zion*⁶⁷, it becomes clear that the previous statement of Elder of Zion that Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli did only something for the Jews is a grave historical complete illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#40**), further that the statement of Elder of Zion that the interventions of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli came “late”, with the intention “TO LATE” is a grave historical complete illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#41**) and finally that his statement that it were only “minor features” is a grave historical complete illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#42**).

⁶⁷ Supra 8.2. - 8.2.5.33.

9. As in the previous small secundar micro-historical-topic indicated by Elder of Zion⁶⁸ after a small dynamical confrontation with some of the 22.067 already identified, codified and studied contents primary sources of the international dynamical research of the primary sources several times were mentioned *37 extra illogical historical errors in the very small 3 accuses statement of John Pawlikowski.*

9.1 Overview of these *37 extra new identified historical illogical errors*⁶⁹ in this 3 accuses statement of *John Pawlikowski*.

9.2 These identified errors are codified with numbers: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#43) until HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#79).**

9.3 One note that this is only an overview of some illogical errors found in the small 3 accuses statement only from the point of view of some mentioned primary sources of the 22.067 that already are identified and codified. So it is clear that if this dynamical control check confrontation with each of the 22.067 primary sources that are already known is executed, than this number of only 37 historical illogical errors found in the 3 accuses statement of *John Pawlikowski* will still a lot grow!

The international dynamical primary source research invite here Elder of Zion and John Pawlikowski to make this small secondary dynamical control check to assure themselves exactly how many extra new illogical errors they will in their local research consortium will detect in their superficial artificial small 3 accuses statement. So they are confirming and convincing themselves by repeatable proof research exactly how wrong their small 3 accuses statement was and exactly how far away they were in their superficial unstudied small by them indicated hyper small micro-historical-topic about Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.

9.4 One note also that the check control of only the historical illogical errors in the 3 accuses statement of *John Pawlikowski* and republished by *Elder of Zion* is only 1 check control from this historical subdimension seen. But the whole check control has to be done over from the view of the historical subdimension of the small secondary micro-historical-topic: what both not told in their 3 accuses statement.

It is clear that only from this point of view the total number of new historical errors detected in the only 3 accuses large artificial accuses used by *John Pawlikowski* and republished by *Elder of Zion* will only exponential grow after the dynamical confrontation with the already identified 22.067 primary sources, without taking into calculation that this number of 22.067 is still massive growing with the progress of the international dynamical primary source research about Pius XII- Eugenio Pacelli.

More: beside these only 2 check control subdimensions of the micro-historical topic to be executed by John Pawlikowski and Elder of Zion it is needed to control several other extra sub-dimensions of their small micro-historical-topic problem that they thought was true. These very interesting high level profound international researches let we for John Pawlikowski and Elder of Zion to do as home-work and to be published by them. So the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII is welcoming the new results in what Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli has done for the Jews and how Pius XII was criticizing Hitler!

⁶⁸ Supra 8.2. - 8.2.5.33.

⁶⁹ In total here were already *indicated 37 historical illogical errors in the 3 accuses statement of John Pawlikowski* cited by *Elder of Zion*:

(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#12)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#30) 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#13)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#31) 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#14)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.16): => 3x illogic
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#15)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.17 => 3x illogic
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#16)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.18 => 3x illogic
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#17)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#34)!! 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#22)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#35)!! 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#23)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#36)! 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#24)	(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#37)!! 3x
(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#25)	

10. Elder of Zion *has immanently present at least 81 historical errors in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.10):*

“Only last year it was discovered that Pius *ignored a memo to publicly protest the deportation of Jews from Rome*”⁷⁰

10.1 Historical *very grave error* (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#1**): Elder of Zion is practicing here a very grave historical error: Not Presenting in his comment all the 100% historical facts that exist but only 1 small micro-historical-item of less than 0.001% of all the facts known and mislead the public ignorant audience that this 0,001% of information is 100% of what is to tell about the micro-historical-item he wants to explain. In brave it means Elder of Zion is here practicing the very grave not scientific neither historical international method **To Sell a Small Part as Being the Whole of All Information Available**.

10.1.1 It is clear that *the pseudo – historian that is practicing this international rejected non-scientific method* his conclusions based on such a rejected and illogical unhistorical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#80**) and unscientific way will be unreliable: can be true but also cannot be true.

10.1.2 And worse, it is also clear that the pseudo – historian *that is practicing this international rejected non-scientific method* can with this method make any unhistorical conclusion that he wants. So practicing this rejected nonscientific method an author that is doing this is moving into the waters of historical scientific falsification if it is proved for the person that is doing this.

10.1.3 Applied this very severe international recognized scientific on Elder of Zion he is now coming in a very unkind hard dilemma in which he himself with this historical wrong comment had placed himself: he may now chose and publish to the world one of the 2 possibilities:

10.1.3.1 *Either* he is not aware of the other historical facts about Pop Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli that have to be told to understand the small fact in his whole. This means that Elder of Zion is here confessing publicly for the whole world that he is not knowing about what he is peaking but just copying secondary based historical errors of others and selling them as true. So Elder of Zion his comment is completely rejected as a superficial secondary unprofessional unhistorical fairy – tale, with all the negative consequences for the author, that Elder of Zion is contradicting (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#81**) himself as pretending himself in the first page as a competent reliable specialist on Pius XII and at the last rule he confessed it not to be! So internationally lost every credibility!!!!

10.1.3.2 *Or* he admits to know the facts, as he wants to be the specialist that knows everything on Pius XII and has not mentioned them for realizing and practicing this falsification. So also in this case he is contracting himself as he falsified and proves to be not a specialist but one who cheated and this means each statement of him can be true or can also not be true (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#82**).

10.2 What did *Elder of Zion* not mentioned in his small micro-historical-item: ““Only last year it was discovered that Pius *ignored a memo to publicly protest the deportation of Jews from Rome*””?

10.2.1 Very simple and clear: at least al historical primary source proves of the level of “Smoking gun proofs” – *like David Kertzer likes to identify this quality of primary sources!!!* – that were explained and summed up in the neutralization and rejecting of the previous micro-historical-topic brought up into the discussion by *Elder of Zion*⁷¹ but that was after only 0.000340171 pico seconds declassified as historical irrelevant and rejected in 29 dimensions of this small micro-historical-topic by only some of the many potent of the 22.067 primary sources available after a small dynamical confrontation to controlling this accuse its error grade!

⁷⁰ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

⁷¹ Supra 8.2 – 8.2.5.34.

10.2.2 One note that this micro-historical-topic⁷² is **containing 70 historical errors**⁷³ of the type of what Elder of Ziyon did not tell, nor mentioned discussing his micro-historical-topic Nr. 10.2. These at least 70 historical facts, conclusions and consequences are codified under: **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#25 – #94)**.

10.3 One note two important grave historical illogical contradiction errors that Elder of Ziyon is making here only by writing “**ignored**” in this secondary small micro-historical topic nr. Then that Elder of Ziyon liked to discuss:

10.3.1 As Elder of Ziyon is

+ **knowing that** Pius XII from 14 November 1923 until 3 April 1941 was coming nonstop very severe and directly Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the nazi-violence and from 12 April on start to condemn and criticize indirectly very severe nonstop Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program until 8 May 1945, - condemnings and critics of this quality were understand and arrived by the Nazis and Hitler and caused regularly heavy and severe protests of the Nazi’s and Hitler by Pope Pius XII (- SO **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#86)** NO SILENCE at ALL!) -,

+ **and knowing that** Pius XII was on informed before 12 April 1941 by an advice written on 30 March 1941 by Cardinal State Secretary Maglione that the severe hard protest he had done until that moment did not changed at all Hitler and the Naz’s to stop their violence against the persons Pius XII want to defend from the Nazi-violence, but on the contrary made their suffering only exponential worsen,

+ and knowing that from 12 April 1941 until 8 May 1945 Pius XII is linear following this severe indirect condemning method, that he explained for the whole world on 29 June 1941, confirmed on 30 April 1943, and on 2 June 1943 again for the whole world,

+ it is historical an illogical contradiction **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#84)** of **Elder of Ziyon** to write “**ignored**” in this secondary small micro-historical topic nr.10 **without mention the reason of this “ignorance” as knowing the context and the whole story it was the only strategy left over for Pius XII** from 5 October 1941 to save Jewish lives. I fact from 5 October 1941 on Pius XII confirmed in n official document that Hitler was NOT listening to his requests and protests and just following his demonical ideas, an impotence confirmed directly by the USA-government on 26 November 1941, and indirectly confirmed by the UK-government and the international Jewish organizations outside Nazi- occupied Europe and confirmed by the Polish generals on 3 December 1941 with the only indications from this day on confirmed by the Polish generals: with military force free Europe and Poland and the suffering there. So Pius XII and the Catholic Church could in this meantime – until liberation by the allied in each occupied European Nazi-country - only practice the strategy: to help the suffering Jews where it was possible: to hide and save them were was possible, as condemning publicly severe had only as consequence that the sufferings of the Jews were not released but only exponential increased.

More as Elder of Ziyon is here in this small micro-historical-topic NOT TELLING **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#95)** this very important reason but by NOT TELLING insinuating - in complete historical contradiction **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#85)** with the historical pre-history and

⁷² Supra 8.2 – 8.2.5.34.

⁷³ **Overview of the 70 historical errors in this small micro-historical-item (Nr. 8.2) that Elder of Ziyon wanted to be discussed:**

Historical-error-NOT-TELLING-historically important facts	HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1 - #24	= # 24
Historical-error- Reads and Studies the Historical Argument to SUPerficial	HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#12	#1
Histroical error: ILLOGical CONclusion	HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#10 -42 HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#83	#44
Historical error ILLOGical Not Scientific Method Used	HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#1	#1
TOTAL HISTORICAL ERRORS	-	#70

context confirmed by masa's of primary sources - that rejected from 1 January 1921 on until 16 October 1943 the unhistorical and unrealistic hope that condemning Hitler saved Jewish lives!

10.3.2 But *Elder of Zion* is also in illogical historical contradiction (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#87**) with himself as he wants aby a public protest in Rome augment the number of saved Jews, where he news and is NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#96**) that it 100% will decrease the saved Jews in Rome, and only augment the number of killed Roman Jews were Pius XII strategy for Roman Jews is causing the maximal realistic historical possible saved number of Jews in Rome: 6.381 helped and saved Jews of the 9.975 that would have been present or 2/3rd: in this case for ROME is confirmed undeniable "the wise and prudent silence of Pius XII in Rome under which he could save in Rome alone the maximal realistic historical seen possible number of 6.381 Roman Jews in Rome". If one compares this with the "sever condemning of the Nazis in the Netherland, practiced by Archbishop Johan De Jong in the Netherland from 21 July 1942 until the middle until 1 April 1943 alone against Jewish deportations, it caused NOT the stopping of the arresting of the planned deportations of the Jews- it went on!!!- and caused only that at least more than 200 Baptized Jews were EXTRA ARRESTED after the different protest and deported and killed some days later! After 8 May 1945 when the war was ended the biographs wrote over Cardinal Johan de Jong that his conscience was daily and nightly tortured by the condemning voices: "If you would not have condemned publicly the Nazis, those more then 200 persons would now be alive, and not killed!" Hs bio graphists writes that with this tortured conscience he was tortured until the last moment of his life!!!! And indeed who would be candidate to be killed for now positive reason to expect. It is clear that those more then 200 persons are crying until this moment: Hitler take those who advice to do protest with the revenge that Hitler would kill more. So they are confronted with their historical contradiction and wrong advice themselves!

10. 4 *This means that in total in very small micro-historical-topic Nr. 10 are already detected 81 historical errors*⁷⁴ *after dynamical confrontation of this micro-historical-topic with already only some of the 22.067 already known and identified primary sources on Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.*

11. *Elder of Zion has immanently present at least 81 historical errors in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.11):*

"David Kertzer, a professor of anthropology and Italian studies at Brown University, said he has now found the full version of a memo from a senior Vatican official urging Pius to formally protest against the deportation — advice the pope *ignored*"⁷⁵

11.1 One note that *Elder of Zion* is here repeating exact the same structural contents accuse as in the micro-historical-topic Nr. 10. *This means at least 1 81 extra new historical errors.*

11.2 The 81 specific structural codified *new historical errors* in micro-historical-topic Nr.11 that *Elder of Zion* likes to discuss are:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#2 => #1

HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#88 – #95 => #8

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#97 – #168 => #72.

⁷⁴ Overview of the *81 (= #1 + #8 + #72) historical errors in micro-historical-topic Nr. 10 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss:*

HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#1 => #1

HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#80 – #87 => #8

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#25 – #96 => #72.

⁷⁵ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

12. Elder of Zion *has immanently present at least 83 historical errors* in his statement - that he took over from David Kertzer – (micro-historical-topic Nr.12):

“<<*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*>> said Professor Kertzer.”⁷⁶

12.1 As *Elder of Zion* in the context of this small comment: “*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*” intends exclusive: “*no public sever protest of Pius XII in Rome*”, what means the same content accuse structure as micro-historical-topic Nr.11. This means that this new simple comment that *Elder of Zion* here is writing in his micro-historical-topic Nr.12 is causing again 81 extra new historical errors are detected!!!

12.2 These 81 new extra historical new errors for Elder of Zion using this small comment got the following historical-errors code numbers:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#3 => #1

HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#96 – #103 => #8

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#169 – #231 => #72.

12.3 If *Elder of Zion* here intends in his commend: “*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*” that “*Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli NEVER DID an official protest to Germany*” than he is NOT TELLING (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#232) that in 2021 were already known and identified by the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945 at least 7.752 critics and condemning of Hitler, 10 points of NSDAP program and the Nazi-violence in the only 22.067 already identified and codified primary sources of whom a lot are of the level of “Smoking-gun proofs” and directed to Hitler! So Elder of Zion is by intending this possibility making a very grave historical error of the type of contradiction with lots of primary sources (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#104).

13. The citate of David Kertzer “<<*There was no formal papal protest to Germany*>> said Professor Kertzer.”⁷⁷ that Elder of Zion used in his small comment (micro-historical-topic Nr.13), *has immanently present also at least 83 historical errors!*

13.1 As *David Kertzer* his statement is exact the same of micro-historical-topic Nr.12 of Elder of Zion the small statement of David Kertzer, if it is citated right by Elder of Zion has the same historical error structure as micro-historical-topic Nr.12 of *Elder of Zion* that *Elder of Zion* wanted to discuss. This means *83 extra new historical errors!*

13.2 These 83 new historical errors are as followed codified:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#4 => #1

HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#105 – #113 => #9

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#233 – #305 => #73.

⁷⁶ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

⁷⁷ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

14. Elder of Zion *had at least 181 historical errors of whom 15 grave historical falsifications immanently present in the following statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.14):*

*“The real legacy of Pope Pius XII is **not that he indirectly and silently helped several hundred local Jews take shelter in various Catholic convents or monasteries, but what he didn’t do that could possibly have saved tens of thousands more.**”⁷⁸*

14.1 As **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#306**) that PIUS XII helped in Rome proved by 4.267 primary sources of the level of “Smoking gun proofs” – as David Kertzer likes to indicate this kind of top quality historical proofs”- 6.381 Jews: or 2/3rd of the 9.975 Jews that should have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o’clock.

14.1.1 So **Elder of Zion** is making here historical an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#114**) conclusion *calling 6.381 helped and saved roman Jews in Rome by Pope Pius XII* are only “*several hundreds saved*”.

14.1.2 So **Elder of Zion** is proving by this grave historical error not to know the international literature on the latest primary source studies on Pope Pius XII an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#11**).

14.2 As **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#307**) that PIUS XII helped in the 6 years of war about 4.000 Jews according to the Pacelli-List published by Johan Ickx 24 September 2020 based on 2.800 new documents – primary sources of the quality of “Smoking _gun proofs!” as David Kertzer likes to indicate these important new primary sources.

14.2.1 And **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#308**) that these 4.000 Jews not are the same as the 6.381 Jews helped by Pius XII in Rome. For the maximum are esteemed that perhaps 50 of them can be the same.

14.2.2 So **Elder of Zion** is making here historical an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#115**) conclusion *calling 4.000 helped European Jews in Nazi occupied countries during the 6 years of war by Pope Pius XII* only “*several hundreds saved*”.

14.2.3 So **Elder of Zion** is proving by this grave historical error not to know the international literature on the latest primary source studies on Pope Pius XII an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#12**).

14.3 As **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#309**) that PIUS XII helped in the 6 years of war more than 21.000 other Jews - for the main part not -Italian - according to the Huber Wolf publication of 14 May 2021 in which he published that he and his collaborators had found still 15.000 new other primary sources in the opened Vatican Archives.

14.3.1 And **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#310**) that these 15.000 Jews not are the same as the 6.381 Jews helped by Pius XII in Rome.

14.3.2 And **Elder of Zion** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#311**) that these 15.000 Jews not are the same as the 4.000 Jews helped by Pius XII of the Pacelli - list.

14.3.3 So **Elder of Zion** is making here historical an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#116**) conclusion *calling more than 21.000 helped European Jews in Nazi occupied countries during the 6 years of war by Pope Pius XII* only “*several hundreds saved*”.

14.3.4 So **Elder of Zion** is proving by this grave historical error not to know the international literature on the latest primary source studies on Pope Pius XII an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#13**).

⁷⁸ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

14.4 This means that *Elder of Zion* is not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#312**) that on 9 September 2021 when *Elder of Zion* published this small comment there were already proved – by primary sources on Pius XII - at least 33.331 (= 6.381 + 3.950 + 21.000) Jews that were helped or for which Pius XII did interventions to help.

14.4.1 So *Elder of Zion* is proving by this grave historical error not to know the international literature on the latest primary source studies on Pope Pius XII an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#14**).

14.4.2 So *Elder of Zion* is making here historical an illogical (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#117**) conclusion *calling more than 21.000 helped European Jews in Nazi occupied countries during the 6 years of war by Pope Pius XII* only “*several hundreds saved*”.

14.5 More: *Elder of Zion* is making a grave historical illogical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#147**) conclusion to call 714 Jews helped Jews by Pope Pius XII in the 5 weeks before the razzia to call “some hundreds” as 714 is tending to abbreviate 1.000 in contradiction that some hundreds is tending to 200 – 300! So his used description **CON**tradicts the historical facts, *Elder of Zion Himself* (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#1**) is publishing some lines before!!!

14.5.1 More: *Elder of Zion* is making a grave historical illogical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#118**) conclusion to call 714 Jews helped Jews by Pope Pius XII in the 5 weeks before the razzia and 249 liberated on 16 October 1943 also by collaboration of Pius XII, so only for those two interventions without speaking of all the other he did, so *Elder of Zion* is publishing in his own small comment that Pius XII already helped 963 (= 714 + 249) Jews, to call this “some hundreds”. So *Elder of Zion* in again contradicting himself (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#2**) in what he publishing some lines before and in how he describes it!

14.5.2 More: *Elder of Zion* is making a grave historical illogical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#119**) conclusion *to call* the *1.469 Roman Jews that were helped by Pope Pius XII in Rome in only 4 summed up interventions – described in the article of Andrea Gagliarducci⁷⁹-, without speaking of all the other interventions he did for the Jews in Rome*, only “*helped some hundreds*”. As 1.469 is 1,5 thousand so between 1 and 2 thousand, and certainly not some hundreds, that means 2 hundred until 300 hundred!

14.5.3 More: *Elder of Zion* is here making the grave historical illogical conclusion to call “*more than 1.469 Roman Jews helped by Pius XII in only 5 summed up different interventions of Pius XII for what he did in Rome for the Jews, without speaking of the other interventions he did “helped some hundreds”*. In fact Andrea Gagliarducci refers in his article that Pius XII helped also DELASEM with material and financial help. So in the context of Rome Andrea Gagliarducci is not referring the number, but international is already published from 12 march 2014 on that is are *1.680 Stranger Jews in Rome from September 1943 until 4 June 1944⁸⁰*. So *Elder of Zion* proofs here not to know the international actual publications of studies (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#13**) on primary sources of Pius XII, but also very superficial in his reading and studying the micro-historical-topic (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#14**): before writing his illogical historical wrong conclusion he should have had the minimum scientific control what that information quantified for Rome meant. So *Elder of Zion is calling 3.331 Jews helped in Rome by Pope Pius XII in the period from 10 September 1943 until 4 June 1944 “helped only some hundreds”!!!!* It is clear that this is an illogical unhistorical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#120**).

⁷⁹ This number of 1.469 (= 714 + 249 + 336 + 160) Jews helped by Pius XII in only 4 different interventions of him without speaking of the other interventions, is build up in the following particular described sub interventions of Pius XII:

+ *714 Jews helped Jews by Pope Pius XII in the 5 weeks before the razzia of 16 October 1943;*
+ *249 Roman Jews liberated on 16 October 1943 also by collaboration of Pius XII;*
+ *336 Pius XII hid 336 Jews in parishes and diocesan hospitals from 18 October 1943 until 4 June 1944;*
+ *160 Pius XII hid 160 Jews in the Vatican State: Vatican City + 26 Extraterritorial areas of the Vatican State from 18 October 1943 until 4 June 1944.*

⁸⁰ Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.2.(E).

14.5.4 But as Elder of Zion is NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#313**) in his comment 3 historical facts what he is knowing from the article of Andrea Gagliarducci⁸¹

and so he knew that already 3.331 Jews helped in Rome by Pius XII,

and as he is reducing this help on 3.331 Jews in Rome executed by Pius XII to only “*some hundreds*”, this means 200 – 300, so let s take 300,

this means that ELDER OF ZIYON IS HERE PRACTICING A PROVED FALSIFICATION: Reducing with factor 10 the Proved Historical Number of helped Jews in Rome by Pius XII (FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#1).

14.6 One note that in this small comment of *ELDER OF ZIYON* “*helped some hundreds of Roman Jews*” is contradicting himself 4 times (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#3 - 6**) with wat he stated some lines before as the real numbers to be controlled were 714, 963, 1.469, 3.331⁸², who were neither “minor gestures”- absolute (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#121 - 124**), nor “minor gestures”- relative (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#125 - 128**) and not “to late” (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#129 - 132**).

14.7 *Elder of Zion* is complete contradicting (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#7**) himself by writing “*but what he didn’t do that could possibly have saved tens of thousands more.*” as on 9 September 2021 when he published his comment were already by the internarial dynamical research on primary sources on Pius XII: 22.067 primary sources with proved aid of Pius XII to at least 33.331 Jews!!! So he did not helped some hundreds as *Elder of Zion* in a falsified way suggested but already 33.331 proved (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#133**).

14.7.1 This historical wrong small statement of *Elder of Zion* proves again that *Elder of Zion* is not knowing the international state of research (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#15**) on primary sources on Pius XII and is even proving not to know about what he is writing (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#16**), and suggesting what is already fulfilled: so in his image about what the thinks about what happened very far behind the actual level and state of research (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#6**).

14.8 *Elder of Zion* is making **at least 81 historical errors**⁸³ in his small comment “*what he didn’t do*” as this micro-historical-topic was already in a first light approach discussed in micro-historical-topic Nr.10 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss. In fact “*could do more*” had the same contents as “*what he didn’t do*”!!!

14.8.1 The **new extra 81 historical errors** have the following codification numbers:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#5=> #1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#134 – #141 => #8
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#314 – #385 => #72.

14.8.2. The number of only **81 historical errors** that caused by *Elder of Zion* when he wrote the superficial unhistorical and unrealistically comment-accuse “*what he didn’t do*”, **will rise exponential** – as he extended the historical observation view from only Rome to the whole Nazi-occupied Europe and from only the period 10 September 1943 – 4 June 1944, to 6 war years!!! - if the full confronting of this small statement artificial accuse is executed with the **7.752 condemning and critics that Pope Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli did from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945 and the 1.465 different defenses of the dignity of the Jews, defenses against the violence of the Hitler and the Nazis practiced against the Jews and defenses against the deportations of the**

⁸¹ + 336 Pius XII hid 336 Jews in parishes and diocesan hospitals from 18 October 1943 until 4 June 1944;
+ 160 Pius XII hid 160 Jews in the Vatican State: Vatican City + 26 Extraterritorial areas of the Vatican State from 18 October 1943 until 4 June 1944;
+ Pius XII helped 1.680 stranger Jews in Rome by supporting material and financial DELASEM.

⁸² Supra 14.5 – 14.5.3.

⁸³ Supra 8. – 8.2.5.34.

Jews (602 different interventions alone) that Pope Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) practiced from 1 January 1894 until 8 October 1958, that are present in the 22.067 already identified and codified primary sources on Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli).

14.8.3 It is clear that this confrontation had to be done in at least 100 different sub dimensions that are certainly contained in this small micro-historical-topic!!

14.8.4 Then it is important to know that the 22.067 primary sources known on 10 December 2021 are not final number: this number will still progress proportional the research on primary sources progresses in the following months and years. This means that the number of identified condemning will increase and also the number of identified helps and defends of the Jews. This means that again the number 81 historical errors here present will grow for the third time!!!

14.8.5 So at least 81 historical errors is only a small indication of a greater reality!!!!

14.9 *Elder of Zion* wrote in his comment: “*silently helped several hundred local Jews*”. By using “local” in his way of presenting the facts here, *Elder of Zion* is making here 2 very grave historical generalization errors: and in time (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#2**) and in place (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#3**) as he know that this number according to his executed falsification – in reality Pius XII helped in this small period of 5 weeks alone 965 is only covering the period of help for Pius XII to the Roman Jews in Rome alone from 10 September 1943 until 16 October 1943 at 18.00 o’clock. And in his micro-historical-topic Nr. 14⁸⁴ that *Elder of Zion* wanted to be discussed is putting this some *local - Rome Jews helped* in contrast to “*the whole occupied Nazi – Europe during the 6 years of the war!*”.

14.9.1 By doing this *Elder of Zion* insinuates illogical historical wrong (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#142**) that Pius XII did only help in the 6 years of the ware in whole Nazi-occupied Europe only some hundred of Jews!

14.9.2 By doing this *Elder of Zion* is doing a **double practiced Falsification of Reducing of Historical Numbers (FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#2 - #3)**, as he did not studied (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#17**) nor explained what Pope Pius XII did in whole Nazi-occupied Europe in the 6 war years and in Rome in the 8 months *Elder of Zion* was silent and did not tell (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#386**) wat happened. So *Elder of Zion* practiced here 2 times a by international research rejected unscientific method (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#2 - #3**).

14.9.3 By doing this *Elder of Zion* is practicing (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#4**) a rejected not scientific method: “*first accuse and then study!*” as he fills in without any study with “0” – “ZERO” help of Pius XII to the Jews outside Rome and in the whole period of 6 years of the war!!!!

14.10 *Elder of Zion* wrote in his comment: “*indirectly ... helped*”. By using “*indirectly ... helped*” *Elder of Zion* is practicing a very grave falsification as its is proved by a lot of primary sources of the type of “Smoking gun proofs” – as David Kertzer rightly indicates this top class of primary sources – that **Pius XII** opened convents personally: so *Elder of Zion* practiced here **FALSIFICATION of Historical Proofed Primary Source Studied Facts by Referring the Opposite of what the Results Say (FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#1)**, **Pius XII** helped Jews personally to enter the Roman convents, so *Elder of Zion* falsified the historical reality as Pius XII did id directly (**FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#2**), **Pius XII** protected the roman convents with Jews in Rome personally, so *Elder of Zion* falsified historical reality: it was direct help (**FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#3**), **Pius XII** gave regularly to eat to the Jews in the roman convents, so *Elder of Zion* falsified historical reality: it was direct help (**FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#4**)!!!

14.10.1 So *Elder of Zion* contradicting illogical in his conclusion 4 times the historical reality of the known contents of the primary sources (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#143 - #146**)!

14.10.2 So *Elder of Zion* had in his small erroneous conclusion of only 1 word large: “*indirectly*” consciously practiced an international rejected unscientific method: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#5 - #8**) of publishing *the opposite* of the contents of primary sources as the contents of these primary sources.

⁸⁴ Supra 14.

14.11 *Elder of Zion* is writing a grave historical methodological error in his small comment artificial unexciting pseudo accuse: “**but what he didn’t do that could possibly have saved tens of thousands more**” as: on 9 September 2021 when *Elder of Zion* published his small comment was already international known and proved after 1,5 months of opening the Vatican Archives that Pius XII had helped or wanted to help already surely at least 31.331 Jews from every were in the Nazi-occupied Europe during the whole period of 6 years of the war.

This number of *primary-sources-proved-helped-Jews-or-Jews-that-Pius-XII-wanted-to-help*, will only grow exponential in the proportion that the primary source research will progressing in the following months and years.

14.11.1 So Elder of Zion is here in this case complete practicing the wrong method scientific method for justifying his artificial historical unexciting accuse on 9 September 2021, as after the opening of the Vatican Archives as from 2 March 2020 it is scientific wrong to make general abstract dramatic fantasy hypotheses invented (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#9**) on a desk in the far U.S.A without studying the archive documents studying in Rome in the Vatican Archives but from 2 March 2020 on *Elder of Zion* has to prove in which primary source document he can prove that Pius XII did not helped the Jews. And this part is complete missing by Elder of Zion.

14.11.2 So here Elder of Zion is practicing the **historical falsification of the type: Invented Artificial Unhistorical Accuses Without Existing Confronted with the 22.067 primary sources proved Foundation: (FALSIFICATION – IAUAWECF-NR.#1)**. More his artificial accuse of 9 September 2021 was already resolved on 14 March 2021!!!

14.12 Further is *Elder of Zion* in contradiction (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#148**) with the historical reality of the contents of already known primary sources: that proved undeniable that the real legacy of Pius XII is that 22.067 already identified primary sources on Pius XII_ Eugenio Pacelli prove undeniable and irreversible that Pius XII **1.465 times helped massive the Jews, defended them also against deportations and Nazi-violence** and Pius XII **criticized 7.752 times very severe Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the Nazi violence**.

14.12.1 *Elder of Zion* is NOT TELLING those 2 important conclusions⁸⁵: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#387 - #388**).

14.12.2 In short: *Elder of Zion* is here in this 2 times **falsification the historical history by NOT TELLING the two-dimensional Contents of the 22.067 Already Known Primary Sources: (FALSIFICATION-NTCAKPS-Nr.#1 - #2)**.

14.13 In the small micro-historical-topic Nr.14 that *Elder of Zion* wanted to be discussed were already detected and immanently present **AT LEAST 156 historical errors⁸⁶ of whom 10 grave historical primary source falsifications!!!!**

⁸⁵ Supra 14.12.

⁸⁶ Overview of the 156 historical errors of whom 10 falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.14 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#306 - #388	#83	FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#1 - #3	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#114 - #148	#35	FALSIFICATION – IAUAWECF-NR.#1	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#11 - #14	#4	FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#1 - #4	#4
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#1 - #7	#7	FALSIFICATION-NTCAKPS-Nr. à1 - #2	#2
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#13 - #17	#5		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#6	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#5	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#2 - #3	#2		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#2 - #9	#8		
TOTAL	146	TOTAL	#10

14.14 More: a tsunami of very unpleasant consequences is coming fort for **Elder of Zion** by the using of the very small simple word : “**monasteries**” in his relative simple small micro-historical-topic nr.14 that he liked to be discussed.

14.14.1 The problem is: as this word “monasteries” did not appear in the article of Andrea Gagliarducci, and the expression “convents and monasteries” is a typical expression in the English-language literature about what Pope Pius XII did for Jews in Rome during the Nazi – persecution : to indicate the 4.205 = (4.118 Roman + 87 Stranger) Jews that Pope Pius XII saved during the 8 months of heavy Nazi-persecution from 18 October at 14.01 o'clock until 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o'clock⁸⁷.

14.14.1.1 This means that in his small micro-historical-topic Nr. 14 that **ELDER OF ZIYON** wanted to be pico-critical discussed he is making a change from signification about the convents – Under convents intended **ELDER of Zion** 500 Roman Jews saved by Pope Pius XII in 49 Roman convents opened and protected by Pius XII in the period of the 5 weeks⁸⁸ BEFORE the beginning of the Nazi-razzia in Rome - he was speaking before⁸⁹ this micro-historical-topic Nr. 14 and in his micro-historical-topic Nr. 14 suddenly intends with “convents and monasteries” the 235 Roman convents that were opened and protected by Pius XII to hide 4.205 Jews.

14.14.1.2 By doing this **ELDER OF ZIYON** is doing a NEW GRAVE FALSIFICATION and is **reducing 4.205 Jews saved by Pope Pius XII in Rome to some hundreds: (FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#4)**. It is amazing that Elder of Zion is in this why for the 4th time practicing consciously reducing historical proved numbers to falsify and mislead the international public who is reading this invented manipulated fanta -storia!!!! One note that the **reduce falsification factor that Elder of Zion had practiced here** is: **14** (= 4.205/300) in its less bad case and **21** (= 4.205/200) **IN ITS WORST CASE!!!!!!**

14.14.1.3 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is again practicing here an international rejected not scientific method (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#10**). This is clear that his small conclusion in his micro-historical-topic Nr.14 is from this sub – dimension out seen again an erroneous illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#149**). More, **Elder of Zion** by practicing this falsification is also making the historical error of NOT TELLING: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#389**).

14.14.2 A second problem, with very unpleasant consequences for **Elder of Zion** is that he personally himself stated in his own comment in the very small micro-historical-topic Nr.3 that “**This is not new information.**” This means that he knew the numbers already before Andrea Gagliarducci published these number ins the USA on 29 August 2021. From where must come this very clear and very specific historical information????

14.14.2.1 As this very specific information was internationally published for the first time on 12 March 2014 and put on internet on 2 March 2017 it means that **Elder of Zion** was knowing this specific information from this website or from another informatory that is referring to this website information⁹⁰!!!

14.14.2.2 This means if one looks to the information published on this website it is clear that so **Elder of Zion** was knowing already before 29 August 2021 the numbers that Andrea Gagliarducci was referring in his article.

14.14.2.3 But it means also that **Elder of Zion** knew the other numbers: and is NOT TELLING them!!!! This means he knew that Pius XII helped 1.680 Stranger Jews in Rome by means of DELASEM, and so by NOT TELLING this (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#390**) **ELDER of ZIYON** reduced this very important absolute, and not relative help and that was in time and NOT to late (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-**

⁸⁷ Dominiek Oversteyns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.2.(E).

⁸⁸ Dominiek Oversteyns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.2.(E).

⁸⁹ Supra 1 – 13.2.

⁹⁰ Dominiek Oversteyns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1. – Fig. 3.2.(E).

NR.#150 - #152), in a practiced FALSIFICATION to “0”: (FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#5), with falsification reducing factor : “infinite (= 1.680/0) = ∞“!

14.14.2.4 More it means also that **Elder of Zion** knew that Pius XII helped 4.205 Jews in Rome by means of DELASEM, and so by NOT TELLING this (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#391 ELDER of ZIYON** reduced this very important absolute, and not relative help and that was in time and NOT to late (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#153 - #155**), in a practiced FALSIFICATION to “0”: (**FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#6**), with falsification reducing factor : 21 (= 4.205/200) !!!

14.14.2.5 But it means also that **Elder of Zion** knew that Pius XII helped in totally from 18 October 1943 at 14.01 o'clock until 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o'clock 6.381 Jews in Rome, and so by NOT TELLING this (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#392 ELDER of ZIYON** reduced this very important absolute, and not relative help and that was in time and NOT to late (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#156 - #159**), in a practiced FALSIFICATION to “200”: (**FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#7**), with falsification reducing factor : 32 (= 6.381/200)!!!! It is clear that so ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing 3 times an international rejected unscientific unhistorical method (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#11 - #13**).

14.14.3 This means that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is completely contradicting himself (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#8**) in writing his micro-historical-topic Nr.3 that he offers us the decode- key: “Smoking gun proof -as David Kertzer liked so much to indicate this high crown quality of primary source proofs” to decode the GRAVE falsification he want unsuccessfully transmit in his micro-historical-topic Nr. 14.

14.15 This means that in total were already discovered in the small micro-historical-topic Nr. 14 that Elder of Zion liked to be discussed, immanently present already **AT LEAST 180 historical errors⁹¹ of whom 14 grave historical primary source falsifications!!!!**

14.16 With this background **of more than 180 historical errors** present of whom already **14 grave historical falsifications** discovered – and practiced consciously by **ELDER OF ZIYON** - in only in some sub-dimensions of the micro-historical-topi Nr. 14 that ELDER OF ZIYON liked to be discussed, it becomes clear that the 100% complete failed macro-falsification that ELDER OF ZIYON practiced in his topic Nr. 14 to mislead the international public consisted in the following structure he followed:

By Elder of Zion practiced falsification Step 1:

Reduce the existing historical number of 6.381 Jews helped by Pius XII in the 8 months⁹² of heavy Nazi – persecution in Rome to “some hundreds” in only 8 months.

By Elder of Zion practiced falsification Step 2:

Extend this falsified unhistorical number of “some hundred” helped by Pius XII as being the falsified only help Pius XII gave to the all the Jews in whole Nazi-occupied Europe and for the whole 6 years of the war.

By Elder of Zion practiced falsification Step 3:

Artificially accuse Pius XII not helped enough Jews and he would have helped at least ten of thousand.

In summary consist the GRAVE HISTORICAL FALSIFICATION THAT ELDER OF ZIYON was practicing in only micro-historical-topic Nr. 14 in:

ELDER OF ZIYON reduced in a false artificial way the thousands of Jews helped by Pius XII to nothing to accuse Pius XII to have helped not thousands of Jews.

⁹¹ Overview of the 181 historical errors of whom 15 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.14 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#306 - #392	#87	FALSIFICATION – PHNR-NR.#1 - #8	#8
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#114 - #159	#46	FALSIFICATION – IAUAWECF-NR.#1	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#11 - #14	#4	FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#1 - #4	#4
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#1 - #8	#8	FALSIFICATION-NTCAKPS-Nr.#1 - #2	#2
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#13 - #17	#5		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#6	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWIA-NR.#5	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#2 - #3	#2		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#2 - #13	#12		
TOTAL	166	TOTAL	#15

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteyns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

⁹² From 18 October 1943 at 14.01 o'clock until 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o'clock.

14.16.1 One note that where this not nice artificial falsified failed (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#8**) accuse was published by Elder of Zion on 9 September 2021 it is very pity for him to be confronted with the fact that 4 months before were the tens of thousands helped and or saved by Pius XII proved: at least 33.331, and this number will still increase when research makes progress in the next months and years

14.16.2 **Elder of Zion** why did you such *an unprofessional visible falsification* that was so simple to detect as you yourself gave the read-decode-key to discover your falsification.

14.16.3 Instead of augmenting your credibility and this of the actual accusers to hold up your accuses against Pius XII you used the so wrong un professional way for realizing a falsification that you were doing a complete contra productive act by founding your artificial accuse on a very grave historical falsification. So you loss every credibility and inclusive also the accusers!!! This is really the bankrupt of the accusers!!! No historical reliable accuses exist anymore again Pius XII!!!

14.17 Another very unpleasant and grave consequent of this very grave massive practiced falsification of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is that until micro-historical-topic Nr.14 that Elder of Zion liked to be discussed, we have read his comment in a favor interpretation for him that he was not practicing a systematical falsification. For this reason was spoken of an generalization historical error: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#1**) practiced by **Elder of Zion**, as speaking of an historical error is not the same as stigmatize here a falsification. In fact, it is very clear that generalizing a small part for the whole can also be practiced consciously and willingly by a person that wants to execute falsification with a certain intention.

14.17.1 As the intention of **Elder of Zion** is proved by what he did in micro-historical-topic Nr.14: systematically and consciously to practice falsification, this means that that: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#1 - #3**) are indicating **3 FALSIFICATIONS practiced by ELDER OF ZIYON of the type: Generalize a Small Information as Being the Whole: (FALSIFICATION-GSIBW-NR.#1-#3).**

14.17.2 So are read (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#1 - #5**) : taking a small part for the whole, as 5 other falsifications executed by Elder of Zion of the type: (**FALSIFICATION-GSIBW-NR.#5-#8**)

14.17.3 And still more: where until micro-historical-item Nr. 13 the NOT TELLING was classified under the code name (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#392**) these **392 from micro-historical-topic nr.15 on will be considered also Falsifications, as the NOT TELLING is is a very powerful instrument to Practice manipulations ad Falsifications , and ELDER OF ZIYON had Practiced this method a lot of times directly, but also a lot of times indirectly (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1 - #392).**

15. Elder of Zion has immanently present 10 historical errors of whom 3 grave historical falsifications immanently present in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.15):

*“The Vatican maintained a policy of neutrality from the start of World War II. The Allies signed a declaration condemning the extermination of the Jews on December 17, 1942, but the Pope refused to add his signature to the document.”*⁹³

15.1 Elder of Zion is here NOT TELLING (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#393) that what the whole world is knowing: the Allied were fighting against the Nazis. And as the Vatican is neutral: so NO Allied, it cannot sign an official document against Hitler and the Nazi's, as the Vatican is Neutral. Other wise the Vatican would be an Allied! This NOT TELLING is obviously an explicit practiced new FALSIFICATION by (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#393).

15.2 More: this small comment shows how ELDER OF ZIYON is himself contradicting (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#9) when he wrote that the Vatican practiced neutrality and ELDER OF ZIYON is claiming that Pope Pius XII had to sign the same paper on the same day!

15.3 More: this proves also an illogical erroneous historical conclusion (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#160) that Elder of Zion is making here in the very small micro-historical-topic Nr. 15 that he liked to be criticized.

15.4 More: this proves that Elder of Zion is making here in the very small micro-historical topic-Nr. 15 the FALSIFICATION of inventing an artificial unhistorical accusation with no primary source based foundation (FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECEP-NR.#2).

15.5 More: this proves that Elder of Zion is using here an international rejected not scientific method for falsification of the history (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#14).

15.6 More: this accuse was already resolved and neutralized in 1990 – 1999, so about 30 years ago, and ELDER of ZIYON is still thinking it has an importance to mention. So here Elder of Zion proves to be in this micro-historical-topic Nr.15 that he liked us to examen: about 30 years behind the actual state of international knowhow about this micro-historical-topic (HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#7). This historical error Elder of Zion here practicing is of the same historical problem level as 30 years after Japan stopped the war with the USA on 1-2 September 1945 still to find on a small isolated island in the Pacific a Japanese soldier who is thinking he is still in war with the USA.

15.7 More: this proved that ELDER of ZIYON is not knowing neither following the masses of studies published by international dynamical research of primary sources on Pius XII (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#15).

15.8 More: this proved that ELDER of ZIYON studied the historical facts on Pope Pius XII very (HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#18) superficial, and it is feared that by the way how he presents this small-historical-topic nr. 15 that it is very good possible that he never had studied until 9 September 2021 even 1 primary source the 22.067 that are internationally already known and identified!

15.9 More: the fact that ELDER of ZIYON after 30 years that his artificial unhistorical and insistent accuse was resolved and neutralized still is using this, and the fact that in micro-historical-topic nr.14 he proved to do systematical consciously and willingly falsification proves her another grave rejected unscientific method by the international research (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#15) and that ELDER OF ZIYON is Willingly Falsifying the Historical True and he Not Want to Correct his Personal Erroneous Unhistorical Vision (FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#1).

15.10 This means that in this very small micro-historical-topic nr.15 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss were immanently present 10 historical errors⁹⁴ of whom 3 grave historical falsifications!!!!

⁹³ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

⁹⁴ Overview of the 10 historical errors of whom 3 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.14 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#393	#1	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#393	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#9	#1	FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECEP-NR.#2	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#160	#1	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#1	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#14 - #15	#2		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#7	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#15	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#18	#1		
TOTAL	7	TOTAL	#3

16. Elder of Ziyon has 289 historical errors of whom 145 grave historical falsifications immanently present in the following statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.16):

“He obliquely referred to the Holocaust in his Christmas message a week later, but didn’t mention Jews explicitly, and kept it low key, referring to “the hundreds of thousands of persons who, without any fault on their part, sometimes only because of their nationality or ethnic origin, have been consigned to death or to a slow decline.”⁹⁵

16.1 **Elder of Ziyon** is here NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#394**) that when he used the word “**obliquely**” that Pius XII had since 12 April 1941 changed the strategy of direct condemning Hitler and the Nazi-violence into indirectly severe condemning: to CONTINUE CODEMNING Hitler and the Nazi-program and Nazi-violence but a small moderate for not augmenting the sufferings of the Jews and the Polish under the demonical power of Hitler and the Nazis in the Nazi-occupied countries of Europe. SO: Not to silence at all: BUT CONTINUATION OF CONDEMNING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!.

Pius XII changed his method as Secretary of State Cardinal Maglione advised Pius XII on 30 March 1941 to change his strategy as the severe condemning against Hitler and the Nazi-violence practiced from 14 November 1923 until 3 April 1941 did not caused that Hitler stopped his violence against the persons in difficulties: (Polish and the Jews) but only for this reason that Pius XII protested severe public against him he augmented the torture of those persons in the hands of Hitler and the Nazis. So from 12 April 1941 on until 8 May 1945 is Pius XII linear following this way of condemning, who does not mean at all 1a silence, but only from direct language of stigmatizing to indirect language of stigmatizing. So **ELDER OF ZIYON** is doing here a grave falsification in not telling this (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#394**).

16.1.1 More in micro-historical-topic Nr.8⁹⁶ are already explained about 35 Not Tellings more (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#395-#428**) that **ELDER of Ziyon** did tell (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#395-#428**) to avoid that the international public understand why Pope Pius XII was using this method of condemning.

16.1.2 More: **ELDER of Ziyon** did not tell (**are 9 extra not tellings!!!!**) to avoid that the international public understand that the INDIRECT HEAVY CONDEMNING was detected, understand and arrived by the Nazis in Berlin and caused more than 6 different severe reactions of the Nazi’s against Pope Pius XII (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#429-#437**) that are also in this context standing for 9 extra new falsifications executed by **ELDER of Ziyon** (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#429-#437**).

16.1.3 More: **ELDER OF ZIYON** proves here to change a positive primary source with noble information in it: a strong and clear condemning of Hitler and the Holocaust violence against the Jews as being bad: this is a illogical erroneous historical error (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#161**), but also a very grave and clear FALSIFICATION of contents of primary sources (**FALSIFICATION – HPPSSFRORS-NR.#5**).

16.1.4 Still more: **ELDER OF ZIYON** does not want to omit the Pius XII indeed condemned in a positive way and so does not want to correct his erroneous historical limited vision about the what offer simply the contents of the primary sources: (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#2**).

16.1.5 Still more: **ELDER OF ZIYON** proves so to create and invent here an artificial un-historical inexistent accuse and problem: (**FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#3**). In short: Elder of Ziyon is practicing only in this small word an international rejected un-scientific and un historical method: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#16**).

16.1.6 In total has Elder of Ziyon immanently present in his only 1 word large pico – comment “**obliquely**” immanently present 93 historical errors of whom 47 grave falsifications⁹⁷!

⁹⁵ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

⁹⁶ Supra 8. – 8.2.5.34.

⁹⁷ Overview of the 93 (= 46 + 47) historical errors of whom 47 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.16.1 that Elder of Ziyon liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#394 - #437	#44	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#394 - #437	#44
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#161	#1	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#5	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#16	#1	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#2	#1
		FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#3	#1
TOTAL	46	TOTAL	#47

16.2 *Elder of Zion* is erroneous writing in his small micro-historical-topic nr.16 that he liked us to be discussed critically that Pius XII: “*referred to the Holocaust in his Christmas message a week later, but didn’t mention Jews explicitly*”. Here *Elder of Zion* is making a big contradiction (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#162**), as he placed “*Jews not mentioned explicit in this radio message of Pius XII*” in *explicit opposition* by using “**BUT**” with *according to how is presenting ELDER OF ZIYON the facts here* in opposition to the Holocaust that according the used erroneous logic of ELDER of ZIYON “*seemed to be said explicit by Pope Pius XII in his radio message of 24 December 1942*”. But in the original primary source of the discourse is neither Holocaust explicit said nor “Jews” explicit mentioned. This means also that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is contradicting here himself (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#10**).

16.2.1 This means that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is proving here “**NOT TO HAVE READ THIS SIMPLE PRIMARY SOURCE everywhere in the world available, and Simulate to have Read it**” by writing completely erroneous wrong conclusions! This is a very grave historical Falsification (**FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#1**) and by the international scientific research a complete grave rejected unscientific and not historical applied method!!!! (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#17**).

16.2.2 Seen in the context in which here Elder of Zion proves to create a problem where is no problem – *As he himself is able to decode the indirect condemning of Hitler done by Pius XII as against the Holocaust and so ELDER OF ZIYON proved to be able to understand the condemning and suddenly ELDER OF ZIYON is not able to understand that PIUSXII is in that case also speaking about “JEWS”*. So it is obviously an invented artificial historical unexciting problem that ELDER OF ZIYON invented here. -, all the 92 historical errors found immanently present in the sub-micro-historical-topic nr 16.1 have to be applied extra on sub-micro-historical-topic nr 16.1 and are codified under the following errors and falsification numbers:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#438 - #481
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#163
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#18
FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#438 - #481
FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#6
FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#4
FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#3.

16.3 *Elder of Zion* is erroneous writing in his small micro-historical-topic nr.16 that he liked us to be discussed critically that Pius XII: “*kept it low key*”. For the third time is creating here Elder of Zion an artificial inexistent unhistorical problem of the same nature as sub-micro-historical-topic 16.1. and 16.2. This means that the 92 historical errors found immanently present in the small sub-micro-historical-topic nr.16.1 that ELDER OF ZIYON liked to be criticized have to be applied also on sub-micro-historical-topic nr.16.3. There error code numbers are the following:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#482 - #525
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#164
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#19
FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#482 - #525
FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#7
FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#5
FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#4.

16.3.1 More: **ELDER OF ZIYON** is NOT TELLING (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#526**) and (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#526**) that Pius XII already on 12 April 1941 condemned and criticized publicly for the whole world that the Nazi’s were “*destroying complete peoples*”⁹⁸. The way how Pius XII is

⁹⁸ Pio XII, *Discorsi e Radiomessaggi di Sua Santità Pio XII, Volume III: 2 marzo 1941 – 1° marzo 1942*, terza ristampa, edizione originale 1960, Tipografia Poliglotta Vaticana, Città del Vaticano - p. 40: “*Preghiamo per una pace per tutti; non per una pace di oppressione e di distruzione di popoli, ma per una pace che, garantendo l’onore di tutte le Nazioni, soddisfaccia alla necessità vitali e ai legittimi diretti di tutti.*”; Pierre Blet, *Pie XII et la Seconde Guerre mondiale d’après les archives du Vatican*, Perrin, Mesnil-sur-l’Estrée – 1998, p. 95.

formulating his condemning of the Nazi violence indicates at least two people that were on that moment suffering a very severe Nazi persecution, in which the Nazi's must have practiced a lot of time mass executions on large scale, since that the Nazis invaded Poland on 1 September 1939.

16.3.2 The intensity of this killing facts must have been known -informed by these facts before 12 April 1941 – by Pius XII before 12 April – tolled by informers – and must have been an such a very large scale and long during intensity that Pope Pius XII is condemning and criticizing these demonic facts with the words “DESTROYING OF PEOPLES!”

16.3.3 It is testified undeniable that the Polish People suffered hard, but also the Jews in Poland suffered a horrible severe and deathly persecution executed by the Nazis from 1 September 1939 on⁹⁹. So it proves that the persecutions against the Polish Jews did not started only by the deportations and mass killings from January 1942 on but show to heave a pre-story in which heavy Nazi-violence was done against them and for this reason also Pope Pius XII defended in his encyclic “*Summi pontificatus*” of 20 October 1939 43 times extra the dignity of the Jews and condemned 115 times Hitler, 10 points of the SDAP program and the Nazi-violence! Also this is NOT TELLING Elder of Ziyon (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#527**) and (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#527**).

16.3.4 One notes also that this general condemning includes also a principle condemning by Pius XII spoken about against anyone who is << destructing = “mass killing” of any people>> from that moment on: so it was an explicit public universal principal condemning of also against the mass killing of the Jews. So this is valid each day of the war from 12 April 1941 on. This is again **ELDER of ZIYON** not telling: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#528**) and (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#528**).

16.4 So totally in the small micro-historical-topic nr 16. that **ELDER OF ZIYON** liked us to criticize are immanently present at least 289 historical errors of whom 145 grave falsifications¹⁰⁰!

⁹⁹ <https://www.facinghistory.org/holocaust-and-human-behavior/chapter-8/war-jews-poland>.

¹⁰⁰ Overview of the 289 (= 144 + 145) historical errors of whom 145 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.16 that Elder of Ziyon liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#394 - #528	#135	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#394 - #528	#135
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#161 - #164	#4	FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#1	#1
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#10	#1	FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#5 - #7	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#16 - #19	#4	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#2 - #4	#3
		FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#3 - #5	#3
TOTAL	#144	TOTAL	#145

17. *Elder of Zion has 574 historical errors of whom 293 grave historical falsifications immanently present in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.17):*

“Pius XII’s failure to explicitly condemn the Holocaust gave the responsibility of saving or damning Jews to individual Catholic leaders and laypeople. Some decided to shelter and save Jews, and some decided to collaborate with the Nazis.”¹⁰¹

17.1 *Elder of Zion* has already minimum **289 historical errors** of which **145 grave historical falsifications immanently present** in his only pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1: *“Pius XII’s failure to explicitly condemn the Holocaust”* that he wanted to be criticized severely, as the main content of this sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1 is exactly the contents of micro-historical-topic Nr. 16 that was already in extended mode criticized and discussed. This means that the 286 historical errors described in micro-historical-topic Nr. 16 are also valid in sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1, as substantial **ELDER OF ZIYON** is making the same historical error here as in micro-historical-topic Nr. 16. This means that the code historical error numbers for only the 286 historical error in NR. 17.1 are:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#529 - #663
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#165 - #168
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#11
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#20 - #23
FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#529 - #663
FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#2
FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#8 - #10
FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#5 - #7.

17.1.1 Reading those 289 historical errors that **ELDER of ZIYON** is immanently producing by his small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1 one understands why Elder of Zion is here inventing an inexistent artificial problem, as the condemning message that Pius XII send: arrived by the top of the Nazis in Berlin and caused 6 heavy condemnings of them to Pope Pius XII: inclusive that the called Pius XII: “the mouthpiece for the Jews”. This let se what a grave Falsification here **ELDER of ZIYON** is producing in this only pico sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1. It let us also understand that he is unable to omit against every primary source in that Pius XII condemned Hitler and helped the Jews.

17.1.2 More it is complete unscientific of **ELDER of ZIYON** and contradicting (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#12 - #13**) himself 2 times to be working in a scientific way, as to make of a protest: what is clear send by Pope Pius XII to Hitler and the Nazis, that was understand by them as a protest, to make of this “a failure” and an “accuse”. This is not only a double illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#169 - #170**), but also a 6 time contradicting the contents of primary source: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#171 - #176**), but are really 6 times very hard falsification : to publish the opposite what the contents of primary source is demonstrating (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#16 - #21**).

17.1.3 A small extra problem for **ELDER OF ZIYON** is that he did not tell (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#664**) and (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#664**) that there are not only 1 critic and condemnation of Hitler and defense of Pius XII of the Jews to falsify unscientific away but on 10 December 2021 were *already counted and identified 7.752 others critics and condemnations done by Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945*. So **ELDER OF ZIYON**, who failed completely to wash away in unscientific falsification method -as the falsification was practiced by **ELDER of ZIYON** in an un-professional top way: to amateurish - only 1 critic, how will he then be able to wash away by falsification the 7.751 other critics of Pius XII against Hitler? (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#22**). And much more problematic for **ELDER OF ZIYON** is that in the coming months and years this number of 7.752 already identified critics of Pius XII against Hitler will only raise exponential!! Which new unscientific falsifications has the **ELDER of ZIYON** to invent to stop this trend – this 7.751 other critics to Hitler - that are just simply

¹⁰¹ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/> .

undeniable present in the primary sources? **(FALSIFICATION– HPPSSFRORS-NR.#23)**. **The international dynamical research on the primary sources on Pius XII will from now on very attend observe each new production of Elder of Zion on Pius XII of course only and exclusive from this very interesting point of view out!!!**

17.1.4 More: another small extra problem for **ELDER OF ZIYON** is that he did not tell **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#665)** and **(FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#665)** that there are not only 1 defense of the dignity of the Jews and 1 defense against the deportations of Jews that he failed to wash away as his unscientific falsification was discovered, but **ELDER OF ZYON** has still to try to wash away **1.465 different defenses of the JEWS and 602 defenses of the JEWS** against deportation alle executed by Pius XII and present in the 22.067 primary sources of “Smoking gun proof” – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this top quality of primary sources. If it was impossible of **ELDER of ZIYON** to was away only this 1 defense of the Jews and 1 defense against deportation of the Jews that Pius XII clearly executed on 24 December 1942, how will **ELDER OF ZIYON** try unscientific to falsify away those 1.465 different defenses of the Jews – of whom 602 explicit identified and numbered defenses against deportations of the Jews - that Pius XII Eugenio Pacelli did from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958. **(FALSIFICATION– HPPSSFRORS-NR.#24 -#25)**. And then then knowing that this number of 1465 different defenses that Pius XII did for the Jews and 602 defenses again the deportations of the Jews will only grow exponential in the proportion that the international primary reaserch on Pope Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli progress in the next months and years!

17.2 **Elder of Zion** has **many historical errors** immanently present in his only pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.1: **“Pius XII’s failure to explicitly condemn the Holocaust gave the responsibility of saving or damning Jews to individual Catholic leaders and laypeople.”**

17.2.1 **Elder of Zion** is making here the historical error that he proofs here again with the contents of his sub-micro-historical-topic Nr.17.2 that he wanted us to be criticized, that he had not read or studied the primary sources and simulates to know them: **(FALSIFICATION–NRPSSR-NR.#3)**. Should **ELDER OF ZIYON** have read and studied the already available 22.067 primary sources **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#19)**, he would never had wrote this illogical **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#177)** erroneous unhistorical conclusion that is contradicted ad rejected by masses of primary sources **(FALSIFICATION– HPPSSFRORS-NR.#26)**.

17.2.2 **Elder of Zion** is not telling that there more than 10 different primary sources of responsible of different countries for example: USA, Germany, Poland, Jews, UK who confirm the condemning of Pope Pius XII against Hitler in defense of the Jews: inclusive the USA and the UK representatives if you read their “complete testimony”: **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#666 - #675)** and **(FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#666 - #675)**. So **Elder of Zion** is here 10 times executing the falsification of the type negate the content of a primary source and claim that this was the content **(FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-NR.#27 - #36)**.

17.2.3 **Elder of Zion** is making here an invented inexistent artificial unhistorical accuse: **(FALSIFICATION–IAUAWECF-NR.#6)**.

17.2.4 **Elder of Zion** is not telling here that PIUS XII _ Eugenio Pacelli had non-stop from 14 November 1923 until 8 May 1945 condemned publicly the Violence of Hitler in the L’Osservatore Romano, on Radio Vatican, in his 2 encyclics during the war on 20 October 1939 and 29 June 1943, as Cardinale Secretary of State during 10 years long – inclusive the encyclic of 1937 written for 2/3rd by him personally!! - and as Nuncios in Germany from 14 November 1923 until 10 December 1929, and send diplomatic personal requests tot the leaders of the Nazi-occupied states to help the Jews and to stop the deportations: in total these both main dimensions are – on 10 December 2021 counted intermediate state of the primary research – covering more than **7.000 different critics against Hitler and the Nazis (> 6.000) and defenses of the Jews (>1.000) executed by Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945!!!!!!** This alone is standing for **at least 100 times¹⁰² that ELDER OF ZIYON** did NOT TOLD **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#676 - #775)** for motive of falsification **(FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#676 - #775)**.

¹⁰² **One note that according to the simple dynamical confrontation counting here this NOT TELLING of ELDER OF ZIYON is standing for at least 7.000 times NOT TELLING an historical primary source content. We did only 100 for not making to worse the real impact of the historical errors that he is producing. This are the very hard consequences of falsification history In an area that**

17.2.5 *Elder of Zion* is not telling here that many ministers of Nazi-occupied government countries testified - primary sources “smoking gun proofs -according to the nice definition of David Kertzer” already in 1941 and 1942 that they knew exactly the opinion of PIUS XII: that he was against the racial laws and against the deportations: **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#776 - #782)** for motive of falsification **(FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#776 - #782)**.

17.2.5 *Elder of Zion* is again making an illogical erroneous conclusion **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#178)** from this point of sub-dimension historical vision to the sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 17.2 that he liked to be criticized severely in confrontation with the primary sources and that is: concluding illogical that the leaders in the occupied Nazi countries were let over to themselves when they got from Pius XII more than 6.000 public and personal warning against Hitler and the Nazis and more than 1.000 defenses of the Jews in the Nazi-occupied countries from Pius XII of whom 602 were directly against deportations!!!!!!

17.2.6 *Elder of Zion* is also not telling **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#783)** for reason of falsification **(FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#783)** that the reasons why leaders of government in occupied countries helped or not helped Jews have to be studied very carefully making according to the historical sub-topic: introduction racial laws, according to the historical sub-topic deportations as studying this in details was discovered that those leaders behaved much more from first principle “personal opportunism”!!!

17.2.7 In short: it is obviously undeniable that the erroneous unhistorical illogical conclusion of sub-micro-historical-topic nr.17.2 that *ELDER OF ZIYON* PRODUCED proofs here after a pico-critical historical confrontation with only some of the 22.067 already identified primary sources to be the fruit of NOT STUDYING the primary sources on Pius XII **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#20)**, further to SIMULATE TO KNOW THEM and by his way of expressing proving undeniable not to KNOW them **(FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#4)**, proofs that *ELDER OF ZIYON* is systematically working with by international scientific research rejected unscientific and unhistorical methods **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#24)**, proofs undeniable that ELDER of ZIYON is inventing artificial historical inexistent rejected erroneous accuses **(FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#7)**, proofs undeniable NOT TO KNOW ABOUT WHAT HE is TALKING **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#5)**, and finally proofs also that ELDER OF ZIYON is not able to change his erroneous unreliable secondary source based limited and erroneous ideas on Pius XII that are undeniable rejected by 22.067 primary sources in masses and can be verified objectively by anyone in the international world on its truth in its contents **(FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#8)**.

In short Elder of Zion is contradicting himself by judging *a proofed by Pius XII executed condemning of Hitler and defense of the Jews* as being a bad act: **(HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#14)!**

And ... *ELDER OF ZIYON* is here not alone suffering this small unscientific problem, but at least 99,9097501 % of all the 50.000 accusers of Pius XII from 18 February 1963 until 9 September 2021 are suffering the same only small secondary little problem!!!

17.3 In the small micro-historical-topic Nr. 17 that Elder of Zion liked to be criticized with a dynamical confrontation of 22.067 primary sources were found to be immanently present 574 historical errors of whom 293 grave historical falsifications¹⁰³.

is pico historical fact know under control and identified. One see that indeed times have changed, and the time of freely selling fanta – history and unhistorical fairy tales on Eugenio Pacelli – Pius XII is since 2 march 2020 definite over!!!

¹⁰³ Overview of the 574 (= 281 + 293) historical errors of whom 293 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.17 that Elder of Zion liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#529 - #783	#255	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#529 - #783	#255
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.# 165 - #178	#14	FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#2 - #4	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#11 - #14	#4	FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#8 - #36	#29
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#20- #24	#5	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#5 - #8	#4
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#19 - #20	#2	FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#6 - #7	#2
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#5	#1		
TOTAL	#281	TOTAL	#293

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteyns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

18. *Elder of Zion has 643 historical errors of whom 321 grave historical falsifications immanently present in the following statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.18):*

“While Pius may have helped Catholics save a small percentage of Rome’s Jews, he was not a local Rome official – he was the leader of some 200 million European Catholics. His words could have encouraged them to save and rescue hundreds of thousands of doomed Jews.”¹⁰⁴

18.1 *Elder of Zion* is in his pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.1: “*Pius may have helped Catholics save a small percentage of Rome’s Jews*” the following historical errors and very grave obviously conscient executed unhistorical falsifications:

18.1.1 Pius XII himself send also lots of Roman Jews personally into the convents in Rome: this s proved and testimonies by a masses of primary source proofs of the type: “Smoking gun proofs -as David Kertzer likes to classify this high quality of primary sources”. This was already published on 12 March 2014. This means that *ELDER OF ZIYON* proves here not to be up to date with the international state of knowledge on this argument (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#21**).

18.1.2 Further the way how *ELDER OF ZIYON* describes this pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.1 express and prove that he is not knowing about what he is speaking: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#6**).

18.1.3 Further *ELDER OF ZIYON* describes in this pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.1 the opposite of what 4.267 primary sources “Smooking gun proof – like David Kertzer liked to call this quality of primary sources” in masses confirm: not “*MAY HAVE*” – as ELDER OF ZIYON WROTE erroneous historical WRONG -but explicit “*HELPED*”: (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#37**).

18.1.4 *ELDER OF ZIYON* is here explicit NOT TELLING what Pius XII personal did in favor of the Roman Jews during the persecution: this was help done in at least 10 different proved historical dimensions. This means at least 10 times¹⁰⁵ NOT telling: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#784 - #793**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#784 - #793**).

18.1.5 *ELDER OF ZIYON* is here explicit Reducing to “0 = ZERO” the activity of what Pius XII personally did for the Jews in Rome, what the 4.267 primary sources about this subject in masses complete reject as unhistorical and wrong. So *ELDER OF ZIYON* is here practicing a very grave FALSIFICATION of reducing the quantity of historical proved facts (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#9**).

18.1.6 This proves that ELDER OF ZIYON is practicing not to except to change his erroneous imitated vision on what he knows on Pope Pius XII with that what are teaching the primary sources contents (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#9**).

18.1.7 *ELDER OF ZIYON* is NOT TELLING that Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli did 1.465 public defenses for the Jews but also in his diplomatically correspondence with the leaders of the states in Nazi-occupied Europe of which alone 602 defenses against deportations of the Jews from 1 January 1894 until 31 December 1945. This NOT TELLING stands for at least 100 times as historical error but also in this case grave falsification by Not telling (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#997 - #1.096**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#997 - #1.096**), as these public defenses invited to save lives of Jews. It is clear that the number of certain helped and of saved Jews by proved primary sources that now on 10 December 2021 is standing on: “>31.000 proved by primary sources” will certainly in the next months and years still exponential grow to the hundreds of thousands as a lot of primary sources

¹⁰⁴ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>

¹⁰⁵ *In reality in the dynamical confrontation with the 4.267 primary sources that are touching this argument, it is clear that for each historical fact that Pius XII did in favor of the Roman Jews, and that Elder of Zion was not telling it must be counted as an Not-telling historical error and also falsification. It is no certain, that if we would applicate here this rule than very quickly the number of ot telling will rise in several 10.000 's. This only demonstrate how far away from reality is in this small sub-micro-historical-topic ELDER of ZIYON is of reality about what he is speaking!!!!*

are indicating in this direction and on 10 December 2021 still not were studied and codified in their contents.

18.1.8 This all proves how illogical this pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.1 of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#179**).

18.2 **Elder of Ziyon** is in his pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.2: “**Pius XII ... save[d] a small percentage of Rome’s Jews**” the following historical errors and very grave obviously conscient executed unhistorical falsifications:

18.2.1 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is *here with “small percentage” contradicting himself* (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#15**) *with what he is knowing*, as he confirmed himself personally in the beginning of his comment that he knew the number of what Pius XII did in Rome for the Jews: nothing new¹⁰⁶. This means that he knew that Pius XII helped in the period of 8 months heavy Nazi persecution in Rome – primary source proved 4.267 “smoking gun proof _ primary sources as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality of primary sources” - 6.381 (= 4.590 Roman + 1.791 Stranger) Jews, what is standing for 64% of the 9.975 Jews, or 2/3rd, that should have been present in Rome on 4 June 1944 at 23.59 o’clock. Of course 2/3rd is never a small percentage!!!

18.2.2 This means that calling 2/3rd of 10.000 a “small percentage” of the Roman Jews **is practicing a very grave unscientific FALSIFICATION – METHOD EXECUTED BY ELDER OF ZIYON** (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#25**).

18.2.3 This means that **ELDER OF ZIYON** practiced here consciously for the 10th time in his small unhistorical comment the unscientific international rejected falsifying method by reducing an historical number in this case an important percentage 64% of the 9.975 Jews in Rome helped to a small percentage, for example 0,001 %. So **ELDER OF ZIYON** falsified in this case an historical proved number with falsification factor $64/0,001 = 64.000$ times (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#10**)! So unscientific and unhistorical is **ELDER OF ZIYON** working in that case with his general inaccurate and un precise working as he is practicing falsification.

18.2.4 So **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here also executing the scientific rejected falsification method: to publish the contrary of what the primary sources have as contents: (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#38**).

18.2.5 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here explicit NOT TELLING what Pius XII personal did in favor of the Roman Jews during the persecution: this was help done in at least 10 different proved historical dimensions. This means at least 10 times¹⁰⁷ NOT telling: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#794 - #803**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#794 - #803**).

18.2.6 This proves that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is practicing not to except to change his erroneous imitated vision on what he knows on Pope Pius XII with that what are teaching the primary sources contents (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#10**).

18.2.8 This all proves how illogical this pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.2 of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#180**).

18.3 **Elder of Ziyon** is in his pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.2: “**Pius XII words could have encouraged them to save and rescue hundreds of thousands of doomed Jews.**” the following historical errors and very grave obviously conscient executed unhistorical falsifications:

18.3.1 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here NOT TELLING that Pius XII did 7.752 already known critics of Hitler, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the Nazi-violence from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945. These 7.752 critics were done in public, in radio discourses, in L’Osservatore Romano, in 3 different encyclicals, and

¹⁰⁶ Supra 1.3.

¹⁰⁷ *In reality in the dynamical confrontation with the 4.267 primary sources that are touching this argument, it is clear that for each historical fact that Pius XII did in favor of the Roman Jews, and that Elder of Ziyon was not telling it must be counted as an Not-telling historical error and also falsification. It is no certain, that if we would applicate here this rule than very quickly the number of ot telling will rise in several 10.000 ’s. This only demonstrate how far away from reality is in this small sub-micro-historical-topic ELDER of ZIYON is of reality about what he is speaking!!!!*

diplomatic documents to different governments. So, Pius XII has spoken his words against Hitler for saving Jews!!! This NOT TELLING count we here symbolically *AT LEAST 100 times*¹⁰⁸ is a grave historical error and in this case also a grave falsification (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#894 - #903**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#894 - #903**).

18.3.2 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here consequent negating the fact that the words of Pope Pius XII encouraged indeed to save JEWS. This is testified by a lot of primary sources of the level of “Smoking gun proof – like David Kertzer loved to indicate this high level quality of primary sources” (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#39**).

18.3.3 So **ELDER OF ZIYON** falsified in this case an historical proved fact that Pius XII words “encouraged” persons to save Jews to “could have encouraged” what means mathematical “0 = ZERO”. So with falsification factor “infinite (= [(A≠0)/0]) = ∞“! (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#11**)!

18.3.4 This proves that ELDER OF ZIYON is practicing not to except to change his erroneous imitated vision on what he knows on Pope Pius XII with that what are teaching the primary sources contents (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#11**).

18.3.5 This all proves how illogical this pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 18.2 of **ELDER OF ZIYON** is (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#181**).

18.3.6 This proves which unscientific methods **ELDER OF ZIYON** practiced here again for the 26th time (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#26**).

18.3.7 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here also not telling that Pius XII did interventions - primary source proved of “Smoking gun proof quality according to the quality norm of David Kertzer” - for helping and saving at least 31.000 Jews in whole Nazi-occupied Europe. This is also good for at least 3 NOT TELLING and 3 falsification in this case (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#994 - #996**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#994 - #996**). **And this number >31.000 will increase with the progress of the dynamical research of the primary sources on Pius XII in the next months and years!!!!**

18.3.8 This proves that **Elder of Ziyon** is not knowing the actual international state of the research of the primary sources on Pius XII (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#22**).

18.3.9 This proves that **Elder of Ziyon** is still representing still a very old fashion, and not up to date, version of the historical pico-sub-micro-historical-topic nr. 18.3 that he liked us to criticize and discuss (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#16**).

18.4 In the small micro-historical-topic Nr. 18 that Elder of Ziyon liked to be criticized with a dynamical confrontation of 22.067 primary sources were found to be immanently present 643 historical errors of whom 321 grave historical falsifications¹⁰⁹.

¹⁰⁸ *In reality according to the rules of consequent applicated dynamical primary sources confrontation we have to use here 7.752!!! This demonstrates how historical wrong is this small sub-micro-historical-topic nr.18.3 composed by ELDER OF ZIYON!!!!*

¹⁰⁹ Overview of the 643 (= 322 + 321) historical errors of whom 321 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.18 that Elder of Ziyon liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#784 - #1.096	#312	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#784 - #1.096	#312
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.# 179 - #181	#3	FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#37 - #39	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#15	#1	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#9 - #11	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#25- #26	#2	FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#9 - #11	#3
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#21 - #22	#2		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#6	#1		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#16	#1		
TOTAL	#322	TOTAL	#321

19. Elder of Zion has **AT LEAST 1.813** historical errors of whom **1.225** grave historical falsifications immanently present in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.19):

“His main crime was one of omission, and no amount of research showing that he indirectly saved a few hundred local Jews can possibly take away his execrable record of refusing to use his significant moral weight to condemn Nazi Germany and instruct his followers to save every Jew they could.”¹¹⁰

19.1 **Elder of Zion** is in his pico small sub-micro-historical-topic Nr. 19.1: “*amount of research showing that he indirectly saved a few hundred local Jews*” is making the following: **admittings, confirmings, historical errors and grave falsifications:**

19.1.1 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here confessing and confirming personally in his conclusion, and that can be read by any one in the whole international world, that on 9 September 2021, that **he IS KNOWING an amount of primary source research that is PROVING that PIUS XII SAVED JEWS!**

19.1.1.1 Here **ELDER OF ZIYON** is in contradiction with himself if he has seen the amount of primary source research that proves that Pius XII SAVED A LOT OF JEWS and is Not admitting this (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#12**), but contradicting it: (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#40**).

19.1.1.1 One note that by the using of “**AMOUNT OF RESEARCH**” **ELDER OF ZIYON** declared, confessed and confirms personally that he is knowing these studies: founded on exclusive primary source research, and **ELDER OF ZIYON** on his own is practicing in his whole unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#27**) and unhistorical comment systematical **FALSIFICATION of the results that were found in the contents of the PRIMARY Sources in favor of Pius XII condemned Hitler and helped the Jews to reduce** (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#12**) them to “0”- “**ZERO**” not existing, and for this reason to accuse Pius XII to have nothing (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#13**) done!

19.1.1.2 One note that this accuse sub-micro-historical-topic nr.19.1 had the same contents that was found in micro-historical-topic nr.14 that **ELDER OF ZIYON liked us to criticize an in which were at least 181 historical errors of whom 15 grave historical falsifications immanently present.**¹¹¹ And these applied on sub-micro-historical-topic nr.19.1 have the following error code numbers:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.097 - #1.183 FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.097 - #1.183 HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#182 - #227 HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#17 - #20 HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#16 - #23 HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#23 - #27 HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#8	HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAIA-NR.#6 HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#4 - #5 HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#27 - #38 FALSIFICATION - PHNR-NR.#13 - #20 FALSIFICATION - IAUAWECF-NR.#8 FALSIFICATION - HPPSSFRORS-NR.#41 - #44 FALSIFICATION-NTCAKPS-Nr.#3 - #4
--	---

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

19.1.1.3 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is with “**indirectly**” here again very hard practicing falsifications: the fact that **Pius XII in Rome acted directly is confirmed by masses (more than 100 different primary sources of the excellent quality of “Smoking gun proof – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality of primary sources”!!!) of primary sources, that reject the illogical wrong** (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#278 - #288**) conclusion of **ELDER Of Zion** who thought it was: “**indirectly**”. As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 10 times **NOT TELLING** and **FALSIFICATION** consciously practiced (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.184 - #1.193**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of **NOT TELLING** (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.184 - #1.193**). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#39**). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-**

¹¹⁰ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

¹¹¹ Supra 1.14.

NR.#13), and at least 10 times contradicted by the primary sources (FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-NR.#45 - #54)!!!!

19.1.1.4 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is with “few hundred” here again very hard practicing falsifications: the fact that Pius XII in Rome helped 6.381 Jews is a primary source proved fact and directly is confirmed by 4.267 primary sources of the excellent quality of “Smoking gun proof – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality of primary sources”!!!), that reject the illogical wrong (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#289 - #298) conclusion of **ELDER Of Zion** who thought it was: “indirectly”. As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 10 times **NOT TELLING** and **FALSIFICATION** consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.194 - #1.203) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.194 - #1.203). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#39). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (FALSIFICATION–WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#14), and at least 10 times contradicted by the primary sources (FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-NR.#55 - #64)!!!!

19.1.1.5 More: on 9 September 2021 was already known that Pius XII had helped: primary source proved: at least 31.000 Jews in Rome and Nazi-occupied countries: so not some hundreds as **ELDER of ZIYON** **FALSIFIED** by reduction (FALSIFICATION–PHNR-NR.#21)! As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 10 times **NOT TELLING** and **FALSIFICATION** consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.204 - #1.213) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.204 - #1.213). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#40). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (FALSIFICATION–WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#15), and at least 10 times contradicted by the primary sources (FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-NR.#65 - #74)!!!!

19.1.1.6 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is with “local” here again very hard practicing falsifications: the fact that Pius XII in Rome acted local in Rome and also in whole Nazi-occupied Europe but also in the rest of the world in favor of the Jews is confirmed by masses (more than 1000 different primary sources of the excellent quality of “Smoking gun proof – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality of primary sources”!!!) of primary sources, that reject the illogical wrong (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#288 - #298) conclusion of **ELDER Of Zion** who thought it was: “indirectly”. As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 10 times **NOT TELLING** and **FALSIFICATION** consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.214 - #1.223) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.214 - #1.223). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#41). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (FALSIFICATION–WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#16), and at least 10 times contradicted by the primary sources (FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-NR.#75 - #84)!!!!

19.2 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is with “and no amount of research showing that he ... saved ... Jews can possibly take away [the historical inexistent accuses and by primary sources rejected accuses!!]” here again very hard practicing falsifications: the fact that Pius XII in Rome acted local in Rome and also in whole Nazi-occupied Europe but also in the rest of the world in favor of the Jews is confirmed by masses (more than 1000 different primary sources of the excellent quality of “Smoking gun proof – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality of primary sources”!!!) of primary sources AND, reject the illogical wrong (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#288 - #298) conclusion of **ELDER Of Zion** who contradicts himself (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#24) by saying that this very large proofed scale (>31.000 Jews proved helped!!!) is not taking away the accuse: it **DOES TAKE AWAY!!!!**. As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 10 times **NOT TELLING** and **FALSIFICATION** consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.224 - #1.233) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.224 - #1.233). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#42). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (FALSIFICATION–WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#17), and at least 10 times contradicted by the primary sources (FALSIFICATION–HPPSSFRORS-

NR.#85 - #94)!!!! And also 10 times reducing falsification (FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#22 - #31)!!!!!!!!!!!!

19.3 **ELDER OF ZIYON** wrote this complete unhistorical erroneous (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#299**) conclusion: “*refusing to use his significant moral weight to condemn Nazi Germany and instruct his followers to save every Jew they could.*”

19.3.1 Also here is implicit **ELDER OF ZIYON** by his way of presenting the clear conclusion “*to use his significant moral weight to condemn Nazi Germany and instruct his followers to save every Jew they could*” admitting and even confirming himself that his conclusion is indeed that the amount of studies he had seen for the eyes confront him with this undeniable and un-rejectable fact as the 22.067 primary sources of the supreme class of “Smoking gun proofs – as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high-level class of primary sources” are pointing to this historical conclusion!!!

19.3.2 **ELDER OF ZIYON** is here NOT TELLING that are already identified, numbered and codified 7.752 critics and condemnings that Pius XII did against HITLER, 10 point of the NSDAP program and the Nazi violence that Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli did from 14 November 1923 until 31 December 1945. Further ELDER of ZIYON is not telling that Pius XII _ Eugenio Pacelli did from 1 January 1894 until 31 December 1945: 1.465 different defenses of the dignity of the Jews, help actions for Jews in difficulties and 602 defenses of the Jews against deportations, that confirm the previous implicit confirming of ELDER of ZIYON in his conclusion¹¹².

19.3.3 As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 100 times **NOT TELLING and FALSIFICATION consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.234 - #1.333)** and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.234 - #1.333**). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#43**). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#18**), and at least 100 times contradicted by the primary sources (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#95 - #194**)!!!! And also 100 times reducing falsification (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#32 - #131**)!!!!!!!!!!!!

19.3.4 As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is “*refusing*” these historical facts and **NOT Pius XII is refusing to condemn Hitler and to invite to help the JEWS**, so **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#44**). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#19**), and at least 100 times contradicted by the primary sources (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#195 - #294**)!!!! And also 100 times reducing falsification (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#132 - #231**)!!!!!!!!!!!!

19.4. **ELDER OF ZIYON** wrote this complete unhistorical erroneous (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#300**) conclusion: “*His main crime was one of omission.*” Here **ELDER of ZIYON** is complete in contradiction with himself as he confirmed 2 times in his own comment that he knew what the studies said about Pius XII how he helped the Jews (1.465 times), criticized (7.752 times) Hitler, and is calling this “*crime was one of omission*”. This is counting for two extra contradictions against himself he made here: (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#25 - #26**).

19.4.1 With “*crime was one of omission*” **ELDER OF ZIYON** is simply inventing an inexistent (**FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#9**), unhistorical accuse without any primary source fundament, that is completely rejected by the 22.067 primary sources with the proved 7.752 critics of Hitler and the 1.465 defenses of the Jews and 602 defenses against the deportations of the Jews¹¹³. As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 100 times **NOT TELLING and FALSIFICATION consciously practiced (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.334 - #1.433)** and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.334 - #1.433**). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-**

¹¹² Supra 19.3.1.

¹¹³ Supra 19.3.1. – 19.3.2.

LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#45). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#20**), and at least 100 times contradicted by the primary sources (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#295 - #394**)!!!! And with this “**omission**” also 100 times reducing falsification (**FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#232 -#331**)!!!!!!!!!!!!

19.4.2 With “**His main crime**” **ELDER OF ZIYON** is simply inventing that there are more what is an inexistent (**FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECE-NR.#10**), unhistorical accuse without any primary source fundament, that is completely rejected by the 22.067 primary sources with the proved 7.752 critics of Hitler and the 1.465 defenses of the Jews and 602 defenses against the deportations of the Jews¹¹⁴.

As **ELDER OF ZIYON** is not telling this, it will be counted at least as 100 times **NOT TELLING and FALSIFICATION consciously practiced** (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.434 - #1.533**) and historical conscious executed falsifications of NOT TELLING (**FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.434 - #1.533**). **ELDER of ZIYON** is practicing here a rejected unscientific (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#46**). He is unable to change his limited and historical erroneous ideas on Pius XII what he got from secondary unreliable unhistorical sources (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#21**), and at least 100 times contradicted by the primary sources (**FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#395 - #494**)!!!!

19.5 In the small micro-historical-topic Nr. 19 that **Elder of Ziyon** liked to be criticized with a dynamical confrontation of 22.067 primary sources were found to be immanently present 1.813 historical errors of whom 1.225 grave historical falsifications¹¹⁵.

20. **ELDER OF ZIYON** when he is speaking about (micro-historical-topic Nr.20):

<<“non-professional”¹¹⁶- behavior>>

is making a double erroneous illogical conclusion (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.# 301 - #302**): in indicating the wrong person and is indicating the contents of the unscientific working of his indicated person, but also is **Elder of Ziyon** making a double contradiction with himself (**HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#27 - #28**): with what he is writing and how he proved to act - as is proved undeniable and undiscussable, that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is this very small but very dens unhistorical comment of 2 pages **has immanently present** 8.367 Historical errors of whom 4.405 grave unscientific falsifications -with low quality of failed pseudo amateurish level - practiced consciously in 8 different dimensions¹¹⁷.

¹¹⁴ Supra 19.3.1. – 19.3.2.

¹¹⁵ Overview of the 1.813 (= 588 + 1.225) historical errors of whom 1.225 grave historical falsifications in micro-historical-topic Nr.19 that Elder of Ziyon liked to discuss:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.097 - #1.533	#436	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.097 - #1.533	#436
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.# 182 - #300	#119	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#40 - #494	#455
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#16 - #26	#11	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#12 - #21	#10
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#27- #48	#22	FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#12 - #332	#321
		FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECE-NR.#8 - #10	#3
TOTAL	#588	TOTAL	#1.225

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).

¹¹⁶ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

¹¹⁷ Infra 24.

21. *ELDER OF ZIYON* has AT LEAST 2.000 historical errors of whom 1.000 grave historical falsifications immanently present in his statement (micro-historical-topic Nr.21):

“whitewash Pius XII”¹¹⁸

as in total on 9 September 2021 ELDER of Ziyon is at least in this micro-comment already 9.217 (=7.752 + 1.465) times contradicting himself, as he stated 2 times personally in his comment to know the studies about the primary sources about Pius XII that are confirming the undeniable help (1.465 times primary source proved with quality of Smoking-gun-prove, as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality group of primary sources) that Pius XII did for the Jews and also the (7.752 times primary source proved with quality of Smoking-gun-prove, as David Kertzer loved to indicate this high quality group of primary sources) critics Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli did against Hitler: SO we are not “whitewashing Pius XII”, as the primary sources where always “white from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958”, and even from “18 February 1963 until 9 September 2021” as the contents of the 22.067 primary sources was always white!!!!

21.1 *In the dynamical confrontation with the primary sources, we just calculate this as larger than >200 contradictions (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#27 - #226), where in reality we may attribute AT LEAST 9.217 contradictions, as this number still will grow with the progress of the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII!!*

21.2 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here inventing a very grave inexistent unhistorical accuse*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#11 - #210).

21.3 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing a rejected unscientific method*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#49- #248).

21.4 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing to state the contrary of what primary sources proof*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (FALSIFICATION-HPPSSFRORS-NR.#495 - #694).

21.5 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing to the historical error of NOT TELLING this*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (HISTORICAL-ERROR-NR.#1.534 - #1.733).

21.6 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing in this case the falsification of NOT TELLING this*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.534 - #1.733).

21.7 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here practicing in this case the falsification of failed reducing 9.217 historical facts to “0” – “ZERO”*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#332 -#531).

21.8 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here making 9.217 times an illogical erroneous conclusion*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#301 - #500).

21.9 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here 9.217 times erroneous mixing up and taking his dreams: “what he thinks that happened” for being - completely wrong – the historical reality: “what is standing in the primary sources”*: it will be counted for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSN-NR.#3 - 202).

21.10 More: So *ELDER OF ZIYON is here 9.217 times not accepting to change his erroneous imitated view on Pius XII for the contents of what the primary sources are teaching to have as contents*: it will be counted

¹¹⁸ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

for >200 for the same reasons explained in the previous paragraph: (**FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#22- #221**).

21.11 This means in this small micro-historical topic nr.21. that Elder of Zion liked us to be severe criticized are found to be immanently present **AT LEAST 2.000 historical errors of whom 1.000 grave falsifications**¹¹⁹.

21.12 More: **ELDER OF ZIYON** is using micro-historical-topic Nr. 21 also in his title of his comment. This means that it is standing for the center of his unhistorical inexistent accuses against Pius XII. And indeed this small micro-historical-topic nr. 21 proved to have the most historical errors the 21 different micro-historical-topics that ELDER OF ZIYON liked to be discussed!

21.12.1 So the title of his comment has also **AT LEAST 2.000 historical errors of whom 1.000 grave falsifications**, that have the following own error code numbers:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.734 - #1.933
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#501 - #700
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#227 - #426
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#249- #448
HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSH-NR.#203 - #402
FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.734 - #1.933
FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#695 - #894
FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#222 - #421
FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#532 -#731
FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#211 - #410

¹¹⁹ Overview of the **2.000 (= 1.000 + 1.000) historical errors of whom 1.000 grave historical falsifications** in micro-historical-topic Nr.21 that Elder of Zion liked to be discussed:

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.534 - #1.733	#200	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.534 - #1.733	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#301 - #500	#200	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#495 - #694	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#27 - #226	#200	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#22 - #221	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#49- #248	#200	FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#332 -#531	#200
HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSH-NR.#3 - #202	#200	FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#11 - #210	#200
TOTAL	#1.000	TOTAL	#1.000

22. One note that when **ELDER OF ZIYON** used as comment (micro-historical-topic Nr.22):

“do not whitewash Pius XII record”¹²⁰,

we agreed *as it was always white so we do not need to “whitewash”*, but it means that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is thinking that *the paint color he erroneously thinks to see is not white (HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRS#403)*. In fact it means that suddenly from 18 February 1963 the white image was overpainted with a NOT – WHITE Color. But this “NOT-WHITE-COLOR-PAINT” has a small problem: when ELDER of Zion looked to it perhaps before 12 March 2014 it was: “perhaps not white”, but since 12 March 2014 until 14 May 2021 the old paint seal became brittle and peeled off, and so the original white image of Pius XII became again very clear visible and from 10 December 2021 on: without any “not-white spot”!!!

22.1 More: the intervention of **ELDER OF ZIYON** on 9 September 2021 by *using an large scale an 8-dimensional conscious systematical practiced falsification to paint the original standard natural ground white imagine version of Pius XII present in the 22.067 primary sources, not white*, had not functioned for the main simple reason simple reason that the *“not-white-paint-of systematical-falsifications”* that **ELDER OF ZIYON** is using on 9 September 2021, proves not to adhere anymore to the ground layer: “the ever original white image of Pius XII from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958, present and confirmed by 22.067 primary sources! In short: “the not-white-paint of falsification” is repelled by the ground layer and does not adhere to it anymore! It proves on 9 September 2021 not to be adhesive anymore!!

22.2 How was this Copernican change possible that seemed to function very good from 18 February 1963 until 9 September 2021? Two reasons are obviously there:

22.2.1 From 12 March 2014 until 9 September 2021 were by the international dynamical primary source research identified, studied and catalogized in mode systematically on micro detail each of their contents. Insiders speaks here that the accuracy is on pico-meters accurate and exact!!! This explains why a person that liked to practice on large scale in 8 different dimensions systematical falsifications was detected in 0,004020023 pico-seconds! As Elder of Zion did not know on 9 September 2021 that the intranational dynamical primary source research on Pius XII is on this international scientific extra high level historical quality was on this level, his very interesting fresh falsification product o 9 September 2021, became a very interesting multi-dimensional-case-object to be studied into pico-historical details to see how unscientific **ELDER OF ZIYON** try to found on nothing invented inexistent unhistorical accuses that Liliana Picciotto stigmatize as fanta-histories and that for that reason are declassified as unhistorical fairy-tales rejected by more than 22.067 primary sources that David Kertzer loved to indicate as “Smoking gun proofs!”

22.2.2 A second reason is that from 2 March 2020 with the opening of the Vatican archives the fundamentally ontologically way on discussion on historical-topics about Pius XII- Eugenio Pacelli had changed in a Copernican way.

22.2.2.1 Before the opening of 2 March 2020 secondary source literature was used to build an imagine: but this was a very unreliable method to have an historical reliable image on Pius XII: as one could not make for a lot of topics not make a control by confronting its contents with this of the primary sources so the statements on Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli could be true, but also not be true!

22.2.2.2 From 2 March 2020 on are on Pius XII only accepted in discussions on topics about the historical image of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli only primary source references. So the time period from 18 February 1963 until 1 March 2020 has finished with writing pseudo unhistorical on the image of Pope Pius XII only with unhistorical statements of: “I think ..., I hope ..., I have felt that ..., the cat of my aunt has dreamt that”. This means that from 2 March 2020 only each text on an historical topic on Pius XII may only refer to exclusive primary sources or exclusive primary source research studies. And this fundamental change had **Elder of Zion**, even after almost 2 years after the opening of the archives, still not understand. In fact in his small composition of a high density of rejected unhistorical invented rejected falsifications **ELDER of ZIYON**

¹²⁰ <https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/09/09/dont-whitewash-the-shameful-holocaust-record-of-pope-pius-xii/>.

is using several references and statements he copied out of secondary unreliable source, that were also proved to be full rejected after the dynamical confrontation by the 22.067 primary sources.

22.2.2.2.1 This case study of the analysis of the unhistorical and unscientific composition text of **ELDER OF ZIYON** proofs how unscientific and wrong is his method to write history exclusive with “scientific titles” and with according to him “famous” persons, as both criteria are scientific seen 100% unreliable for writing reliable history, simply for the fact that what that person is staying can be true or cannot be true! Reliable history is written only exclusive referring to the contents of primary sources. And that can be objectively verified by anyone!

22.2.2.3 For the reason, that Elder of Ziyon was using unscientifically in writing his composition, **the wrong quality of references sources – not primary sources** - on 9 September 2021 to try -100% failed - to defend his proved masses of unhistorical falsifications – that obviously he himself is not believing at all and still lesser the international audience to whom he is writing – for that reason his pseudo unhistorical comment was directly cancelled as unscientific: as this is the international scientific criteria from 2 March 2020 on to write a scientific reliable historical comment on Pius XII.

22.3 For these two reasons the “not-white-paint of falsifications” that **ELDER of ZIYON** was using on 9 September 2021 for eternity will never be anymore “adhesive” on the beautiful spotless white image of Pope Pius XII that are found in the more than 22.067 primary sources, and that was ever there and never changed from 1 January 1894 until 9 October 1958.

22.4 And by unscientific practicing consciously systematical large-scale falsifications of primary source contents **ELDER OF ZIYON** disqualified himself as unscientific and placed himself on the list of those “**accusers against Pope Pius XII that practiced unscientific unhistorical falsifications**”. So those pseudo unhistorical and unscientific composers of texts will never anymore mislead the international interested public with their fanta – histories as Liliana Picciotto stigmatized those unhistorical fairy - tales.

23. Summary:

In the whole unhistorical and unscientific composition text of **ELDER of ZIYON** of 9 September 2021 were *after the dynamical severe confrontation of the 22.067 primary sources*, of each statement and each word that Elder of Ziyon wrote in his unscientific and unhistorical composition, **discovered to be immanently present AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors of whom 4.405 grave historical falsifications in 8 different modes of the contents of the primary sources.**

24. Overview of the **historical-error-spectrum** of the **AT LEAST** **8.387 historical errors** **that** **Elder of Ziyon** **had immanently present** **in his small composition of** **9 September 2021:**

*Overview of the historical-error-spectrum of the **AT LEAST 8.387 historical errors** that Elder of Ziyon had immanently present in his small composition of 9 September 2021*

HISTORICAL-ERROR-NT-NR.#1.933	#1.933	FALSIFICATION-NTPF-NR.#1.933	#1.933
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGCON-NR.#700	#700	FALSIFICATION- HPPSSFRORS-NR.#894	#894
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGCONHS-NR.#426	#426	FALSIFICATION-WFHTNWCPEUV-NR.#421	#421
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGILLOGNSMU-NR.#448	#448	FALSIFICATION-PHNR-NR.#731	#731
HISTORICAL-ERROR-CMEDTWWRSN-NR.#403	#403	FALSIFICATION-IAUAWECF-NR.#410	#410
HISTORICAL-ERROR-TSSPBWAI-NR.#6	#6	FALSIFICATION-NRPSSR-NR.#4	#4
HISTORICAL-ERROR-LOGGEN-NR.#5	#5	FALSIFICATION-NTCAKPS-Nr.#4	#4
HISTORICAL-ERROR-RSHASUP-NR.#27	#27	FALSIFICATION-GSIBW-NR.#8	#8
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKIALPSS-NR.#20	#20		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-BAISDRPSMHT-NR.#8	#8		
HISTORICAL-ERROR-NKAWHIS-NR.#6	#6		
SUB – TOTAL HISTORICAL ERRORS	#3.982	SUB – TOTAL HISTORICAL FALSIFICATIONS	#4.405
TOTAL HISTORICAL ERRORS:		AT LEAST 8.387 (= 3.982 + 4.405)	

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.7.(E).