

**Fig. 3.15.6.(E).:**

**The 43 Historical Errors**  
**immanently present**  
**in the Comment Nr. 7**  
  
**of**  
  
**Robert Eckenrode**  
**published**  
**on**  
**August 30, 2021**  
  
**in the U.S.A.**

**Dominiek Oversteyns**  
**Rome, May 2, 2022**

**SIAE n. 2022/00886**

# Comment nr 10 of Dominiek Oversteyns on 5 September 2021<sup>1</sup>

Second version of 2 May 2022 revised and extended version

## After a critical confrontation with the 22.067 primary sources of the

Comment nr 7 of Robert Eckenrode<sup>2</sup> of August 30, 2021 at 7:40 pm\_

more than 42 historical errors were found  
in only 3 lines written by Robert Eckenrode!!

**An overview<sup>3</sup> of these at least 43 historical errors**

can be found in the Second revised and extended version published  
in 2 May 2022 in our website under figure number:

**Dominiek Oversteyns:** <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.6.(E).

This means that Robert Eckenrode *succeeded* to write  
*in each of his only 3 lines great comment nr. 7*  
a seldom seen high average of more than 14 historical errors!!!!  
*So the Comment nr 7 of Robert Eckenrode is completely rejected*  
*and declassified as an unhistorical fantasy-story*  
*- as Liliana Picciotto indicates this genre of historical rejected accuses -,*  
*out of a long time ago epochs*  
*but that is definitive closed*  
*on March 1, 2020 at 23.59 'o clock,*  
*as the day after opened the archives of the Vatican.*

**The great change of the historical method and qualities of the studies  
about Pope Pius XII  
before and after the opening of the archives on March 2, 2020 is  
an ontological change  
from  
*thinking to knowing,*  
from  
*fanta-stories based on secondary sources*  
to  
just only primary source-based facts  
about Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli!!!!**

---

<sup>1</sup> Dominiek Oversteyns: <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2021/08/29/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/#mh-comments>: Comment Nr. 10.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2021/08/29/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/#mh-comments>: Comment Nr. 7.

<sup>3</sup> Infra 1.9.

**1: Some small observations  
by Dominiek Oversteijns  
on the 3 lines – comment nr. 7 of Robert Eckenrode<sup>4</sup>**

**1.0: On August 30, 2021 at 7:40 pm in comment Nr. 7 Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

*“The future Pope Eugenia Pacelli was Papal Nuncio to Germany during the 1920’s and 30’s . He was there before, during and after the Nazis took power. He negotiated with them and had the Catholic Center party disbanded. Catholics were set adrift politically and many then supported the NSDP. The rest is history”<sup>5</sup>*

**1.1: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

"The future Pope Eugenia Pacelli".

It is clear that Robert Eckenrode means: "The future Pope Eugenio Pacelli"! **Historical Error Nr.1: Eugenia Pacelli is a lady name that does not exist. Certainly not as a pope. Eckenrode shows here that he doesn't know the name of the person that he wants to criticize!**

**1.2: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

"The future Pope **Eugenia** Pacelli was Papal Nuncio to Germany during the 1920’s and 30’s.  
He was there before, **during and after the Nazis took power.**"

This statement is historically wrong because Eugenio Pacelli was Nuncio in Germany from May 16, 1917 until December 12, 1929. **So he was NOT a Nuncio in Germany in the years 1930. Historical Error Nr.2.**

**1.3: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

"The future Pope **Eugenia** Pacelli was Papal Nuncio to Germany during the 1920’s ....  
He was there before ,... the Nazis took power. “

This reconstructed statement is historically incomplete as he was already Nuncio in Bavaria from May 19, 1917, **and not from 1920 on!!!!** This means already 3 years before the time **Robert Eckenrode** erroneously indicated: **Historical Error Nr.3.**

---

<sup>4</sup> Dominiek Oversteijns: <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2021/08/29/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/#mh-comments>: Comment Nr. 10 of Dominiek Oversteijns. Draft version published on 5 September 2021. On 2 March 2022 elaborated, revised and extended!

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2021/08/29/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/#mh-comments>: Comment Nr. 7 of Robert Eckenrode.

**But** in this reconstruction of events the fact is missing that Nuncio Pacelli criticized and condemned, from 14 November 1923 until 10 December 1929, Hitler and 10 points of the NSDAP program and its violence, 326 times, often in presence of an audience of more than 110.000 persons. Each fact is described in the study: **Dominiek Oversteyns: *Hitler contestato 326 volte dal Nunzio Pacelli in Germania dal 14 novembre 1923 fino al 12 dicembre 1929*** [Hitler criticized 326 times by Nuncio Pacelli in Germany from November 14, 1923 until December 12, 1929], that since June 2, 2021 is freely available in electronic version on internet<sup>6</sup>! On page 94 one can find a table that resumes the activity of Nuncio Pacelli in condemning Hitler and the Nazi violence in the years 1923 - 1929 and how he was defending the Jews already 8 times during this period. It can be noticed that these results are only based on primary source documents which can be easily checked, if necessary, since they are now published in the original German language and translated into Italian.

This publication puts an end to all accusations based on unreliable secondary literature against Nuncio Pacelli for the period from 1921 until 1929. It proves indisputably that Pacelli was combating Hitler already as a Nuncio during this period. This small publication did already change in Europe already a lot of critics of Pius XII, to become even defenders of Pius XII. And the same will happen in the U.S.A!!!

One of the many examples of Eugenio Pacelli criticizing Hitler in that period is his discourse of September 1, 1929 in Germany in which he criticized in public 44 times Hitler and the violence of the NSDAP program. This was a very strong reaction of Nuncio Pacelli on Hitler and the NSDAP who 3 weeks before, on August 4, 1929, started their rise to take power 4 years later. These 44 critiques were thoroughly discussed in a special article published on internet in 2021 by Dominiek Oversteyns<sup>7</sup>.

The omission of this very important information proves that on the one side Eckenrode is making the ***Historical Error Nr.4, that he is not following*** the current international literature and publications regarding Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli and the recent studies and international research on primary sources **and not** even knowing it: ***Historical Error Nr.5.***

**I.3: NB 1:** It must be noticed that very often Nuncio Pacelli made those 40 discourses before audiences consisting of more than 110.000 people. People interested can now upgrade their knowledge to the actual state of international research on Pius XII and the Jews.

**I.3: NB 2:** A very typical weakness in the discussions made in the English spoken world with regard to Pope Pius XII and the Jews is due to the fact that very simple, superficial, subjective, secondary source arguments are employed, based on assumptions like: "My cat of my aunt has dreamt that and told me that ... ", "I think that ...", "I hope that ... ", "I feel that ...", "It was said that ..., I heard that ..., First criticize and do not study!!!!".

This era of secondary argument and sophistic discussion in Europe ended on March 12, 2014 when the results of systematical primary source research were able to reveal the historical errors contained in all those accusations against Pope Pius XII, based on secondary sources<sup>8</sup>. For that reason, international academic historical research is systematically confronting each known accusation with the great amount of already 22.067 known and studied primary source based historical arguments that are now available. The result is amazing: on September 4, 2021 no valid accusation still remained!!!

So we can say that from August 29, 2021, with the publication of the above mentioned article of Andrea Gagliarducci, this new method based on inferring exclusively with pure primary sources has entered for the first time in the English spoken world in the historical debate about Pope Pius XII and is proving its efficacy in confronting the unhistorical secondary source based accuses. By applying this method to the debate, the historical errors used in the argumentation become clear and are consequently rejected as unhistorical. And this means the end of the discussion!

---

<sup>6</sup> Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.14.2.: 244 pages.

<sup>7</sup> Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/>: Fig. 3.14.2.1.: 8 pages.

<sup>8</sup> Dominiek Oversteyns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 1.1 – Fig. 3.16.4.

**1.3: NB 3:** For this reason it would be wise for a person who intends to write a comment on Pius XII to check if each argument he is using originates from primary sources or from unhistorical and unreliable secondary sources, as when a person is still using secondary unhistorical accusations already rejected by primary sources covering the historical period of Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1890 until December 31, 1945, this argument in the actual international debate is rejected in only 0,001 nano seconds as an invented unhistorical phantasy – history, as Liliana Picciotto likes to stigmatize this kind of arguments.

**1.4: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

**"The future Pope Eugenia Pacelli was Papal Nuncio in Germany ... and 1930's ....  
He was there ....,during ... the Nazis took power."**

This statement is, from an historical point of view, absolutely wrong as: "**Eugenio Pacelli was NOT as Nuncio in Germany when Hitler took the Power in 1933**": *Historical Error Nr.6.*

This statement is for a second time historically wrong as: "**Eugenio Pacelli in 1933 was not a Nuncio but Cardinal Secretary of State in the Vatican.**": *Historical Error Nr.7.*

**1.4: NB 1:** These 2 simple examples of historical errors show e totally lacking of the minimum standard knowledge of the life of Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli by perhaps 99,99 % of all the debaters and accusers. It shows that they are not familiar with the subject-matter they intended to discuss. And due to the reason that they were working for roughly 60 years with very superficial, nonacademic, and unhistorical arguments, their accuses can readily be shown as groundless and rejected in a very simple and easy way by making a short confrontation with the great amount of primary sources-based arguments - 22.067 already known on December 10, 2021 - covering the activity of Eugenio Pacelli – Pius XII during the whole period from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958 in his helping and defending the Jews, condemning Hitler and defending the Polish People.

It can be remarked that after having compared the contents of comment nr. 7 of Robert Eckenrode with those 22.067 primary sources, no argument contained in his three lines comment Nr. 7 could survive. Each argument was simply proved to be unhistorical and rejected. With the dramatic end conclusion that no part of comment nr. 7 of **Robert Eckenrode** could survive after this confrontation. So his intervention turned out to be a great historical flop and a total fail, with **"0" = "Zero"** historical impact, historically groundless, on the actual documentary evidence existing at September 5, 2021.

**1.4:NB 2:** These 2 examples of historical errors in arguing in discussing in the USA about pope Pius XII are symptomatic for the quality of the arguments of millions of discussions about Pius XII that you can find in the English - written world. This means that from August 29, 2021 on with only using a few purposed primary source-based arguments, directly is revealed in millions of discussions about Pope Pius XII the historical error and the rejection of this secondary unhistorical literature-based arguments. Liliane Picciotto declassifies these unhistorical based arguments to the point as fanta-storia (= *Fantasy-history = nice unhistorical fairytales!!!*).

**1.5: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

**"The future Pope Eugenia Pacelli was Papal Nuncio to Germany ... and 1930's ....  
He was there ....after ... the Nazis took power."**

This statement is from an historical point of view absolutely wrong as: "**Eugenio Pacelli was NOT as Nuncio in Germany after Hitler took the power in January 1933**": **Historical Error Nr.8**. Eugenio Pacelli in the years 1930 lived in the Vatican City and certainly did not reside in Germany!!!

Another big error of Robert Eckenrode is also that after the time when Hitler took the power in Germany, Eugenio Pacelli was not Nuncio in Germany but Cardinal Secretary of State until March 1, 1939: **Historical Error Nr.9**.

**1.6: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

**"He [Eugenio Pacelli] negotiated with them and had the Catholic Center party disbanded."**

Also, this statement is historically absolutely wrong in its second part as primary source historical research-based studies about this argument proved from 2006 on after the archives documents in the Vatican until March 1, 1939 were opened and free for investigation, that the president of the Centrum Party Prelate Kaas himself disbanded his party: **Historical Error Nr.10**, and that Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli was angry with him about this fact: **Historical Error Nr.11**.

It must be remarked that this circumstance was already published about 12 years ago!!! So Robert Eckenrode on 30 august 2021 in his trying to present in a historical way this small marginal topic about Eugenio Pacelli shows to be 12 years behind the actual state of knowledge about Eugenio Pacelli and his activities as a cardinal: **Historical Error Nr.12**. And further this bad representing of the historical facts about even simple fact of Eugenio Pacelli as cardinal proves again for the second time clearly and undiscussable that Robert Eckenrode is not knowing the up to date international publications and literature about pope Pius XII according to the primary sources: **Historical Error Nr.13**! With this lacking of basic knowledge about Pius XII, nevertheless mister Robert Eckenrode wants to dictate to the international public what is the real history about Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli.

It is very clear that even Robert Eckenrode is not believing what he invented, and that with such an unacademic way of writing unhistorical facts he is not able to convince the international audience who knows the 22.067 primary sources!!!

So if a person wants to comment Pius XII a good positive advice is that he first studies and then comments, to avoid, his argument to be rejected in 0,00134 nano seconds! This is another very serious methodical error of Robert Eckenrode in his comment Nr. 7, that he is already concluding and judging an historical item about Eugenio Pacelli before having even seen and studied the primary sources about this topic. This is a very serious **Historical Error Nr.14** as the academically international method of studying an historical topic is: first study the primary sources and then make your conclusions and objections or remarks. And Robert Eckenrode shows to have applied and practiced the direct opposite!!!

### **1.7: Robert Eckenrode wrote:**

**"Catholics were set adrift politically and many then supported the NSDP."**

Again this representation of the events is historically wrong and incomplete.

1.7.1: An **Historical Error Nr.15** of Robert Eckenrode is not knowing that Prelate Ludwig Kaas, and NOT Cardinal Eugenio Pacelli, caused the Centrum party to be dissolved.

1.7.2: Another **Historical Error Nr.16** of Robert Eckenrode is that the Catholics: were NOT set adrift after this dissolution of the party around July 18, 1933, about 2 days before the signing of the concordat on July 20, 1933, and were NOT not informed about the danger of Hitler and the Nazis. The Catholics got very clear instructions about this danger!!! So an important historical error of Robert Eckenrode is NOT to know that the Catholics in Germany in July 1933 had received very clear instructions by the Catholic Church and even Cardinal Eugenio Pacelli of the danger of Nazis and Hitler.

1.7.3: Another **Historical Error Nr.17** of Robert Eckenrode is that he shows by his representation of facts that he does not know that Nuncio Pacelli, already from August 31, 1924 until December 10, 1929, in 40 public discourses before audiences of often more than 100.000 people, had criticized 326 times Hitler and warned for this danger.

1.7.4: This expresses again the great historical error of Robert Eckenrode that he is not knowing the international status of historical research **Historical Error Nr.18** and due to that reason, he tells such great unhistorical and scientific rejected fantasy – histories (*So Liliana Picciotto declassifies this kind of accuses*). More in this **Historical Error Nr.19** is confirmed undeniable his not having follow up the international known debate about this topic.

1.7.5: Another **Historical Error Nr.20** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proofs by his representing not to know that Nuncio Pacelli already on 8 May 1929 did a threefold prophecy about Hitler and the Nazis: they will kill hundreds of thousands of persons, will be destroyed, but destroy Germany and destroy Europe! This he predicted in Berlin before an audience of international journalist!! So Nuncio Pacelli not only warned the Catholics in Germany, but all the Germans, and all the world 10 years before the second world War began!

16 years after, on May 8, 1945 each word of this 3-fold prophecy prediction proofed to have indeed come true: the destiny of Hitler and the Nazis, the mass-murders of millions of innocents and the destruction of Europe had become undeniable historical facts!!!

1.7.6: Another **Historical Error Nr.21** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves by his representation of this small topic not to know that the German bishop in Mainz in the beginning of October 1930 excommunicated the Nazis and warned that each catholic that became a Nazi had no right to the sacraments nor to a Catholic funeral. Such very clear words were spoken 3 years before Robert Eckenrode could imagine!!!!

1.7.7: Another **Historical Error Nr.22** of Robert Eckenrode is that he shows by his representation of this small topic not to know that the Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli published on October 11, 1930 the excommunication made by the German bishop in Mainz, on the front page of the *L'Osservatore Romano*, explaining why the Catholics could not become Nazis! Very interesting and informative to read.

A great compliment to Gary Krupp and his team including Daniele Costi for their having published this “*smoking gun proof*” for the first time, since at least 2010<sup>9</sup>. I can imagine that when Robert Eckenrode will read what happened on October 11, 1930 and what Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli published for the whole

---

<sup>9</sup> Anonimo (Nostre informazioni [= **Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli!!**]), *Il partito di Hitler condannato dall'autorità ecclesiastica*, in: *L'Osservatore Romano* Sabato 11 Ottobre 1930, p.1; **Gary Krupp**, *Pope Pius XII and World War II, The Documented Truth: A Compilation of International Evidence Revealing the Wartime Acts of the Vatican. Compiled and edited by Gary L. Krupp*, Third Edition, Pave the Way Foundation, New York – 2010, p. 39.

world, including Germany, it will be for him a complete shock to read what he wrote in the third line of his comment and to confront this with the primary source evidence of what Eugenio Pacelli has really done!

I invite the whole international audience to make this small control check and to convince themselves of the difference existing between the dominant authority power of the primary source studies over the impotent weakness of fairy-tales based exclusively on unreliable secondary sources as told by Robert Eckenrode for example in his third line and also in his first and second line of his comment Nr. 7.

1.7.8: Another **Historical Error Nr.23** of Robert Eckenrode is that he shows by his representation of this small topic not to know what Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli said in Lourdes on April 28, 1935 before a huge mass of people, - including German Catholics!!! -, that the Nazis: *“are possessed by the superstition of race or blood: their philosophy rests on principles essentially opposed to those of the Christian faith, and with such principles the Church will not make a pact!”*<sup>10</sup>.

So, the reality of very clear instructions given to the Catholics by Pacelli on April 28, 1935 in Lourdes demonstrates again that the reality is completely opposed to what Robert Eckenrode ever could have thought, could have dreamed of or could have imagined.

1.7.9: Another **Historical Error Nr.24** of Robert Eckenrode is that by his representation of this small topic he shows not to know that the Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli wrote more than 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of the text of the encyclical: *“Mit brennender Sorge”*, which was published and circulated in Germany on March 14, 1937. This public letter contains more than 100 condemnations and critics against Hitler, and Nazis and their NSDAP program. This publication made Hitler and the Nazis so angry that they started a very heavy persecution against the Catholic Church. Also this persecution was not known by Eckenrode: **Historical Error Nr.25!**

1.7.10: Another **Historical Error Nr.26** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves by his representation of this small topic not to know that the Cardinal State Secretary Eugenio Pacelli criticized and condemned very heavily the Nazis on July 11<sup>th</sup>, 1937 in his public discourse in Lisieux, in the presence of a huge crowd of Catholics: also German Catholics were present!!! He criticized and condemned the persecution caused in Germany by the Nazis against the Catholic church after the publication made 4 months before of the encyclical, with the following words: *“The persecutions of his sons in various countries [Nazi Germany] weigh particularly heavily on the heart of the present Pope and have already led him several times to heart-rending complaints and solemn protests [Encyclical: mit brennender Sorge March 14, 1937, Città del Vaticano]. But neither the revolutionary and sacrilegious violence of the masses, blinded by false prophets [typical expression of Pacelli to designate Hitler and the Nazis], nor the fallacies of the doctors of depravity [moral decay Nazis], of those who would like to de-christianize public life [Hitler was working on this in Germany at that time], have been able to overcome the resistance, have been able to choke the word and the pen of this fearless old man”*<sup>11</sup>.

---

<sup>10</sup> Eugenio Pacelli, *XXXII Discorso per la Solenne Chiusura del Giubileo Mondiale à Lourdes*, in: Eugenio Card. Pacelli. *Segretario di Stato di Sua santità. Discorsi e Panegirici, Seconda edizione con l'aggiunta di nuovi discorsi e panegirici (1931 – 1938)*, Tipografia Poliglotta Vaticana, Città del Vaticano – 1956, p. 419, 431 – 432: *“Ce qu'il y a de tragique, en effet, c'est que cette aversion pour la croix soit portée à son comble par ceux-là qui, niant le dogme fondamental du péché, rejettent l'idée même de la Rédemption comme injurieuse à la dignité humaine. Avec l'illusion de préconiser une nouvelle sagesse, ils ne sont en réalité que de lamentables plagiaires qui recouvrent de nouveaux oripeaux des erreurs bien vieilles. Peu importe ..., qu'ils s'inspirent d'une fausse conception du monde et de la vie, qu'ils soient possédés par la superstition de la race ou du sang: leur philosophie aux uns comme aux autres repose sur des principes essentiellement opposés à ceux de la foi chrétienne, et de tels principes, à aucun prix l'Eglise ne consent à pactiser avec eux”*.

<sup>11</sup> Eugenio Pacelli, *XL Discorso inaugurale per la Nuovo Tempio di Lisieux*, in: Eugenio Card. Pacelli. *Segretario di Stato di Sua santità. Discorsi e Panegirici, Seconda edizione con l'aggiunta di nuovi discorsi e panegirici (1931 – 1938)*, Tipografia Poliglotta Vaticana, Città del Vaticano – 1956, p. 567, 586 – 587: *“elles sont particulièrement lourdes au cœur du Pontife actuel, les persécutions infligées à ses fils dans divers pays et qui lui ont arraché plusieurs fois déjà soit des plaintes déchirantes, soit des protestations solennelles. Mais ni la violence révolutionnaire et sacrilège des masses, aveuglées par de faux prophètes, ni les sophismes de docteurs d'impiété, de ceux qui*



This critic and condemnation caused in Germany a great disapproval by Himmler and the Nazis and a new persecution against the Catholic Church. Also this reaction was not known by Eckenrode: **Historical Error Nr.27!**

1.7.11: Another **Historical Error Nr.28** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves by his account of this topic not to know that the Cardinal Secretary of State Eugenio Pacelli criticized and condemned the Nazis very heavily on July 13, 1937 in his public discourse in Paris in the presence of a huge crowd of Catholics: also German Catholics were present!!! He criticized and condemned Nazis and Hitler and predicted their definitive defeat with the following words: *"We are in an hour of crisis. In seeing a world that turns its back on the cross God [in other words: run after the swastika], the true cross of the crucified and redeeming, of a world that forsakes the springs of living water for the mire of polluted cisterns [heavy condemnation of the ideology of Nazism], upon seeing opponents whose strength and pride are no match for the Goliath of the Bible, the cowardly may sigh in anticipation of their inevitable defeat [prophecy of the downfall of Hitler and the Nazis, which occurred 8 years later]; but the brave greet the dawn of victory in battle; they know very well their weakness, but they also know that the strong and mighty God ... makes a game of choosing weakness precisely to confound the strength of his enemies"*<sup>12</sup>.

This critic and condemnation caused in Germany a great disapproval by Himmler and the Nazis and a new persecution against the Catholic Church. Also this reaction was not known by Eckenrode: **Historical Error Nr.29!**

1.7.12: Another **Historical Error Nr.30** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves by his representation of this small topic not to know that Pope Pius XII - **Eugenio Pacelli criticized and condemned the Nazis more than 114 times in his encyclic: "Summi Pontificatus" of October 20, 1939.** This encyclic was also diffused and read in Germany!

1.7.13: Another **Historical Error Nr.31** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves not to know that Pope Pius XII - **Eugenio Pacelli criticized and condemned the Nazis more than 64 times in his encyclic: "Mystici Corporis Christi" of October 20, 1939.** This encyclic was also diffused and read in Germany!

1.7.14: Another **Historical Error Nr.32** of Robert Eckenrode is that he proves by his representation of this topic not to know the fact that Hitler in Germany even when he was in prison, during the period from March 1<sup>st</sup>, 1924 until May 4<sup>th</sup>, 1924, started a big unhistorical propaganda campaign in Germany accusing the Catholic Church as a collaborator of France causing Germany to lose the war! The consequence of this heavy daily slandering campaign against the Catholic Church was that masses of Catholics began to doubt the neutrality of the Pope and the Catholic Church and even left the Catholic Church to become Nazis. Even famous Catholic professors were doing so.

The answer of Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli against this movement in the German Catholic Church to leave and to become Nazi was conceived in the Vatican at the beginning of April 1924 with the project to canonize the blessed Father Peter Canisius, as a message for strengthening the unit between the Catholics in Germany and Rome and the Holy Father. The answer of Pope Pius XII was not only the canonization of Peter Canisius as a saint, but also his

---

*voudraient déchristianiser la vie publique, n'ont pu vaincre la résistance, n'ont pu enchaîner la parole et la plume de ce vieillard intrépide".*

<sup>12</sup> **Eugenio Pacelli**, *XLI Discorso tenuto dal pulpito di Notre Dame di Parigi*, in: *Eugenio Card. Pacelli. Segretario di Stato di Sua santità. Discorsi e Panegirici*, Seconda edizione con l'aggiunta di nuovi discorsi e panegirici (1931 – 1938), Tipografia Poliglotta Vaticana, Città del Vaticano – 1956, p. 605, 620: *"Nous sommes à une heure de crise. A la vue d'un monde qui tourne le dos à la croix, à la vraie croix du dieu crucifié et rédempteur, d'un monde qui délaisse les sources d'eau vive pour la fange des citernes contaminées, à la vue d'adversaires, dont la force et l'orgueilleux défi ne le cèdent en rien au Goliath de la Bible, les pusillanimes peuvent gémir d'avance sur leur in évitable défaite ; mais les vaillants, eux, saluent dans la lutte l'aurore de la victoire ; ils savent très bien leur faiblesse, mais ils savent aussi que le Dieu fort et puissant ... se fait un jeu de choisir précisément la faiblesse pour confondre la force de ses ennemis"*.

proclamation as a Doctor of the Church only 14 months later, in the summer of 1925. Robert Eckenrode demonstrates to be entirely in the dark about these facts: **Historical Error Nr.33!**

1.7.15: Another **Historical Error Nr.34** of Robert Eckenrode is that he appears not to know that Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli used this canonization item **thrice (Historical Error Nr.35 – Historical Error Nr.36)** during a period of 12 months in his public discourses as an instrument to strengthen the relations of the Catholics in Germany with the Vatican and the Pope. This was the first very clear answer of Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli addressed to the Catholics intending to become Nazis. Thus, Robert Eckenrode demonstrates to be completely wrong in affirming that Pacelli did nothing for the German Catholics who wanted to become Nazis.

1.7.16: Again another **Historical Error Nr.37** of Robert Eckenrode is that that he proves by his representation of this small topic that he is not knowing that Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli in his 40 public discourses made from August 31<sup>st</sup>, 1924 to December 10<sup>th</sup>, 1929 criticized 123 times Hitler's point nr 24. of the NSDAP program. In fact, in this program point Hitler declared to stand over the Pope, Christ and the Catholic Church, even to stand over God. Hitler recognized Catholicism only as Positive Catholics, meaning that they could believe what they wanted, but never go against Hitler's decisions! Also this judgment of Hitler over the Pope Eckenrode does not know **Historical Error Nr.38**.

Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli uninterruptedly criticized during 6 years this point 24, and gave very clear instructions to the Catholics in Germany that Nazism was incompatible with Catholicism! This is again a complete other story that the primary sources are teaching us instead of the fanta-story written by Robert Eckenrode in his third line.

1.7.17: Again another **Historical Error Nr.39** of Robert Eckenrode is that that he proofs by his representing of this small topic not to know that Nuncio Eugenio Pacelli in his 40 public discourse and 8 official documents condemned and criticized publicly more than 326 times Hitler, his violence and 10 points of his NSDAP program from 14 November 1923 on until 10 December 1929. So for a period of 6 years he was informing and instructing the German Catholics of Hitler's dangers and how bad they were. Thus Robert Eckenrode proved to be historically wrong in writing that **Pacelli did not instruct Catholics intending to become Nazis**.

1.7.18: Finally, another **Historical Error Nr.40** of Robert Eckenrode is that he is writing of the “*that many supported the NSDP*”. This was in that time in that region unexisting. That is not knowing Eckenrode **Historical Error Nr.41**. This must be NSDAP. This is Eckenrode not knowing: **Historical Error Nr.41**. This means that Robert Eckenrode never had studied an original primary source out of that time as they speak in those times and in that area of Germany only of DAP from 1919 until 1920 and from 1920 until 1945 of the NSDAP: **Historical Error Nr.42**.

This also shows that Robert Eckenrode condemns Pius XII - Eugenio Pacelli without having studied the sources: a very serious scientific error! **Historical Error Nr.43**.

1.7.18: NB 1: Another extra information for upgrading and updating the knowledge of persons who are interested to intervene in the debate about pope Pius XII is that more than 200 primary source based condemnations of Hitler, the Nazi violence and the NSDAP program spoken out by Cardinal Pacelli Secretary of State from, January 1, 1930 until March 1, 1939, are already existing and identified. And if one looks to their contents, one can see how heavily Cardinal Pacelli was combating Hitler and the Nazis in this period!

So if one wants to discuss about events of this period it is not bad to study first these 200 arguments, otherwise in 0,00134 nano seconds his secondary source arguments are revealed as unhistorical and rejected as irrelevant and discussion is over and out. And one does not have to study only the static of an argument: its contents, but also its

dynamic effects in relation with all other at least 22.067 primary sources of that time, which are existing and are already identified in all the open archives by the dynamical international primary source research team!

1.7.18: NB 2: Another small advice for people intending to accuse **Pius XII** with unhistorical secondary source-based accusations for the period starting from 1939 until 1945 is **that more than 7.110 protests, critics and condemnations of Hitler, the Nazis and NDAP programs are already identified<sup>13</sup>, more than 1.465 defenses of the Jews, of which 603 condemning of the deportations of Jews<sup>14</sup>, more than 985 defenses of the Polish people<sup>15</sup> and more than 18.000 different help actions and interventions for more than 31.000 Jews<sup>16</sup>,!!!** This was the present state of research only at December 10, 2021. But ... the research is going on and these numbers are growing incredible in the proportion with the progress of the international dynamical primary source research on Pius XII.

So we kindly advice persons that from December 10, 2021 on want still to critic Pius XII: before writing about this period, to study very carefully the published documents already available, and to study personally the more than 22.067 primary sources already identified in order to avoid that a superficial comment containing unhistorical secondary based accusations be revealed in 0,00134 nano seconds as not historical and rejected as irrelevant. We are working so here in Europe!

### ***1.8: Robert Eckenrode wrote:***

*"The rest is history".*

This is a just historical statement of Robert Eckenrode in his comment.

Indeed, the first 3 lines written by Robert Eckenrode prove undeniably to be invented phantasy - history – fairy-tales with only in three lines comment 34 grave historical errors. This is in the international research on Pius XII a never seen error quota rate, averaging about 11 grave historical errors pro line!

The international audience and public interested in knowing the full truth about Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli, for the period starting from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958, has no interest anymore to read unhistorical phantasy - histories on Pius XII since March 12, 2014 on, but is interested to study the already known and identified 22.067 primary source documents to know the real historical image of Pius XII, that is exclusively based on historical facts that have been published and can be internationally shared, checked and controlled by anyone in this world, that was them hidden for about 60 years, but which that was brought forward by the international historical dynamical research of the primary sources of Pius XII.

---

<sup>13</sup> Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.14.5.1.

<sup>14</sup> Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.14.5.2.

<sup>15</sup> Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.14.5.3.

<sup>16</sup> Dominiek Oversteijns: <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.14.5.5.

## 1.9 Summary of the at least 43 historical errors in Robert Eckenrode's Short Comment nr 7:

Nr.	Type of Historical Error	coded abbreviation of the historical error type	Coded numbers of historical error type	Total of Historical Errors
1	Not Studied Primary Sources	NSPS	NSPS-Nr.1 – NSPS-Nr.35	35
2	Don't Follow the Actual Publications of the International Dynamic Primary Source Research	NSAPRIDFO	NSAPRIDFO-Nr.1 - NSAPRIDFO-Nr.4	4
3	Condemned Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) Without Having Studied the Primary Sources.	CPSA	CPSA-Nr.1 – CPSA-Nr.3	3
4	Not Updated on Historical Topic in Discussion	NAASD	NAASD-Nr.1	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>43</b>

SIAE n. 2022/00886 Copyright © Dominiek Oversteijns, <http://www.papapioxii.it/approfondimenti/lopera-a-favore-degli-ebrei-di-roma/> Fig. 3.15.6.(E).

### 1.9.1 Some observations on the Summary of at least 43 historical errors in Eckenrode's short comment Nr. 7:

1.9.1.1 It is noted very clearly and undeniably that Robert Eckenrode's big mistake in writing this comment nr 7 was to have not studied the primary sources on this micro historical topic of Pius XII.

1.9.1.2 It also turns out that he is not following the current international literature on new publications of the results of the dynamic international research of primary sources on Pius XII.

1.9.1.3 It also turns out that with the state of research on some micro historical topics he still had the vision of 12 years ago and even more!

1.9.1.4 Then it turns out several times that Robert Eckenrode is condemning Eugenio Pacelli Pius XII without having studied the sources.

1.9.1.5 So these facts declassify the commentary of Robert Eckenrode as: unhistorical and even unscientific!

### 1.9.2 Some observations on the intensity of 43 historical errors in only 3 lines of Robert Eckenrode's short commentary:

1.9.2.1 We note an average - density of more than 14 (=43/3) historical errors in each line. This is a degree of error rarely seen! An this can be explained for reason of not studying the historical facts and nevertheless wanting to write a comment!!!

1.9.2.2 The international public interested in knowing the full truth about Pius XII - Eugenio Pacelli, for the period from January 1, 1894 to October 9, 1958, is no longer interested in reading fanta - history, - like that comment nr.7 by Robert Eckenrode -, about Pius XII from March 12, 2014 onwards, but is only interested in studying the already known and identified 22. 067 primary source for knowing the true historical image of Pius XII. This is based solely on historical facts that can be shared, verified and checked internationally by anyone in this world. It was an image hidden for about 60 years, but that has been brought forward in its first beauty by the dynamic international historical research of the primary sources of Pius XII and is summarized as: **a Pope Pius XII who condemned Hitler (more than 7,752 times) and (more than 1,465 times) helped and defended the Jews.**

## 2. Conclusions

2.1 The reader becomes aware after reading these few comments on a typical English language piece of writing containing accusations against Eugenio Pacelli that these are mostly based on unreliable secondary source arguments, that can easily be rejected today as unhistorical since the primary sources covering the period from January 1, 1894 until December 31, 1945 are now very well known.

Thus the international audience ceased to believe and give confidence to those propagators of fanta-storia (= *fantasy stories and fairy-tales*) about Pius XII, which can be very easily recognized by ascertaining whether they are based exclusively on primary sources, and whether they give for each primary source the exact archive references where they can be checked<sup>17</sup>. Otherwise they must be rejected and declassified as another new unhistorical and irrelevant fairy-tale not to be placed on the history shelf of any library.

2.2 This means that each person in the USA and the English language world that likes to debate on international level on Pius XII is invited to start changing arguments in the debate about Pius XII from unhistorical arguments to primary source arguments, if they still want to be present in the international discussion about Pope Pius XII in the coming years.

And here we wish to point out that from March 2, 2020 – date of full opening in the Vatican Archives of all documents concerning Pope Pius XII from March 2, 1939 until October 9, 1958 - the unscientific era of Pius XII – accuse writers is over.

From March 2, 2020 onwards all are invited to write history on Pius XII only according to primary sources, since there are, at December 10, 2021, already 22.067 primary sources already integrated and known about Eugenio Pacelli from January 1, 1894 until October 9, 1958 in 1 homogeneous and integrated system.

This means that *the first academic rule* for making international discussion on Pius XII from March 2, 2020 onward is the following: what one finds written in the primary sources must confirm the factual accuse, otherwise the accuse must be rejected and classified as unhistorical in the archive of erroneous accuses. which will be numbered and codified as new errors spread by the accusers and will only increase this list with 1 unit more.

It also means that all documents published from February 20, 1963 until March 1, 2020 where it is written "*I think, or I feel, I hope, I have heard that..., or my cat has dreamt and told me that..., in my opinion..., it seems to me ..., it could be possible ., it would be possible ... , first accuse and then perhaps study ...* " are all to be declared and classified, from March 2, 2020 onward, as fanta-story (*nice fairy-tails - fantasy - stories as Liliana Picciotto describes this type and genre of publication*) fully unreliable from the historical point of view and to be rewritten in full compliance and respect of primary sources.

We invite this army of almost 50.000 authors who have practiced during this 58 years period unscientific methods against this international and academically shared principles, to rework their almost 100.000 publications, which are infected in overflow by these historical errors and to update their publications to the high international quality level of the primary source research studies on Pope Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli executed by the international dynamical research.

In addition, the consequence for anyone who still uses from March 2, 2020 onward in new publications, that contain accuses against Pius XII, words as "*I think that ..., I feel that..., I have heard that the cat of my aunt has dreamed that, first accuse and only at the end invite to study ...*" these publications in 0,00134 nanoseconds declassify themselves as unhistorical and irrelevant information on Pius XII, and will be put on the international list of unreliable secondary source based fanta-storia-accuses about Pius XII!

---

<sup>17</sup> An again (Third Edition) more extended version of comment 10 by Dominiek Oversteijns in which each assertion will be accompanied with its full original primary source reference, will be published as soon as possible.

2.3 As the most of the 22.067 primary sources are in Italian, Latin and German, and as the leading studies on them are in Dutch, Italian and German language, it is not bad for all those who in the future still want to take part in the international debate about Pius XII, to study Italian, German and Dutch, to be able to find primary source accusations. And if new (= exclusive never published before December 10, 2021) valid accusations based on primary sources and confronted with each of the 22.067 already known primary sources do not exist, the discussion on Pius XII is to be declared ended definitely. So Pius XII once and for all will be free of any charge, due to the non-existence of new, valid accusations based on historical primary sources.

We invite those 50.000 authors to hurry up and publish their new accuses found according to this norm. Please hurry up, as the ***“gate for the discussion on Pius XII is closing, with lack of historically valid accusations.”***

This can be compared in an airport with this frequent occurrence: ***“When the check in is near to end and some passengers are still missing, the hostess makes a call in the microphone which can be heard in every room of the airport: Last call for those passengers. Hurry up to this specified gate as this gate will close in 5 minutes!”*** So it is also for the international dynamic primary source research about Pope Pius XII. If in three months, this means within July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022, new valid accusations according to the indicated norm will not be found, the international dynamic primary source research shall declare as finished the debate on Pope Pius XII, but will continue to publish new studies based on the 22.067 already known primary sources in order to consolidate the already found irreversible historical based image of Pope Pius XII - Eugenio Pacelli, who from January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1894 to October 9<sup>th</sup>, 1958 ***defended and helped at least 1.465 times the Jews***, from November 14<sup>th</sup>, 1923 to October 9<sup>th</sup>, 1958, ***condemned and criticized at least 7.751 times Hitler and the Nazis, 10 points of the NSDAP program and the Nazi-violence***, and finally ***at least 985 times defended the Polish People against the Nazi-violence and helped them*** from September 1<sup>st</sup>, 1939 to October 9<sup>th</sup>, 1958.

It must be remarked that the closed artificial debate on Pope Pius XII which lasted from February 20, 1963 until December 10, 2021 proved to be the largest historical joke of the 20th century: ***“This army of 50.000 authors of accuses against Pius XII is proved only to be like an army of 50.000 Don Quixotes fighting against the windmills. They were only writing very enthusiast invented historical unexisting accuses against Pius XII – Eugenio Pacelli, that neither they themselves believed nor the international public believes anymore from March 12, 2014 on”.***

Indeed: ***“Times have changed!”*** since March 12, 2014 ...

### ***3: An example of comment on Pius XII, that was written after 2 March 2020 and that was directly rejected***

Some remarks about the comment nr 2 of mrscracker wrote: on August 30, 2021 as comment Nr.2:

*"Sure. Why not? Has anyone suggested that yet? It sounds like a good idea."*<sup>18</sup>

The error in this comment is that a comment: *"Why not? Has anyone suggested that yet? It sounds like a good idea."* is a very nice example of what consequences the opening of the Vatican Archives had on the international published comments. In fact, since March 2, 2020 it has no sense anymore to write superficially: *"It sounds a good idea ..., I think ..., I feel ..., I hope..., I dreamt ..., My cat has dreamt that ..., Why not?, Has anyone suggested that yet?,..."*. The comment of mrscracker was written 17 months after the opening of the Vatican archives. So his comment is, since March 2, 2020, declassified by it selves as unscientific, as the person who wrote it has no interest at all in studying the 22.067 primary sources. For this reason, it is excluded of the international debate on Pius XII as irrelevant!

The international dynamical research on the primary sources on Pius XII is friendly advising mrscracker: "Wake from sleeping and dreaming and start studying by yourself the 22.067 primary sources, and only after studying give a comment and judgment!"

---

<sup>18</sup> <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2021/08/29/experts-latest-investigation-dispels-myths-about-pius-xii-and-romes-jews/#mh-comments: Comment Nr. 2 of mrscracker>.